arXiv:1203.5718v2 [math.NT] 27 Jun 2012

Cohomology of arithmetic families of (φ, Γ)-modules

Kiran S. Kedlaya, Jonathan Pottharst, and Liang Xiao

March 1, 2013

Abstract

We prove the finiteness of the (φ, Γ)-cohomology and the Iwasawa cohomology of arithmetic families of (φ, Γ)-modules. Using this finiteness theorem, we show that a family of Galois representations that is densely pointwise refined in the sense of Mazur is actually trianguline as a family over a large subspace. In the case of the Coleman-Mazur eigencurve, we determine the behavior at all classical points.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Families of (φ, Γ)-modules **5**
2.1 Modules over relative discs and annuli . . . 5
2.2 (φ, Γ)-modules and Galois representations . . . 13
2.3 Cohomology of (φ, Γ)-modules and duality . . . 16

3 The ψ operator **19**
3.1 Γ-action on $M^{\psi=0}$ . . . 20
3.2 ψ-cohomology of M/tM . . . 22
3.3 Finiteness of $M/(\psi - 1)$ . . . 25

4 Finiteness of cohomology **27**
4.1 Formalism of derived categories . . . 27
4.2 Iwasawa cohomology of (φ, Γ)-modules . . . 29
4.3 Iwasawa cohomology over a field . . . 31
4.4 Statement of main results . . . 34

5 Proof of the main theorem **38**
5.1 Preliminary reductions . . . 38
5.2 Main theorem in a special case . . . 39
5.3 Dévissage . . . 42

6 Triangulation **44**
6.1 Rank one (φ, Γ_K)-modules 45
6.2 Global triangulation 54
6.3 Triangulation over eigenvarieties 62

1 Introduction

One of the most powerful tools available for the study of p-adic Galois representations is the theory of (φ, Γ)-modules, which provide an equivalence of categories between Galois representations and modules over a certain somewhat simpler group algebra. To name just one example, (φ, Γ)-modules form a key intermediate step in the p-adic local Langlands correspondence for the group $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, as discovered by Colmez [16]. One key feature of the theory, which plays a crucial role in the previous example, is that the full category of (φ, Γ)-modules is strictly larger than the subcategory on which the equivalence to Galois representations take place (namely the subcategory of *étale* (φ, Γ)-modules); this makes it possible (and indeed quite frequent) for an *irreducible* p-adic Galois representation to become *reducible* when carried to the full category of (φ, Γ)-modules. This is explained largely using the theory of slope filtrations for φ-modules (as developed for instance in [22]) and has important applications in Iwasawa theory especially in cases of nonordinary reduction [32, 33].

One important feature of the theory of (φ, Γ)-modules is its compatibility with variation in analytic families. On the side of Galois representations, this means working with continuous actions of the Galois group of a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ not on a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space, but on a finite projective module over a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-affinoid algebra (or more globally, a locally free coherent sheaf on a rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$). The work of Berger and Colmez [7] provides a functor from such Galois representations to a certain category of *relative* (φ, Γ)-*modules* (or more globally, to an *arithmetic family of* (φ, Γ)-*modules* in the language of [23]). In contrast to the usual theory, however, this functor is fully faithful but not essentially surjective; this somewhat complicates the relative theory. Another complication is that the theory of slope filtrations does not extend in a completely satisfactory way to families; see [23] for discussion of some of the difficulties.

This paper primarily concerns the relative analogue of the theory of *Galois cohomology* for (φ, Γ)-modules. The mechanism for computing Galois cohomology of p-adic Galois representations on the side of (φ, Γ)-modules was introduced by Herr, and it was later shown by Liu [27] (using slope filtrations) that this extends in a satisfactory way to all (φ, Γ)-modules. This includes analogues of some basic results of Tate (finite dimensionality of cohomology, an Euler characteristic formula, and Tate local duality) and is important for such applications as [32]. This makes clear the need for an analogue of these results in families, but a straightforward imitation of [27] is infeasible for the sorts of reasons described in the previous paragraph. The arguments used form a combination of several different strategies, which are described in the discussion of the structure of the paper below.

In addition to results on relative Galois cohomology, we also obtain relative versions of some results on *Iwasawa cohomology*. Iwasawa cohomology, computed using the ψ operator (a left inverse of the Frobenius operator φ), is used in [33] to provide a structural link between (φ, Γ)-modules and Iwasawa theory, giving a way to clarify some previously mysterious phenomena on the latter side.

Arguments taken from [33] also show that our finiteness results imply compatibility with base change for both Galois cohomology and Iwasawa cohomology. As one might expect, these results must be stated at the level of derived categories except in the case of a *flat* base change.

We summarize the preceding results in the following theorem, referring to the body of the paper for the relevant terminology.

Theorem. *Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, let A be a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-affinoid algebra, and let M be (the global sections of) a locally free coherent sheaf over the relative Robba ring $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$ with (φ, Γ_K)-action. Then M is finitely generated projective, its Galois cohomology $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\psi,\gamma_K}(M)$ and lies in $\mathbf{D}^\flat_{\mathrm{perf}}(A)$, and its Iwasawa cohomology $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\psi}(M)$ lies in $\mathbf{D}^\flat_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K))$. Variants of Tate local duality hold for $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M)$ and $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\psi}(M)$.*

If $A \to B$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-affinoid algebras, then the natural maps

$$\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\underset{A}{\otimes}} B \to \mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M \underset{A}{\widehat{\otimes}} B) \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\psi}(M) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\underset{\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)}{\otimes}} \mathcal{R}^\infty_B(\Gamma_K) \to \mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M \underset{A}{\widehat{\otimes}} B)$$

are isomorphisms in the derived category.

While many applications of these results are expected, we limit ourselves in this paper to just one application, to the problem of *global triangulations* of families of (φ, Γ)-modules. As noted earlier, it occurs quite frequently that irreducible p-adic Galois representations become more reducible when pushed into the full category of (φ, Γ)-modules; our results show that this phenomenon occurs frequently in families as well. As explained in [32], this reducibility can be exploited to form analogues of Greenberg's families of Selmer groups for *nonordinary* families of Galois representations; the finiteness of cohomology also intervenes in a crucial way to guarantee their well-behavedness.

One primary area of application is to the study of *eigenvarieties*, which parametrize p-adic analytic families of automorphic forms. In this case, we prove the existence of global triangulations after modifying the eigenvarieties along some proper birational morphisms. We also prove that the representation is trianguline at *every* point on the eigenvariety. In the special case of the Coleman-Mazur eigencurve, we prove the existence of a global triangulation after resolving the singularities; we prove that if a point corresponds to an overconvergent modular form which is in the image of the θ^{k-1}-operator of Coleman, then the global triangulation does *not* give a saturated triangulation at that point. Although we do not explain the following point in this paper, it should be noted that Nekovář's methods then generalize immediately to show that the validity of the Parity Conjecture is constant along irreducible components of the eigencurve; this reduces the conjecture immediately to the (presently unknown) claim that each irreducible component contains a classical weight two point of noncritical slope.

Some similar results for representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ have recently been announced by Liu [28], using a significant technical improvement of Kisin's original method [26] of interpolating crystalline periods, and by Hellmann [24] by studying the moduli stack of Breuil-Kisin modules.

Structure of the paper

In §2, we carry out some preliminary arguments relating sheaves on relative annuli to *coadmissible* modules in the sense of Schneider and Teitelbaum [36]. A key issue is to establish finite generation for certain such modules; for instance, we obtain (Proposition 2.2.7) an equivalence between the two flavors of relative (φ, Γ)-modules treated in [23] (one defined using modules over a relative Robba ring, the other defined using vector bundles over a relative annulus).

In §3, we make a careful study of the ψ operator, a one-sided inverse of the Frobenius operator φ. Some of these arguments (such as the finiteness of the cokernel of $\psi - 1$) are straightforward generalizations of arguments appearing in the usual (φ, Γ)-module theory.

In §4, we establish the formal framework for our results, and obtain relative versions of the results on Galois cohomology from [27] and the results of Iwasawa cohomology from [33], plus base change in both settings, modulo a key finiteness theorem for Iwasawa cohomology (Theorem 4.4.1). Given this result, most of the proofs proceed by reducing to the case of a point, for which we appeal to the previously known results; in particular, we do not give a new method for proving any results from [27] or [33].

In §5, we prove Theorem 4.4.1. After some initial simplifications, the argument consists of two main steps. The first step is to establish finiteness of Iwasawa cohomology in degree 1 assuming vanishing of outer (φ, Γ)-cohomology, using the existence of the duality pairing in general and its perfectness at points. The second step is to reduce to this case using a dévissage argument akin to those in [27], in which one carefully constructs an extension of the original (φ, Γ)-module (using slope filtrations) in order to kill off undesired cohomology in degree 2.

In §6, we give some applications of our results to triangulations in families of (φ, Γ)-modules for a finite extension K over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We also derive some explicit consequences for eigenvarieties and in particular for the Coleman-Mazur eigencurve. In addition, we prove that all rank one arithmetic families of (φ, Γ)-modules are of character type (up to twisting by a line bundle on the base), answering in the affirmative a question of Bellaïche [2, §3, Question 4].

Notation

Throughout this paper, we fix a prime number p. Set $\omega = p^{-1/(p-1)}$.

For $H \subseteq G$ a subgroup of finite index and M a $\mathbb{Z}[H]$-module, we write $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G M$ for the induced $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module; it is canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[H]}(\mathbb{Z}[G], M)$.

We will exclusively work with affinoid and rigid analytic spaces in the sense of Tate, rather than Berkovich. The letter A will always denote a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-affinoid algebra; we use $\mathrm{Max}(A)$ to denote the associated rigid analytic space. For $z \in \mathrm{Max}(A)$, we use $\mathfrak{m}_z$ to denote the maximal ideal of A at z and put $\kappa_z = A/\mathfrak{m}_z$. For M an A-module, we write M_z to mean $M/\mathfrak{m}_z M$.

All Hom spaces consist of *continuous* homomorphisms for the relevant topologies (although we have no need to topologize the Hom spaces themselves). We will sometimes use the subscript "cont" to emphasize this point.

Acknowledgements

We thank John Bergdall, Matthew Emerton, David Geraghty, Ruochuan Liu, Zhiwei Yun, and Weizhe Zheng for helpful discussions. We thank Joël Bellaïche for suggesting that his conjecture be attacked using the methods of this paper. The first two authors would like to thank the University of Chicago for its hospitality during their visits. The third author would like to thank Boston University and MIT for their hospitality during his visits. Kedlaya was supported by NSF (CAREER grant DMS-0545904, grant DMS-1101343), DARPA (grant HR0011-09-1-0048), MIT (NEC Fund, Cecil and Ida Green professorship), and UCSD (Stefan E. Warschawski professorship). Pottharst was supported by NSF (MSPRF).

2 Families of (φ, Γ)-modules

In this section, we introduce the definition of (φ, Γ)-module over the Robba ring with coefficients in the affinoid algebra A over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Our definition is algebraic in nature, involving a finit projective module equipped with extra structures; however, we show that it agrees with the definition of an *arithmetic family of* (φ, Γ)*-modules* over the Robba ring in the sense of [23], which involves a vector bundle over a certain rigid analytic space. We also recall the relationship between (φ, Γ)-modules and families of Galois representations following Berger-Colmez [7] and Kedlaya-Liu [23], as well as the formalism of Galois cohomology for (φ, Γ)-modules following Herr and especially Liu [27].

Convention 2.0.1. Throughout this paper, all radii r and s are assumed to be rational numbers unless otherwise specified.

2.1 Modules over relative discs and annuli

In general, the module of global sections of a vector bundle over an open relative disc or a half-open relative annulus may not be finitely generated over the ring of analytic functions on the corresponding space. We establish a criterion for the module of sections to be finitely generated or finite projective.

Notation 2.1.1. For $r > 0$, define the *r-Gauss norm* on $\mathbb{Q}_p[T^{\pm}]$ by the formula $\left|\sum_i a_i T^i\right|_r = \max_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\{|a_i|\omega^{ir}\}$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. This is a multiplicative nonarchimedean norm.

For $0 < s \leq r$, we write $\mathrm{A}^1[s, r]$ for the rigid analytic annulus in the variable T with radii $|T| \in [\omega^r, \omega^s]$; its ring of analytic functions, denoted by $\mathcal{R}^{[s,r]}$, is the completion of $\mathbb{Q}_p[T^{\pm}]$ with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_{[s,r]} = \max\{|\cdot|_r, |\cdot|_s\}$. We also allow r (but not s) to be ∞, in which case $\mathrm{A}^1[s,r]$ is interpreted as the rigid analytic disc in the variable T with radii $|T| \leq \omega^s$; its ring of analytic functions $\mathcal{R}^{[s,r]} = \mathcal{R}^{[s,\infty]}$ is the completion of $\mathbb{Q}_p[T]$ with respect to $|\cdot|_s$. We treat $[s,\infty]$ as a closed interval when referring to it.

Let $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}$ denote the ring of analytic functions on the relative annulus (or disc if $r = \infty$) $\mathrm{Max}(A) \times \mathrm{A}^1[s,r]$; its ring of analytic functions is $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]} = \mathcal{R}^{[s,r]} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$. Put $\mathcal{R}_A^r = \bigcap_{0<s\leq r} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}$ and $\mathcal{R}_A = \bigcup_{0<r} \mathcal{R}_A^r$.

We record a lemma here for later use.

Lemma 2.1.2. *Consider the natural pairing* $\mathcal{R}_A \times \mathcal{R}_A \to A$ *given by sending the pair* $(f(T), g(T))$ *to the coefficient of* T^{-1} *in* $f(T)g(T)$. *It induces two (algebraic) isomorphisms:*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{A,\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{R}_A, A) \cong \mathcal{R}_A \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{A,\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{R}_A/\mathcal{R}_A^\infty, A) \cong \mathcal{R}_A^\infty.$$

Proof. This is well-known. It appears implicitly in [21, Section 8.5], and when A is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, this is proved in [18, Theorem 5.4]. For the convenience of the reader, we sketch a short proof.

The pairing obviously defines a map $\mathcal{R}_A \to \mathrm{Hom}_{A,\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{R}_A, A)$. Conversely, to any $\mu \in \mathrm{Hom}_{A,\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{R}_A, A)$, we associate a power series $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu(T^{-1-n})T^n$. One checks easily that the continuity of μ translates directly to the condition that this formal Laurent series lies in $\mathcal{R}_A$. This defines an inverse to the natural morphism $\mathcal{R}_A \to \mathrm{Hom}_{A,\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{R}_A, A)$, proving the first isomorphism. Having proved this, the second isomorphism follows immediately because the associated formal Laurent series lies in $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty$ if and only if $\mu(T^{-1-n}) = 0$ for any $n < 0$, or equivalently, $\mu(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty) = 0$. □

Hypothesis 2.1.3. In this subsection, we fix r_0 to be a positive rational number or ∞.

Remark 2.1.4. For any decreasing sequence of positive (rational) numbers $r_1, \dots$ tending to zero with $r_0 > r_1$, we have

(i) $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r_n,r_0]}$ and $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r_n,\infty]}$ are noetherian Banach A-algebras, and

(ii) $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r_{n+1},r_0]} \to \mathcal{R}_A^{[r_n,r_0]}$ and $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r_{n+1},\infty]} \to \mathcal{R}_A^{[r_n,\infty]}$ are flat and have topologically dense images,

for any $n \geq 0$. This implies that $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$ is a Fréchet-Stein algebra in the sense of [36, Section 3]. We recall the terminology therein as follows.

Definition 2.1.5. A *coherent sheaf* over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$ consists of one finite module $M^{[s,r]}$ over each ring $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}$ with $0 < s \leq r \leq r_0$, together with isomorphisms $M^{[s',r']} \cong M^{[s,r]} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s',r']}$ for all $0 < s \leq s' \leq r' \leq r \leq r_0$ satisfying the obvious cocycle conditions. Its *module of global sections* is $M = \varprojlim_{s\to 0^+} M^{[s,r_0]}$. An $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-module is *coadmissible* if it occurs as the module of global sections of some coherent sheaf.

A *vector bundle* over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$ is a coherent sheaf $(M^{[s,r]})$ where each $M^{[s,r]}$ is flat over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}$.

We list a few basic facts from [36, Section 3]. (Strictly speaking, [36, Section 3] only treats the case $r = r_0$, but one can deduce the results for general r using standard techniques from [8].)

Lemma 2.1.6. *Let $(M^{[s,r]})$ be a coherent sheaf over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$ with module of global sections M.*

(1) For any $0 < s \leq r \leq r_0$, M (resp. $M[\frac{1}{T}]$ if $r_0 = \infty$ and $r \neq \infty$) is dense in $M^{[s,r]}$.

(2) We have $\mathbf{R}^i \varprojlim_{s \to 0^+} M^{[s,r_0]} = 0$ for $i \geq 1$.

(3) We have a natural isomorphism $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]} \cong M^{[s,r]}$ for any $0 < s \leq r \leq r_0$.

(4) The ring $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}$ is flat over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$ for any $0 < s \leq r \leq r_0$.

(5) The kernel and cokernel of an arbitrary $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-linear map between coadmissible $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-modules are coadmissible.

(6) Any finitely generated ideal of $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$ is coadmissible, or more generally, any finitely generated $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-submodule of a coadmissible module is coadmissible.

(7) Any finitely presented $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-module is coadmissible.

Corollary 2.1.7. *The ring $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$ is flat over A. Hence $\mathcal{R}_A$ is flat over A. More generally, for any element $t \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{r_0}$, $\mathcal{R}_A/t$ is flat over A.*

Proof. We will prove the second statement, the first statement being the special case where $t = 0$. Let $N \to N'$ be an injective morphism of finite A-modules. Since A is noetherian, $N \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}/t$ and $N' \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}/t$ are finitely presented and hence coadmissible by Lemma 2.1.6(7). To check the injectivity of $N \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}/t \to N' \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}/t$, by Lemma 2.1.6(2), it suffices to check the injectivity of $N \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}/t \to N' \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}/t$ for any $0 < s < r \leq r_0$. When $t \neq 0$, $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}/t$ is in fact finite and free over A, so the injectivity is obvious. When $t = 0$, we take a Schauder basis of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{[s,r]}$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ to identify $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{[s,r]}$ with the completed direct sum $\widehat{\oplus}_{i \in I} \mathbb{Q}_p e_i$, where $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ form a potentially orthonormal basis. Under this identification, $N \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]} \cong \widehat{\oplus}_{i \in I} N$ and $N' \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]} \cong \widehat{\oplus}_{i \in I} N'$. Since $N \to N'$ is injective, so is $\widehat{\oplus}_{i \in I} N \to \widehat{\oplus}_{i \in I} N'$. The corollary follows. ☐

Lemma 2.1.8. *For a vector bundle $(M^{[s,r]})$ over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$, its module of global sections M is a finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-module if and only if M is finitely presented.*

Proof. A module over a commutative ring is finite projective if and only if it is finitely presented and flat [29, Corollary of Theorem 7.12]. It thus suffices to assume that M is finitely presented and prove that it is flat. We need to prove that, for any finitely generated ideal I of $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$, the natural map $I \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} M \to M$ is injective.

We write M as the cokernel of an $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-linear homomorphism $f : (\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0})^{\oplus m} \to (\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0})^{\oplus n}$. We can then realize $I \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} M$ as the cokernel of the $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-linear map $I \otimes f : I^{\oplus m} \to I^{\oplus n}$.

By Lemma 2.1.6(6), I is coadmissible, and hence so is $I \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} M$ by Lemma 2.1.6(5). By Lemma 2.1.6(2), to check the injectivity of the natural map $I \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} M \to M$, it suffices to check the injectivity of

$$(I \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} M) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r_0]} \to M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r_0]}$$

for all $0 < s \leq r_0$. By the flatness in Lemma 2.1.6(3)(4), the map above becomes

$$(I \cdot \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r_0]}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r_0]}} M^{[s,r_0]} \to M^{[s,r_0]}.$$

It is injective because $M^{[s,r_0]}$ is a flat $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r_0]}$-module. This finishes the proof. ☐

Corollary 2.1.9. *Assume that A is reduced. Let M be a finitely presented $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-module such that for any $z \in \mathrm{Max}(A) \times \mathrm{A}^1(0, r_0]$, $M/\mathfrak{m}_z M$ has the same dimension. Then M is a finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-module.*

Proof. For any $0 < s \leq r \leq r_0$, $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}$ is noetherian, and hence $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}$ is finite and flat by simple commutative algebra (see Lemma 2.1.10(1) below). By Lemma 2.1.8, M is then a finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-module. ☐

Lemma 2.1.10. *(1) Let B be a noetherian ring whose Jacobson radical is zero, or equivalently, a reduced noetherian ring whose maximal ideals form a dense subset of* $\mathrm{Spec}(B)$. *Let M be a finitely generated B-module. If $z \mapsto M/\mathfrak{m}_z M$ is a locally constant function on the set of maximal ideals z of B, then B is finite and flat.*

(2) Let B be a connected reduced *$\mathbb{Q}_p$-affinoid algebra and let N be a coherent sheaf on* $\mathrm{Max}(A) \times \mathrm{Max}(B)$. *Assume that for any $y \in \mathrm{Max}(B)$, $N/\mathfrak{m}_y N$ is a finite projective $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \kappa_y$-module of rank independent of y. Then N is a finite flat $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B$-module.*

Proof. (1) We may assume that $\mathrm{Spec}\, B$ is connected. By [20, Chapter III, Example 12.7.2], the dimension function on $\mathrm{Spec}\, B$ given by $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto M \otimes_B \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}\, B$ is upper semicontinuous, where $\kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ is the residue field at $\mathfrak{p}$, and constant if and only if M is flat. But this is function is constant when restricted to the subset of maximal ideals, forcing it to be constant on $\mathrm{Spec}\, B$. The claim follows.

(2) Without loss of generality, we assume that A is connected. If A is reduced then so is $A \widehat{\otimes} B$, and the statement follows from (1). In the general case, let I denote the nilpotent ideal of A. The same argument proves that N/IN is finite flat over $(A/I)\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B$ and hence flat over B. By standard dévissage, we may write A as a finite successive extension of A-modules, each of which is isomorphic to A/I_i for some *radical* ideal I_i of A. Then the same argument proves that each $N/I_i N$ is flat over B, and then so is N. By a corollary of the local criterion of flatness [1, EGA **0**.10.2.5], N is flat at all maximal ideals of $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B$ and hence is flat over the ring itself. ☐

Definition 2.1.11. For R a ring and M an R-module, we say that M is (m, n)-*finitely presented* if there exists an R-linear exact sequence $R^{\oplus m} \to R^{\oplus n} \to M \to 0$.

By an *admissible cover* of $(0, r_0]$, we mean a cover of $(0, r_0]$ by closed intervals $\{[s_i, r_i]\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ with nonempty interiors, admitting a locally finite refinement. Given such a cover of $(0, r_0]$, the system of rigid annuli $\mathrm{A}^1[s_i, r_i]$ of respective valuations $[s_i, r_i]$ gives an affinoid cover of the rigid annulus $\mathrm{A}^1(0, r_0]$. Thus, to define a coherent sheaf over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$ is equivalent to specify $M^{[s_i,r_i]}$ for each i together with the obvious compatibility data and conditions.

Let $(M^{[s,r]})$ be a coherent sheaf over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$. We say that the sheaf is *uniformly finitely generated* if there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and an admissible cover $\{[s_i, r_i]\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ of $(0, r_0]$ such that each $M^{[s_i,r_i]}$ is generated by n elements. We say that the sheaf is *uniformly finitely presented* if there exist $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and an admissible cover $\{[s_i, r_i]\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ of $(0, r_0]$ such that each $M^{[s_i,r_i]}$ is (m, n)-finitely presented.

Keeping track of the number of generators, a standard argument in homological algebra proves the following.

Lemma 2.1.12. *Let* $0 \to (M'^{[s,r]}) \to (M^{[s,r]}) \to (M''^{[s,r]}) \to 0$ *be a short exact sequence of coherent sheaves over* $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$.

- *If* $(M'^{[s,r]})$ *and one of the other coherent sheaves are uniformly finitely presented, so is the third one.*
- *If both* $(M^{[s,r]})$ *and* $(M''^{[s,r]})$ *are uniformly finitely presented, then* $(M'^{[s,r]})$ *is uniformly finitely generated.*

Lemma 2.1.13. *Let* $(M^{[s,r]})$ *be a coherent sheaf over* $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$ *with module of global sections* M. *Assume that there exist elements* $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n \in M$ *and an admissible cover* $\{[s_i, r_i]\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ *of* $(0, r_0]$ *such that* $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n$ *generate each* $M^{[s_i,r_i]}$ *as an* $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s_i,r_i]}$*-module. Then* $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n$ *generate* M *as an* $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$*-module.*

Proof. Consider the $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-linear map $f : (\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0})^{\oplus m} \to M$ given by $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n$. The hypothesis of the lemma implies that $f \otimes \mathcal{R}_A^{[s_i,r_i]} : (\mathcal{R}_A^{[s_i,r_i]})^{\oplus n} \to M^{[s_i,r_i]}$ is surjective for any i. Hence f is surjective by Lemma 2.1.6(2), yielding the lemma. □

Lemma 2.1.14. *Let* N *be a finite Banach module over a Banach algebra* R *over* $\mathbb{Q}_p$ *with generators* $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$. *Then there exists* $\epsilon > 0$ *such that, for any elements* $\mathbf{e}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}'_n \in N$ *with* $|\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}'_i| \leq \epsilon$ *for any* i, N *is generated by* $\mathbf{e}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}'_n$.

Proof. We have a continuous surjective morphism $f : R^{\oplus n} \to M$ of Banach R-modules given by $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$. By the Banach open mapping theorem ([9, §I.3.3, Théorème 1] or [35, Proposition 8.6]), there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $\mathbf{v} \in M$ with $|\mathbf{v}| \leq \epsilon$, we can write $\mathbf{v} = x_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + x_n\mathbf{e}_n$ for some $x_i \in R$ with $|x_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Given the data in the lemma, we write $\mathbf{e}'_i - \mathbf{e}_i = \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ji}\mathbf{e}_j$ for $x_{ji} \in R$ with $|x_{ji}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Set $X = (x_{ji})$. The transition matrix for the two sets of elements is $1 + X$, which is invertible. Hence N is generated by $\mathbf{e}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}'_n$. □

Proposition 2.1.15. *Let* $(M^{[s,r]})$ *be a coherent sheaf over* $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$ *with module of global sections* M.

(1) The $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-module M is finitely generated if and only if $(M^{[s,r]})$ is uniformly finitely generated.

(2) The $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-module M is finitely presented if and only if $(M^{[s,r]})$ is uniformly finitely presented.

(3) The $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-module M is fnite projective if and only if $(M^{[s,r]})$ is a uniformly finitely presented vector bundle.

Proof. (3) follows from combining (2) with Lemma 2.1.8. (2) follows from applying (1) twice and using Lemma 2.1.12 to pass on the conditions. The sufficiency part of (1) is obvious.

We now prove the necessity part of (1). We may refine and reorder the admissible cover $\{[s_i, r_i]\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ so that the subcollections $I_{\text{odd}} = \{[s_{2i+1}, r_{2i+1}]\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ and $I_{\text{even}} = \{[s_{2i}, r_{2i}]\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ consist of pairwise *disjoint* intervals in decreasing order. We claim that there exist global sections $\mathbf{f}_1^{\text{odd}}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_m^{\text{odd}} \in M$ (resp. $\mathbf{f}_1^{\text{even}}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_m^{\text{even}} \in M$) generating each $M^{[s_i,r_i]}$ where i is odd (resp. even). Then the proposition follows from Lemma 2.1.13 above. We will only prove the claim for the even subcollection, as the proof for the odd one proceeds the same way.

For each i, we use $|\cdot|_{M,[s_i,r_i]}$ to denote a fixed Banach norm on $M^{[s_i,r_i]}$. As in Definition 2.1.11, $M^{[s_{2i},r_{2i}]}$ is generated by n elements $\mathbf{f}_{2i,1}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{2i,n} \in M^{[s_{2i},r_{2i}]}$. By the density of M (resp. $M[\frac{1}{T}]$ if $r_0 = \infty$ and $r_{2i} \neq \infty$) in $M^{[s_{2i},r_{2i}]}$ (Lemma 2.1.6(1)) and Lemma 2.1.14, we may assume that $\mathbf{f}_{2i,1}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_{2i,n} \in M$ are global sections. (When $r_0 = \infty$ and $r_{2i} \neq \infty$, we first get the generators in $M[\frac{1}{T}]$ and then we multiply them by some powers of T; they are still generators.)

By Lemma 2.1.14 again, there exists $\epsilon_{2i} > 0$ such that any elements $\mathbf{f}'_{2i,1}, \dots, \mathbf{f}'_{2i,n} \in M$ with $|\mathbf{f}'_{2i,j} - \mathbf{f}_{2i,j}|_{M,[s_{2i},r_{2i}]} \leq \epsilon_{2i}$ for all j also generate $M^{[s_{2i},r_{2i}]}$. For each j, we hope to define

$$\mathbf{f}_j^{\text{even}} = \alpha_{0,j}T^{\lambda_{0,j}}\mathbf{f}_{0,j} + \alpha_{2,j}T^{\lambda_{2,j}}\mathbf{f}_{2,j} + \alpha_{4,j}T^{\lambda_{4,j}}\mathbf{f}_{4,j} + \cdots,$$

where $\alpha_{2i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\lambda_{2i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ are chosen inductively on i with $\lambda_{0,j} = 0, \alpha_{0,j} = 1$ so that $\lambda_{2i,j} \geq \lambda_{2i-2,j}$, and

$$\text{for any } i' < i,\ \Big|\frac{\alpha_{2i,j}T^{\lambda_{2i,j}}}{\alpha_{2i',j}T^{\lambda_{2i',j}}}\mathbf{f}_{2i,j}\Big|_{M,[s_{2i'},r_{2i'}]} \leq \epsilon_{2i'}, \tag{2.1.15.1}$$

$$\text{for any } i' < i,\ \Big|\frac{\alpha_{2i',j}T^{\lambda_{2i',j}}}{\alpha_{2i,j}T^{\lambda_{2i,j}}}\mathbf{f}_{2i',j}\Big|_{M,[s_{2i},r_{2i}]} \leq \epsilon_{2i},\ \text{and} \tag{2.1.15.2}$$

$$\text{for any } i'' < 2i-1 \text{ (even or odd)},\ \big|\alpha_{2i,j}T^{\lambda_{2i,j}}\mathbf{f}_{2i,j}\big|_{M,[s_{i''},r_{i''}]} \leq p^{-i}. \tag{2.1.15.3}$$

This would imply that the infinite sum defining $\mathbf{f}_j^{\text{even}}$ converges and we have for any i,

$$\Big|\mathbf{f}_{2i,j} - \frac{1}{\alpha_{2i,j}T^{\lambda_{2i,j}}}\mathbf{f}_j^{\text{even}}\Big|_{M,[s_{2i},r_{2i}]} \leq \epsilon_{2i}.$$

(This equation is also valid for $i = 0$ because $\alpha_{0,j} = 1$ and $\lambda_{0,j} = 0$.) These imply that $\mathbf{f}_1^{\text{even}}, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n^{\text{even}}$ generate $M^{[s_{2i},r_{2i}]}$ over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s_{2i},r_{2i}]}$.

We now prove that we can choose $\alpha_{2i,j}$ and $\lambda_{2i,j}$ satisfying (2.1.15.1)–(2.1.15.3). It suffices to meet the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{for any } i' < i,\ |\alpha_{2i,j}| \cdot \omega^{s_{2i'}\lambda_{2i,j}} &\leq \omega^{s_{2i'}\lambda_{2i',j}} |\mathbf{f}_{2i,j}/\alpha_{2i',j}|^{-1}_{M,[s_{2i'},r_{2i'}]} \cdot \epsilon_{2i'}, \\
\text{for any } i' < i,\ |\alpha_{2i,j}| \cdot \omega^{r_{2i}\lambda_{2i,j}} &\geq \omega^{r_{2i}\lambda_{2i',j}} |\alpha_{2i',j}\mathbf{f}_{2i',j}|_{M,[s_{2i},r_{2i}]}/\epsilon_{2i}, \text{ and} \\
\text{for any } i'' < 2i-1,\ |\alpha_{2i,j}| \cdot \omega^{s_{i''}\lambda_{2i,j}} &\leq |\mathbf{f}_{2i,j}|^{-1}_{M,[s_{i''},r_{2i''}]} \cdot p^{-i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for any $i' < i$ and $i'' < 2i-1$, we have $r_{2i} < s_{2i'}$ and $r_{2i} < s_{2i''}$ because the intervals in I_{even} are pairwise disjoint. Hence by making $\lambda_{2i,j} - \lambda_{2i',j}$ sufficiently large, we may find a choice of $\alpha_{2i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ satisfying all conditions above. This finishes the proof. □

Remark 2.1.16. The condition in (3) may be weakened to only require $(M^{[s,r]})$ to be a uniformly finitely generated vector bundle. Indeed, $M^{[s,r]}$ is finite flat and hence finite projective, so if it is generated by n elements, it is automatically (n,n)-finitely presented. Hence $(M^{[s,r]})$ is uniformly finitely presented.

We will later apply Proposition 2.1.15 in several cases: φ-bundles and φ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$ (Proposition 2.2.7 and Lemma 2.2.9, respectively), for a (φ,Γ)-module M over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$, the structure theorems for $M^{\psi=0}$ (Theorem 3.1.1) and $M^{\psi=1}$ (Proposition 5.2.10).

The following variant of Proposition 2.1.15 is tailored to the situation of Theorem 5.2.12.

Lemma 2.1.17. *Let M be a finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty$-module and N an $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty$-submodule of M such that $N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,\infty]} \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,\infty]}$ for any $s > 0$. Assume moreover that N is complete for a Fréchet topology defined by a sequence of norms $|\cdot|_{N,q_1} \leq |\cdot|_{N,q_2} \leq \cdots$ indexed by $\mathbb{N}$, such that for each m, there exists $g_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the operator norm $|T^{g_m}|_{N,q_m} \leq \omega$. Then $N = M$.*

Proof. For $0 < s \leq r$, we write $M^{[s,r]}$ for $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}$. It suffices to exhibit a finite set of elements of N generating all $M^{[s_i,r_i]}$ over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s_i,r_i]}$ for some admissible cover $\{[s_i,r_i]\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ of $(0,\infty]$, by Lemma 2.1.13.

We fix generators $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n$ of M as an $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty$-module. We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 2.1.15 by separating the admissible cover into subcollections I_{odd} and I_{even} of pairwise disjoint decreasing intervals. We will prove that there exist $\mathbf{f}_1^{\text{even}}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_n^{\text{even}} \in N$ generating $M^{[s_{2i},r_{2i}]}$ for any i, and the corresponding statement for odd subcollection will follow by the same argument. For each i, we use $|\cdot|_{M,[s_{2i},r_{2i}]}$ to denote a fixed Banach norm on $M^{[s_{2i},r_{2i}]}$. Using the isomorphism $N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,\infty]} \cong M^{[s,\infty]}$, we may write each $\mathbf{e}_j$ in terms of a finite sum $\sum_\alpha m_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha(T)$ for $m_\alpha \in N$ and $f_\alpha(T) \in \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,\infty]}$. We may approximate each $f_\alpha(T)$ by elements in $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty[\frac{1}{T}]$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty$ if $i = 0$) and hence obtain $\mathbf{m}_{2i,j} \in N[\frac{1}{T}]$ (resp. $\mathbf{m}_{2i,j} \in N$ if $i = 0$) such that $|\mathbf{m}_{2i,j} - \mathbf{e}_j|_{M,[s_{2i},r_{2i}]}$ is sufficiently small so that $\mathbf{m}_{2i,1}, \ldots, \mathbf{m}_{2i,n}$ form a basis of $M^{[s_{2i},r_{2i}]}$ by invoking Lemma 2.1.14. By multiplying some powers of T (when $i > 0$), we may take $\mathbf{f}_{2i,1}, \ldots \mathbf{f}_{2i,m} \in N$ generating $M^{[s_{2i},r_{2i}]}$.

By Lemma 2.1.14 again, there exists $\epsilon_{2i} > 0$ such that any elements $\mathbf{f}'_{2i,1}, \ldots, \mathbf{f}'_{2i,n} \in N$ with $|\mathbf{f}'_{2i,j} - \mathbf{f}_{2i,j}|_{M,[s_{2i},r_{2i}]} \leq \epsilon_{2i}$ for any j also generate $M^{[s_{2i},r_{2i}]}$. We define $\mathbf{f}_j^{\text{even}}$ as in the proof of Proposition 2.1.15, but instead of (2.1.15.3), we require (inductively on i)

$$\text{for any } m \leq i \text{ with } r_{2i} < 1/g_m, \text{ we have } \left|\alpha_{2i,j} T^{\lambda_{2i,j}} \mathbf{f}_{2i,j}\right|_{N,q_m} \leq p^{-i},$$

which is implied by

$$\begin{gathered} \text{for any } m \leq i \text{ with } r_{2i} < 1/g_m, \text{ we have} \\ |\alpha_{2i,j}| \omega^{\lfloor \lambda_{2i,j}/g_m \rfloor} \leq |\mathbf{f}_{2i,j}|^{-1}_{N,q_m} \cdot p^{-i} \cdot \min\{1, |T|_{q_m}, \dots, |T^{g_m-1}|_{q_m}\}^{-1}. \end{gathered}$$

By making $\lambda_{2i,j}$ sufficiently large, we may find a choice of $\alpha_{2i,j} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ satisfying all required conditions, finishing the proof. □

Proposition 2.1.18. *Let $f : M \to N$ be a morphism of two $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-modules with finitely generated kernel (resp. cokernel). If $f \otimes \mathcal{R}_A : M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_A \to N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_A$ is injective (resp. surjective), then there exists some $r \in (0, r_0]$ such that $f \otimes \mathcal{R}_A^r : M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_A^r \to N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_A^r$ is injective (resp. surjective).*

Proof. Let Q denote the kernel (resp. cokernel) of f. We have $Q \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_A = 0$. Since Q is finitely generated, there exists $r \in (0, r_0]$ such that $Q \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_A^r = 0$ and hence $f \otimes \mathcal{R}_A^r$ is injective (resp. surjective). □

Remark 2.1.19. In the preceding proposition, we only need the finite generation of N to test for surjectivity. To test for bijectivity, it is sufficient that M and N both be finite projective: after restricting to $r \in (0, r_0]$ we may assume $f \otimes \mathcal{R}_A^r$ is surjective, and the kernel is then a summand of M hence also finitely generated.

The following lemma will be needed later in Theorem 4.4.6.

Lemma 2.1.20. *If M is an $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-module which is finite over A, then there exists $s \in (0, r_0]$ such that $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_A^s = 0$.*

Proof. Let K be the completion of $\mathbb{Q}_p(c_n; n \in \mathbb{N})$ with respect to the 1-Gauss norm. Put $A_K = A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$ and $\mathcal{R}_{A_K}^r = \mathcal{R}_A^r \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$ for $r \in (0, \infty]$. Thus $M_K = M \otimes_A A_K \cong M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_{A_K}^{r_0}$ is a finite A_K-module, equipped with a continuous action of $\mathcal{R}_{A_K}^{r_0}$.

Choose and fix a norm $|\cdot|$ on M; by tensor product, this induces a norm on M_K. Viewing $T \in \mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$ as an A-linear operator acting on M, we use $||T^n||$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to denote the operator norm of T^n on M (or equivalently on M_K).

Note that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n T^n / p^{[\sqrt{n}]} \in \mathcal{R}_{A_K}^{r_0}$ also acts on M_K. For this action to converge, the operator norm $||T^n / p^{[\sqrt{n}]}||$ must be bounded as $n \to \infty$ (note that c_n are all transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}_p$). In particular, this means that $||T^{n_0}|| < \omega$ for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Going back to the module M itself, this implies that $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_A^{1/n_0}$ must be trivial. □

Remark 2.1.21. Except for Corollary 2.1.9 and Lemma 2.1.10, the results in this subsection remain valid even if A is only assumed to be a noetherian Banach algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

2.2 (φ, Γ)-modules and Galois representations

In this subsection, we define (φ, Γ)-modules over the relative Robba ring and their relationship with Galois representations. In particular, using the results from the previous subsection, we establish the equivalence of the two viewpoints of relative (φ, Γ)-modules treated in [23] (one defined using modules over the relative Robba ring, the other defined using vector bundles over a relative annulus).

Hypothesis 2.2.1. Throughout the rest of the paper, fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and a finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Choose a system $\varepsilon = (\zeta_{p^n})_{n \geq 0}$, where each $\zeta_{p^n} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is a primitive p^nth root of unity and $(\zeta_{p^{n+1}})^p = \zeta_{p^n}$.

Notation 2.2.2. Let μ_{p^∞} denote the set of p-power roots of unity. The cyclotomic character $\chi : \Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p) \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is given by $\gamma(\zeta) = \zeta^{\chi(\gamma)}$ for any $\zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Set $G_K = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/K)$, $H_K = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/K(\mu_{p^\infty}))$, and $\Gamma_K = \mathrm{Gal}(K(\mu_{p^\infty})/K)$. The group Γ_K may be naturally identified as a subgroup of Γ. We let k be the residue field of K, with $F = W(k)[1/p]$, and we let k' be the residue field of $K(\mu_{p^\infty})$, with $F' = W(k')[1/p]$.

We write $\tilde{e}_K$ for the index of the valuation group of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})$ in that of $K(\mu_{p^\infty})$.

Definition 2.2.3. The theory of the field of norms allows a certain choice of indeterminate π_K, and gives rise to constants $C(\pi_K) > 0$. For $0 < s \leq r \leq C(\pi_K)$, we set $\mathcal{R}^{[s,r]}(\pi_K)$ to be the formal substitution of T by π_K in the ring $\mathcal{R}_{F'}^{[s,r]}$; we set $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(\pi_K) = \mathcal{R}^{[s,r]}(\pi_K)\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$. We similarly define $\mathcal{R}_A^r(\pi_K)$ and $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$; the latter is referred to as the *relative Robba ring* over A for K. If π_K and π'_K are two choices of indeterminates as above, then for $0 < s \leq r \leq \min\{C(\pi_K), C(\pi'_K)\}$ the respective rings $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(\pi_K)$ and $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(\pi'_K)$ are canonically isomorphic. There are commuting A-linear actions on $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(\pi_K)$ of Γ_K and of an operator $\varphi : \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(\pi_K) \to \mathcal{R}_A^{[s/p,r/p]}(\pi_K)$. The actions on the coefficients F' are the natural ones, Γ_K through its quotient $\mathrm{Gal}(F'/F)$ and φ by Witt functoriality, but the actions on π_K depend on its choice; see [4] for more discussion of these actions. Moreover, φ makes $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(\pi_K)$ into a free $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s/p,r/p]}(\pi_K)$-module of rank p, and we obtain a Γ_K-equivariant left inverse $\psi : \mathcal{R}_A^{[s/p,r/p]}(\pi_K) \to \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(\pi_K)$ by the formula $p^{-1}\varphi^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{R}_A^{[s/p,r/p]}(\pi_K)/\varphi(\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(\pi_K))}$. The map ψ extends to functions $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0/p}(\pi_K) \to \mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$ for $r_0 \leq C(\pi_K)$ and $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K) \to \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$.

Remark 2.2.4. For L a finite extension of K and for $0 < r \leq \min\{C(\pi_K), C(\pi_L)\tilde{e}_L/\tilde{e}_K\}$, $\mathcal{R}_A^{r\tilde{e}_K/\tilde{e}_L}(\pi_L)$ is naturally a finite étale $\mathcal{R}_A^r(\pi_K)$-algebra, free of rank $[L(\mu_{p^\infty}) : K(\mu_{p^\infty})]$; when L/K is Galois, it has Galois group H_K/H_L. In general, the inclusion is equivariant for the actions of Γ_L (as a subgroup of Γ_K) and φ.

Definition 2.2.5. Choose $r_0 \leq C(\pi_K)$. A *φ-module* over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$ is a finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$-module M equipped with an isomorphism $\varphi^*M \cong M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)} \mathcal{R}_A^{r_0/p}(\pi_K)$. A *$\varphi$-module* over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$ is the base change to $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$ of a φ-module over some $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$. For M a φ-module over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$, the isomorphism $\varphi^*M \cong M^{r_0/p}$ induces a continuous A-linear homomorphism $\psi : M^{r_0/p} \cong \varphi(M^{r_0}) \otimes_{\varphi(\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K))} \mathcal{R}_A^{r_0/p}(\pi_K) \to M^{r_0}$ given by $\psi(\varphi(m) \otimes f) = m \otimes \psi(f)$ for $m \in M^{r_0}$ and $f \in \mathcal{R}_A^{r_0/p}(\pi_K)$.

A *φ-bundle* over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$ is a vector bundle $(M^{[s,r]})$ over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$ equipped with isomorphisms $\varphi^* M^{[s,r]} \cong M^{[s/p,r/p]}$ for all $0 < s \leq r \leq r_0$ satisfying the obvious compatibility conditions.

Notation 2.2.6. For M a module over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$ and for $0 < s \leq r \leq r_0$, we set $M^{[s,r]} = M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(\pi_K)$ and $M^s = M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)} \mathcal{R}_A^s(\pi_K)$.

Proposition 2.2.7. *The natural functor from φ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$ to φ-bundles over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. This natural functor is obviously fully faithful. To check essential surjectivity, by Proposition 2.1.15, it suffices to check that any φ-bundle $(M^{[s,r]})$ is uniformly finitely presented. Since $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r_0/p,r_0]}(\pi_K)$ is noetherian, $M^{[r_0/p,r_0]}$ is (m,n)-finitely presented for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Pulling back along φ^a for any $a \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that $M^{[r_0/p^{a+1},r_0/p^a]} \cong (\varphi^a)^* M^{[r_0/p,r_0]}$ is also (m,n)-finitely presented. This checks that the φ-bundle is uniformly finitely presented, and finishes the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.2.8. Proposition 2.2.7 shows that the two types of objects considered in [23], (φ,Γ)-modules over $\mathcal{R}_A$ and families of (φ,Γ)-modules, are actually the same thing. We will no longer distinguish them from now on. But we remind the reader that these categories do depend on the choice of r_0.

The following two technical lemmas will be used in the proof of Theorem 6.2.8, the first of which is a strengthening of Proposition 2.1.18 making use of the φ-structure.

Lemma 2.2.9. *Let M^{r_0} and N^{r_0} be two φ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$ and let $g : M^{r_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)} \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K) \to N^{r_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)} \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$ be a morphism of φ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$. Then g is induced by some morphism $g^{r_0} : M^{r_0} \to N^{r_0}$ of φ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$. Moreover, if g is injective (resp. surjective), so is g^{r_0}.*

Proof. The morphism g is the base change of some $g^r : M^r \to N^r$ for some $r \in (0, r_0]$. For $r' = \min\{pr, r_0\}$, g^r induces $g^{r'/p} : M^{r'/p} \to N^{r'/p}$. We define

$$g^{r'} : M^{r'} \xrightarrow{\varphi} M^{r'/p} \xrightarrow{g^{r'/p}} N^{r'/p} \xrightarrow{\psi} N^{r'}.$$

It is easy to check that $g^{r'}$ is a homomorphism of φ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r'}(\pi_K)$, which agrees with g^r upon base change to $\mathcal{R}_A^r(\pi_K)$. Iterating this construction gives the morphism $g^{r_0} : M^{r_0} \to N^{r_0}$. Now, suppose g is injective (resp. surjective). Let Q^{r_0} be the kernel (resp. cokernel) of g^{r_0}; then $\varphi^*(Q^r) \cong Q^{r/p}$ for $r \in (0, r_0]$. Using the similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.2.7 (by invoking Proposition 2.1.15), we know that Q^{r_0} is finitely generated over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$. By the injectivity (resp. surjectivity) of g, we know that $Q^r = 0$ for some r. But then for $r' = \min\{pr, r_0\}$, $\varphi^*(Q^{r'}) = Q^{r'/p} = 0$ implies that $Q^{r'} = 0$. Iterating this proves the lemma. $\square$

Notation 2.2.10. For any $f \in A$, we let $Z(f) \subseteq \mathrm{Max}(A)$ denote the closed subspace defined by f.

Lemma 2.2.11. *Let $f \in A$ be a nonzero element. Let $g : M \to N$ be a morphism of φ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_A$ (or $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$ for some $r_0 > 0$). Assume that $g_z : M_z \to N_z$ is surjective for every $z \in \mathrm{Max}(A) \backslash Z(f)$. Then $g : M[\frac{1}{f}] \to N[\frac{1}{f}]$ is surjective.*

Proof. If both φ-modules are defined over $\mathcal{R}_A$, we may choose $r_0 > 0$ such that both modules and the morphism are the base change from $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$; in this case, the surjectivity of the model $g_z^{r_0}$ of g_z over $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}^{r_0}$ for $z \in \mathrm{Max}(A)\backslash Z(f)$ is still guaranteed by Lemma 2.2.9. Therefore, we need only to prove the lemma when the conditions are stated for φ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$. Let Q denote the cokernel of g; it is finitely presented. The assumption of the lemma implies that $Q_z = 0$ for any $z \in \mathrm{Max}(A)\backslash Z(f)$. Therefore, $Q^{[r_0/p, r_0]}$ is supported on $Z(f) \times \mathrm{A}^1[r_0/p, r_0]$, and is then killed by f^m for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Pulling back along powers of φ, we have $Q^{[r_0/p^{n+1}, r_0/p^n]} \cong (\varphi^n)^* Q^{[r_0/p, r_0]}$ is also killed by f^m for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, Q is killed by f^m, concluding the lemma. ☐

Definition 2.2.12. Let $r_0 \in (0, C(\pi_K)]$. A (φ, Γ_K)*-module* over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$ is a φ-module over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$ equipped with a commuting semilinear continuous action of Γ_K. A (φ, Γ_K)*-module* over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$ is the base change to $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$ of a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$ for some $r_0 \in (0, C(\pi_K)]$. We note that the map ψ commutes with the action of Γ_K.

It would be useful for technical purposes to know the following conjecture, but we do not know how to prove it (see also [2, §3, Question 1] and [23, Remark 6.2]).

Conjecture 2.2.13. *For M a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, there exists a finite admissible cover $\{\mathrm{Max}(A_i)\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ of $\mathrm{Max}(A)$ such that each $M \widehat{\otimes}_A A_i$ is a finite* free *$\mathcal{R}_{A_i}(\pi_K)$-module.*

Remark 2.2.14. We will prove the preceding conjecture for rank one (φ, Γ_K)-modules in Theorem 6.1.10, and the conjecture follows for trianguline (φ, Γ_K)-modules.

The importance of (φ, Γ)-modules rests upon the following result.

Theorem 2.2.15. *Let V be a finite projective A-module equipped with a continuous A-linear action of G_K. Then there is functorially associated to V a (φ, Γ)-module $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$. The rule $V \mapsto \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ is fully faithful and exact, and it commutes with base change in A.*

Proof. See [23, Theorem 3.11], which generalizes [7, Théorème 4.2.9][1]. (It was also pointed out by Gaëtan Chenevier that some modification of [7, Théorème 4.2.9] can recover the theorem; see [23, Remark 3.13]). For further details, see [32, §2.1]. ☐

Definition 2.2.16. If L is a finite extension of K and M is a (φ, Γ_L)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_L)$, we define the *induced* (φ, Γ_K)-module to be $\mathrm{Ind}_L^K M = \mathrm{Ind}_{\Gamma_K}^{\Gamma_L} M$, viewed as an $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$-module, where the action of φ is inherited from M.

---

[1] R. Liu has pointed out to us a small gap in this reference; see [28, Theorem 1.1.4] for a fix.

Lemma 2.2.17. *If V is a finite projective A-module equipped with a continuous A-linear action of G_L, and we denote the induced representation of G_K by $\mathrm{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_K} V$, then we have $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_K} V) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_L^K \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$.*

Proof. This can be proved in the same way as in [27, Proposition 3.1]. □

Notation 2.2.18. When $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, one has $F' = \mathbb{Q}_p$, and the choice of ε above gives rise to a preferred indeterminate $\pi = \pi_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with constant any number $C(\pi) < 1/(p-1)$. We can explicitly describe the (φ, Γ)-actions by $\gamma(\pi) = (1+\pi)^{\chi(\gamma)} - 1$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma = \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $\varphi(\pi) = (1+\pi)^p - 1$.

Since we will often be in this case, for simplicity we overload our previous notation and omit (π) from the notation of Robba rings by writing, for example, $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}$ instead of $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(\pi)$.

In this case, $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} (1+\pi)^i \varphi \mathcal{R}_A^{[ps,pr]}$, so if $f = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (1+\pi)^i \varphi(f_i)$ then $\psi(f) = f_0$.

We define the special elements $q = \varphi(\pi)/\pi$, $q_n = \varphi^{n-1}(q)$ for $n \geq 1$, and $t = \log(1+\pi) = \pi \prod_{n \geq 1} (q_n/p)$ of $\mathcal{R}^\infty$. We have $\varphi(t) = pt$ and $\gamma(t) = \chi(\gamma)t$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

For $0 < s \leq r$, we have $q_n \notin (\mathcal{R}^{[s,r]})^\times$ if and only if $s \leq 1/p^{n-1} \leq r$; in this case, $\mathcal{R}^{[s,r]}/q_n \cong \mathbb{Q}_p[\pi]/q_n$ may be identified with $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n})$ by identifying $1+\pi$ with ζ_{p^n}. This identification is equivariant for Γ, and for φ in the sense that $\varphi : \mathcal{R}^{[s,r]}/q_n \to \mathcal{R}^{[s/p,r/p]}/q_{n+1}$ is identified with the natural embedding $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n}) \to \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^{n+1}})$.

2.3 Cohomology of (φ, Γ)-modules and duality

Fontaine and Herr [25] defined a cohomology theory for (φ, Γ)-modules, compatible with the theory of Galois cohomology. When A is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, Liu [27] showed that this cohomology theory of (φ, Γ)-modules admits analogs of local Tate duality and the Euler characteristic formula for p-adic representations of G_K.

Notation 2.3.1. Let Δ_K denote the p-torsion subgroup of Γ_K, which is trivial if $p \neq 2$ and at largest cyclic of order two. Choose $\gamma_K \in \Gamma_K$ whose image in Γ_K/Δ_K is a topological generator. (This choice is useful for explicit formulas, but if desired one can reformulate everything to eliminate this choice.)

Definition 2.3.2. For M a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, we define the complexes $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M)$ and $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\psi,\gamma_K}(M)$ concentrated in degree $[0,2]$, and a morphism Ψ_M between them, as follows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M) \arrow[d, "\Psi_M"] & = & {[M^{\Delta_K}} \arrow[r, "{(\varphi-1,\gamma_K-1)}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] & M^{\Delta_K} \oplus M^{\Delta_K} \arrow[r, "(1-\gamma_K)\oplus(\varphi-1)"] \arrow[d, "-\psi\oplus\mathrm{id}"] & {M^{\Delta_K}]} \arrow[d, "-\psi"] \\
\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\psi,\gamma_K}(M) & = & {[M^{\Delta_K}} \arrow[r, "{(\psi-1,\gamma_K-1)}"] & M^{\Delta_K} \oplus M^{\Delta_K} \arrow[r, "(1-\gamma_K)\oplus(\psi-1)"] & {M^{\Delta_K}].}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.3.2.1}$$

One shows that these complexes and the morphism are independent of the choice of γ_K up to canonical quasi-isomorphism.

The complex $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M)$ is called the *Herr complex* of M. Its cohomology group is denoted $H^*_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M)$ and is called the (φ, Γ)-*cohomology*. We similarly define $H^*_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M)$ to be the cohomology of $\mathrm{C}^{\bullet}_{\psi,\gamma_K}(M)$, called the (ψ,Γ)-*cohomology* of M. We remark that the same definition applies to any module N with a commuting action of φ (resp. ψ) and Γ_K; we denote the resulting cohomology by $H^*_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N)$ (resp. $H^*_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(N)$).

Remark 2.3.3. From the previous definition, we easily deduce a useful short exact sequence

$$0 \to M^{\Delta_K,\psi=1}/(\gamma_K-1) \to H^1_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M) \to (M/(\psi-1))^{\Gamma_K} \to 0.$$

Proposition 2.3.4. *For M a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, the morphism $\Psi_M : \mathrm{C}^{\bullet}_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M) \to \mathrm{C}^{\bullet}_{\psi,\gamma_K}(M)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Hence we have $H^*_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M) \cong H^*_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M)$.*

Proof. The morphism Ψ_M is surjective at each degree. The kernel of Ψ_M is the complex $[M^{\Delta_K,\psi=0} \xrightarrow{\gamma_K-1} M^{\Delta_K,\psi=0}]$ concentrated in degrees 1 and 2. The bijectivity of γ_K-1 on $M^{\Delta_K,\psi=0}$ will be proved in Theorem 3.1.1 in the next subsection (the reader can check that there is no circular reasoning), and the proposition follows from this fact. ☐

From now on, we will use the (φ,Γ)-cohomology and the (ψ,Γ)-cohomology interchangeably without notification.

Proposition 2.3.5. *Let V be a finite projective A-module equipped with a continuous A-linear action of G_K. If we use $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cont}}(G_K,V)$ to denote the cohomology of continuous G_K-cochains with values in V, we have a functorial isomorphism $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathrm{cont}}(G_K,V) \cong \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ compatible with base change.*

Proof. This is [32, Theorem 2.8]. ☐

Lemma 2.3.6. *Let L be a finite extension of K. Let M be a (φ,Γ_L)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_L)$. We have a natural quasi-isomorphism $\mathrm{C}^{\bullet}_{\varphi,\Gamma_L}(M) \to \mathrm{C}^{\bullet}_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(\mathrm{Ind}_L^K(M))$ (inducing isomorphisms $H^i_{\varphi,\Gamma_L}(M) \cong H^i_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(\mathrm{Ind}_L^K M)$ for any i).*

Proof. For simplicity, we assume that $p>2$; the $p=2$ case can be treated similarly with some care about Δ_K and Δ_L. We may take generators γ_K (resp. γ_L) of Γ_K (resp. Γ_L) so that $\gamma_L = \gamma_K^{[\Gamma_K:\Gamma_L]}$. Frobenius reciprocity gives a natural homomorphism $\alpha : M \to \mathrm{Ind}_L^K M \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_L]}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_K], M)$. We then have a natural morphism of complexes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[}\, M \arrow[r, "\gamma_L-1"] \arrow[d, "(1+\gamma_K+\cdots+\gamma_K^{[\Gamma_K:\Gamma_L]-1})\circ\alpha"'] & M \,{]} \arrow[d, "\alpha"] \\
{[}\, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_L]}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_K], M) \arrow[r, "\gamma_K-1"] & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_L]}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_K], M) \,{]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

This morphism is a quasi-isomorphism by the usual Frobenius reciprocity. Taking φ-cohomology of the above quasi-isomorphism proves the lemma. ☐

Definition 2.3.7. Let $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ denote the (φ,Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}$ corresponding to the representation $\mathbb{Q}_p(1)$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. For M a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, we write $M(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ for the twist $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \boldsymbol{\omega}$, $M^\vee$ for the module dual $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)}(M, \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K))$, and $M^* = M^\vee(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ for the *Cartier dual.* For L a finite extension of K and M a (φ,Γ_L)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_L)$, we have $(\mathrm{Ind}_L^K(M))^* = \mathrm{Ind}_L^K(M^*)$.

Lemma 2.3.8. *There is a natural isomorphism* $H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\boldsymbol{\omega})) \to A$ *(called the* trace map*). This gives rise to a continuous* (φ,ψ,Γ_K)*-invariant* A*-linear homomorphism* $\mathrm{Res}_K : \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \to A$*, where the actions are all trivial on the target.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3.5, we have

$$H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\boldsymbol{\omega})) \cong H^2(G_K, A(1)) \cong A.$$

By Proposition 2.3.4, this induces a natural (φ,ψ,Γ_K)-invariant A-linear homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Res}_K : \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \to \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\boldsymbol{\omega})^{\Delta_K}/(\psi-1,\gamma_K-1) \overset{-\psi}{\underset{\cong}{\longleftarrow}} \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\boldsymbol{\omega})^{\Delta_K}/(\varphi-1,\gamma_K-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} A.$$

The middle map $-\psi$ has the same effect on the underlying elements of the Robba ring as multiplication by -1. ☐

Remark 2.3.9. When $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, $\mathcal{R}_A(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ may be identified with $\mathcal{R}_A\frac{d\pi}{1+\pi}$ for its Γ-action (but not its φ-action). The natural homomorphism Res is to take the coefficient of $\pi^{-1}d\pi$ in the formal Laurent series expansion of an element of $\mathcal{R}_A\frac{d\pi}{1+\pi}$.

We first record a general formalism of cup products, which is well-known in the literature.

Lemma 2.3.10. *Let* R *be a ring. Let* $f_i, g_i : \mathrm{C}_i^\bullet \to \mathrm{D}_i^\bullet$ *for* $i = 1, 2$ *be morphisms of* R*-complexes. Then we have a natural morphism*

$$[\mathrm{C}_1^\bullet \xrightarrow{f_1-g_1} \mathrm{D}_1^\bullet] \otimes [\mathrm{C}_2^\bullet \xrightarrow{f_2-g_2} \mathrm{D}_2^\bullet] \quad \longrightarrow \quad \big[\mathrm{C}_1^\bullet \otimes \mathrm{C}_2^\bullet \xrightarrow{f_1\otimes f_2 - g_1\otimes g_2} \mathrm{D}_1^\bullet \otimes \mathrm{D}_2^\bullet\big]$$

given by the downward arrows of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{C}_1^\bullet \otimes \mathrm{C}_2^\bullet \arrow[r, "\big((f_1-g_1)\otimes 1{,}1\otimes(f_2-g_2)\big)"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}"] & \mathrm{D}_1^\bullet \otimes \mathrm{C}_2^\bullet \oplus \mathrm{C}_1^\bullet \otimes \mathrm{D}_2^\bullet \arrow[r, "\big(1\otimes(g_2-f_2)\big)\oplus\big((f_1-g_1)\otimes 1\big)"] \arrow[d, "1\otimes g_2\oplus f_1\otimes 1"] & \mathrm{D}_1^\bullet \otimes \mathrm{D}_2^\bullet \\
\mathrm{C}_1^\bullet \otimes \mathrm{C}_2^\bullet \arrow[r, "f_1\otimes f_2-g_1\otimes g_2"] & \mathrm{D}_1^\bullet \otimes \mathrm{D}_2^\bullet &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. It is straightforward to check the commutativity of the diagram. ☐

Definition 2.3.11. For M, N two (φ,Γ_K)-modules over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, we may apply Lemma 2.3.10 twice (with $R = A$) to the cases $f_i = \varphi$ and γ_K and $g_i = \mathrm{id}$, then project from $M \otimes_A N$ to $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)} N$, to get the A-bilinear cup product morphism $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M) \times \mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(N) \to \mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)} N)$. This morphism induces an A-bilinear pairing $H^i_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M) \times H^j_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N) \to H^{i+j}_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)} N)$. We only write out one of the formulas explicitly; for others, see [27, §3.1].

$$\overline{(x_1, x_2)} \otimes \overline{(y_1, y_2)} \mapsto \overline{x_2 \otimes \gamma(y_1) - x_1 \otimes \varphi(y_2)}$$

Using the cup product, functoriality for the morphism $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)} M^* \to \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\boldsymbol{\omega})$, and the trace map $H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\boldsymbol{\omega})) \cong A$ (Lemma 2.3.8), one gets *Tate duality pairings*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M) \times \mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M^*) &\to \mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\boldsymbol{\omega})) \\
&\to H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\boldsymbol{\omega}))[-2] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}_K} A[-2], \qquad (2.3.11.1)
\end{aligned}$$

$$H^i_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M) \times H^{2-i}_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M^*) \to H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\boldsymbol{\omega})) \cong A. \qquad (2.3.11.2)$$

Notation 2.3.12. One can also define the duality map using the residue map as follows. Let $\langle -,-\rangle$ denote the pairing $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)} M^* \to \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\boldsymbol{\omega})$. This defines a map, called the *Tate residue pairing*, $\{-,-\} : M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)} M^* \to A$ by $\operatorname{Res}\circ\langle -,-\rangle$ (our notation differs from the twisted pairing defined by Colmez [16]). It then follows that $\{\gamma(x),\gamma(y)\} = \{\varphi(x),\varphi(y)\} = \{x,y\}$ and $\{\varphi(x),y\} = \{x,\psi(y)\}$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$, $x \in M$ and $y \in M^*$. Then the Tate duality map can be deduced from the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma}(M) \; = & {[}\; M^{\Delta_K} \arrow[r] & M^{\Delta_K} \oplus M^{\Delta_K} \arrow[r] & M^{\Delta_K} \; {]} & \\
& \times & \times & \times & \\
& {[}\; (M^*)^{\Delta_K} \arrow[d, "\{-,-\}"] & (M^*)^{\Delta_K} \oplus (M^*)^{\Delta_K} \arrow[l] \arrow[d, "\{-,-\}"] & (M^*)^{\Delta_K} \; {]} \arrow[l] \arrow[d, "\{-,-\}"] & = \; \mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\psi,\gamma}(M) \\
& A & A & A &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The following extension of Tate local duality is due to Liu [27]. We will later generalize this result to the case of a general affinoid algebra A in Theorem 4.4.5.

Theorem 2.3.13 (Liu)**.** *Suppose that A is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let M be a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$.*

(1) The A-vector spaces $H^i_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M)$ are finite-dimensional for $i = 0,1,2$.

(2) The Euler characteristic $\chi(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{2}(-1)^i \dim_A H^i_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M)$ equals $-[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]\operatorname{rank} M$.

(3) The duality cup product (2.3.11.2) *is a perfect pairing.*

3 The ψ operator

We now focus attention more closely on the action of the operator ψ on (φ,Γ)-modules, and particularly the kernel and cokernel of $\psi - 1$. The technical importance of the ψ-action is apparent in much of the prior work on the cohomology of (φ,Γ)-modules. Moreover, Fontaine observed that, in addition to being a useful intermediate step in the computation of (φ,Γ)-cohomology, ψ-cohomology is itself important because of its identification with Iwasawa cohomology; see [13]. We thus take a bit of extra care in our analysis of ψ-cohomology here, in order to later obtain conclusions about Iwasawa cohomology in arithmetic families.

Notation 3.0.1. Let C be an abelian group, written multiplicatively, of the form $G \times C_1$ where G is finite and C_1 is topologically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with topological generator $c \in C_1$. For $0 < s \le r$, we define $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(C_1)$ to be the formal substitution of T by $c-1$ in $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}$, and $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(C) = \mathcal{R}_A^{[ps,pr]}(C_1)[G]$. For $n \ge 1$, we set $C_n = C_1^{p^{n-1}}$ and we have $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s/p^n,r/p^n]}(C) = \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(C_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[C_n]} \mathbb{Z}[C]$.

Notation 3.0.2. When $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, we use the following notation for subgroups of Γ. For $n \ge 1$ (resp. $n \ge 2$ if $p = 2$), write $\gamma_n \in \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ for the unique element with $\chi(\gamma_n) = 1 + p^n$, which topologically generates the subgroup Γ_n of Γ mapped isomorphically by χ onto $1 + p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$, so that $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \mu_{p-1}$ (resp. $\Gamma = \Gamma_2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ if $p = 2$).

Notation 3.0.3. For K a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, let e_{Γ_K} denote the index of a maximal $\mathbb{Z}_p$-subgroup of Γ_K in a maximal $\mathbb{Z}_p$-subgroup of Γ. Then $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s/e_{\Gamma_K}, r/e_{\Gamma_K}]}(\Gamma)$ is a finite free $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(\Gamma_K)$-module for any $0 < s < r$.

Remark 3.0.4. Although we have assumed throughout A to be a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-affinoid algebra, the statements in this section (with the same proofs) apply to any noetherian Banach algebra A over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

3.1 Γ-action on $M^{\psi=0}$

The aim of this subsection is to prove the following theorem regarding the Γ_K-action on $M^{\psi=0}$, by removing an auxiliary boundedness condition from [12, Théorème 2.4].

Theorem 3.1.1. *For M any (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < r \leq r_0$, $\gamma_K - 1$ is invertible on $(M^r)^{\psi=0}$, and the $A[\Gamma_K, (\gamma_K - 1)^{-1}]$-module structure on $(M^r)^{\psi=0}$ extends uniquely by continuity to an $\mathcal{R}_A^{er}(\Gamma_K)$-module structure with $e = \tilde{e}_K e_{\Gamma_K}$, for which $(M^r)^{\psi=0}$ is finite projective of rank $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] \cdot \operatorname{rank} M$.*

Proof. We first observe that

$$(\operatorname{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}_p} M)^{\psi=0} = \left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_K]}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma], M)\right)^{\psi=0} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_K]}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma], M^{\psi=0}).$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ is a finite free $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_K]$-module, the statement of the theorem for M is equivalent to that for $\operatorname{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}_p} M$. Hence we can and will assume that $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ from now on.

We may assume that M is a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$, where r_0 is small enough so that $|p| < |\pi^p|_{r_0}$ and that ψ is defined. For $0 < s \leq r \leq r_0$, we have a decomposition

$$(M^{[s/p^n, r/p^n]})^{\psi=0} = \bigoplus_{i \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times} (1+\pi)^i \varphi^n M^{[s,r]} \cong (1+\pi)\varphi^n M^{[s,r]} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n]} \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$$

of $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-module. Since $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s/p^n, r/p^n]}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(\Gamma_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n]} \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$, we need only to prove the following: for *some* $n \geq 2$, $(1+\pi)\varphi^n M^{r_0}$ admits by continuity a unique $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\Gamma_n)$-module structure, and with respect to this structure it is finite projective of the same rank as M.

Since M is a finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-module, there exists a finite (projective) $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$-module N such that $M \oplus N$ is free with basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_m$ over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$. For $I = [r_0, r_0]$, $[r_0/p, r_0/p]$, or $[r_0/p, r_0]$, set $N^I = N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_A^I$. We equip M^I and N^I with the any Banach module norms $|\cdot|_{M,I}$ and $|\cdot|_{N,I}$, and $M^I \oplus N^I$ with the supremum of these norms, denoted $|\cdot|_{M \oplus N, I}$.

The continuity condition for the action of Γ on M implies that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ the operator norms of $\gamma_n - 1$ satisfy $\|\gamma_n - 1\|_{M,I} < |\pi|_I$ for any $I = [r_0, r_0]$, $[r_0/p, r_0/p]$, or $[r_0/p, r_0]$.

We will prove in Corollary 3.1.3 that, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $(1+\pi)\varphi^{n_0} M^{[r_0/p^l, r_0/p^{l-1}]}$ admits by continuity a unique $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r_0/p^l, r_0/p^{l-1}]}(\Gamma_{n_0})$-module structure, and it is finite projective of the same rank as M, in fact generated by m elements (and hence (m,m)-finitely presented because of the projectivity), such that

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+\pi)\varphi^{n_0} M^{[r_0/p^l, r_0/p^{l-1}]} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{[r_0/p^l, r_0/p^{l-1}]}(\Gamma_{n_0})} \mathcal{R}_A^{[r_0/p^l, r_0/p^l]}(\Gamma_{n_0})\\ \cong\ &(1+\pi)\varphi^{n_0} M^{[r_0/p^{l+1}, r_0/p^l]} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{[r_0/p^{l+1}, r_0/p^l]}(\Gamma_{n_0})} \mathcal{R}_A^{[r_0/p^l, r_0/p^l]}(\Gamma_{n_0})\end{aligned}$$

for any l. By Proposition 2.1.15(3), this implies that $(1+\pi)\varphi^{n_0} M^{r_0}$ is a finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\Gamma_{n_0})$-module of the same rank as M. ☐

Lemma 3.1.2. *Retain the notations of the preceding proof. Let* $I = [r_0/p, r_0], [r_0/p, r_0/p]$, *or* $[r_0, r_0]$. *If* $n \geq n_0$, *then* $(1+\pi)\varphi^n M^I$ *admits by continuity a unique* $\mathcal{R}_A^I(\Gamma_n)$*-module structure, and it is finite projective of the same rank as* M *with (at most)* m *generators. Moreover, we have natural isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned}(1+\pi)\varphi^n M^{[r_0/p, r_0/p]} &\cong (1+\pi)\varphi^n M^{[r_0/p, r_0]} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{[r_0/p, r_0]}(\Gamma_n)} \mathcal{R}_A^{[r_0/p, r_0/p]}(\Gamma_n), \text{ and}\\ (1+\pi)\varphi^n M^{[r_0, r_0]} &\cong (1+\pi)\varphi^n M^{[r_0/p, r_0]} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{[r_0/p, r_0]}(\Gamma_n)} \mathcal{R}_A^{[r_0, r_0]}(\Gamma_n).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We observe that $\gamma_n - 1$ acts on $(1+\pi)\varphi^n M^I$ by sending $(1+\pi)\varphi^n(x)$ to

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_n((1+\pi)\varphi^n(x)) - (1+\pi)\varphi^n(x) &= (1+\pi)(1+\pi)^{p^n}\varphi^n\gamma_n(x) - (1+\pi)\varphi^n(x)\\ &= (1+\pi)\varphi^n((1+\pi)\gamma_n(x) - x)\\ &= (1+\pi)\varphi^n(G_{\gamma_n}(x)),\end{aligned}$$

where we denote by G_{γ_n} the operator on M^I given by

$$G_{\gamma_n} : x \mapsto (1+\pi)\gamma_n(x) - x = \pi \cdot \left(1 + \frac{(1+\pi)}{\pi}(\gamma_n - 1)\right)(x).$$

We observe that, by our choice of n_0, the series

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} \left(-\frac{(1+\pi)}{\pi}(\gamma_n - 1)\right)^k \cdot \pi^{-1}$$

converges for the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{M,I}$, and is inverse to G_{γ_n}. Thus $\gamma_n - 1$ acts inertibly on $(1+\pi)\varphi^n M^I$. Moreover, we have $\|(G_{\gamma_n})^{\pm 1} - (\pi)^{\pm 1}\|_{M,I} < |\pi^{\pm 1}|_I$. As a result, $(1+\pi)\varphi^n M^I$ admits a unique continuous action of $\mathcal{R}_A^I(\Gamma_n)$.

We extend the action of G_{γ_n} to $M^I \oplus N^I$ by letting G_{γ_n} to be multiplication by π on N^I. We still have

$$\|(G_{\gamma_n})^{\pm 1} - (\pi)^{\pm 1}\|_{M\oplus N,I} < |\pi^{\pm 1}|_I. \tag{3.1.2.1}$$

Consider the following two maps

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{R}_A^I(\Gamma_n)\mathbf{e}_i &\longrightarrow M^I \oplus N^I & \qquad \Phi' : \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{R}_A^I(\Gamma_n)\mathbf{e}_i &\longrightarrow M^I \oplus N^I\\ \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(\gamma_n - 1)\mathbf{e}_i &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(\pi)\mathbf{e}_i & \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(\gamma_n - 1)\mathbf{e}_i &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(G_{\gamma_n})\mathbf{e}_i,\end{aligned}$$

where $f_i(G_{\gamma_n})$ is the formal substitution of G_{γ_n} into the variable of the formal Laurent series. If we provide $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{R}_A^I(\Gamma_n)\mathbf{e}_i$ with the norm defined by the $\mathbf{e}_i$, the map Φ is a topological isomorphism. The norm condition (3.1.2.1) implies that $|\Phi' \circ \Phi^{-1}(x) - x|_{M\oplus N,I} < |x|_{M\oplus N,I}$, forcing Φ' to be an isomorphism too. Hence, if we let $\mathcal{R}_A^I(G_{\gamma_n})$ denote the formal substitution of G_{γ_n} into the indeterminate of $\mathcal{R}_A^I$, then $M^I \oplus N^I$ is a free $\mathcal{R}_A^I(G_{\gamma_n})$-module of rank m. In particular, this implies that $(1+\pi)\varphi^n M^I$ is finite projective over $\mathcal{R}_A^I(\Gamma_n)$, and is generated by m elements. Moreover, since the definition of Φ' is compatible with changing the interval I, we have the base change property asserted in the lemma.

By our construction, we have $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_A^I(\pi_K)}(M^I \oplus N^I) = m = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_A^I(G_{\gamma_n})}(M^I \oplus N^I)$. Since the G_{γ_n}-action on N^I is given by multiplication by π, we see immediately that $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_A^I(\Gamma_n)}(1+\pi)\varphi^n M^I$ agrees with $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)} M$. □

Corollary 3.1.3. *Retain the notations of the preceding proof. For any* $l \geq 0$, *let* $I_l = [r_0/p^{l+1}, r_0/p^l], [r_0/p^{l+1}, r_0/p^{l+1}]$, *or* $[r_0/p^l, r_0/p^l]$. *Then* $(1+\pi)\varphi^{n_0} M^I$ *is a finite projective module over* $\mathcal{R}_A^I(\Gamma_{n_0})$ *of the same rank as* M *with (at most)* m *generators. Moreover, we have*

$$(1+\pi)\varphi^n M^{[r_0/p^{l+1}, r_0/p^{l+1}]} \cong (1+\pi)\varphi^n M^{[r_0/p^{l+1}, r_0/p^l]} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{[r_0/p^{l+1}, r_0/p^l]}(\Gamma_n)} \mathcal{R}_A^{[r_0/p^{l+1}, r_0/p^{l+1}]}(\Gamma_n), \text{ and}$$

$$(1+\pi)\varphi^n M^{[r_0/p^l, r_0/p^l]} \cong (1+\pi)\varphi^n M^{[r_0/p^{l+1}, r_0/p^l]} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{[r_0/p^{l+1}, r_0/p^l]}(\Gamma_n)} \mathcal{R}_A^{[r_0/p^l, r_0/p^l]}(\Gamma_n).$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.1.2 and the fact that, for any $0 < s \leq r \leq r_0$,

$$(1+\pi)\varphi^n M^{[s/p^l, r/p^l]} = (1+\pi)\varphi^{n+l} M^{[s,r]} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_{n+l}]} \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_n] = (1+\pi)\varphi^{n+l} M^{[s,r]} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r]}(\Gamma_{n+l})} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s/p^l, r/p^l]}(\Gamma_n).$$

□

3.2 ψ-cohomology of M/tM

An important ingredient of Liu's proof [27] of Theorem 2.3.13 is the careful study of the (φ, Γ)-cohomology of "torsion" modules M/tM. This allows one to freely shift the slopes of the modules. We generalize this result to the relative setting, and give more information concerning the Γ-action.

Hypothesis 3.2.1. In this subsection, we assume that $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$.

The following lemma is essentially [15, Proposition 2.16].

Lemma 3.2.2. *Let* M *be a* (φ, Γ)*-module over* $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$. *If we denote* $M_n = M/q_n M$ *for* $n \geq -\log_p r_0$, *then we have the following.*

(1) $M/tM \cong \prod_{n \geq -\log_p r_0} M_n$;

(2) $M_{n'} \cong M_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n})} \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^{n'}})$ *as* $A[\Gamma]$*-modules for any* $n' \geq n \geq -\log_p r_0$;

(3) $\varphi : M/tM \to M^{r_0/p}/tM^{r_0/p}$ *takes* $(x_n)_n$ *to* $(x_{n-1})_n$;

(4) $\psi : M^{r_0/p}/tM^{r_0/p} \to M/tM$ takes $(x_n)_n$ to $(p^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}_{M_n/M_{n-1}}(x_n))_n$, where $\mathrm{Tr}_{M_n/M_{n-1}} : M_n \cong M_{n-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^{n-1}})} \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n}) \to M_{n-1}$ is given by the trace on the second factor.

Proof. (1) For $0 < s < r_0$, we have $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r_0]}/t\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r_0]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{-\log_p r_0 \le n \le -\log_p s} A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n})$, compatible with varying s and r. This implies that $M^{[s,r_0]}/tM^{[s,r_0]} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{-\log_p r_0 \le n \le -\log_p s} M_n$. Taking the inverse limit as $s \to 0^+$ and applying Lemma 2.1.6(2) proves (1).

The statement (2) follows from $M_{n+1} = M/q_{n+1}M \cong \varphi(M/q_nM) \otimes_{\varphi(\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}/q_n)} \mathcal{R}_A^{r_0/p}/q_{n+1} \cong M_n \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n})} \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^{n+1}})$.

The statements (3) and (4) are straightforward. □

The following proposition is a naïve generalization of [27, Theorem 4.7] for families of (φ, Γ)-modules.

Proposition 3.2.3. *Let M be a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A$. The cohomology groups $H^*_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M/tM)$ are finitely generated A-modules, and vanish outside degrees* 0, 1.

Proof. Assume that M is the base change of a (φ, Γ)-module M^{r_0} over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$. Denote $n_0 = \lceil -\log_p r_0 \rceil$. Then $M^{r_0}/tM^{r_0} \cong \prod_{n \ge n_0} M_n$. We first prove that $\varphi - 1 : M^{r_0}/tM^{r_0} \to M^{r_0/p}/tM^{r_0/p}$ is surjective. For $(x_n)_{n \ge n_0+1} \in M^{r_0/p}/tM^{r_0/p}$, we take $y_{n_0} = 0$ and $y_n = -x_n + x_{n-1} + x_{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^{n-n_0} x_{n_0+1}$ for $n \ge n_0+1$ and then $(\varphi - 1)(y_n)_{n \ge n_0} = (x_n)_{n \ge n_0+1}$. This proves that the cohomology vanishes outside degrees 0, 1.

By the description of φ-action in Lemma 3.2.2(3), we have $(M^r/tM^r)^{\varphi=1} \cong M_{\lceil -\log_p r \rceil}$ for $0 < r \le r_0$. Taking the limit as $r \to 0^+$, we have $(M/tM)^{\varphi=1} \cong \varinjlim_n M_n$, and hence $H^*_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M/tM)$ is the cohomology of the complex $[(\varinjlim_n M_n)^\Delta \xrightarrow{\gamma-1} (\varinjlim_n M_n)^\Delta]$. By the description of the Γ-action in Lemma 3.2.2(2) and the knowledge that $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$ is noncanonically the sum of all the irreducible $\mathbb{Q}_p$-representations of Γ/Γ_n, it suffices to know the following claim: for any A-valued Γ-representation W, the operator $\gamma - 1$ is bijective on all twists $W(\psi)$ by finite order characters ψ of sufficiently large conductor. Indeed, it suffices to check bijectivity of $\gamma_n - 1$ for γ_n a topological generator of some Γ_n. Choose n large enough that the operator norm $||\gamma_n - 1||_W$ is less than $p^{-1/(p-1)}$. Then for any character ψ such that $\psi|_{\Gamma_n}$ is nontrivial, it is easy to see that $\gamma_n - 1$ is bijective on $W(\psi)$. □

Since we will later be studying Iwasawa cohomology, we need a version of Proposition 3.2.3 for ψ-cohomology rather than (φ, Γ)-cohomology, which gives more understanding of the $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$-module structure.

Proposition 3.2.4. *Let M be a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A$. The map $\psi - 1 : M/tM \to M/tM$ is surjective, and its kernel admits a resolution $0 \to P \to Q \to (M/tM)^{\psi=1} \to 0$ by finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$-modules P and Q.*

Proof. Assume that M is the base change of a (φ, Γ)-module M^{r_0} over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$ with $r_0 < 1/p$. Set $n_0 = \lceil -\log_p r_0 \rceil \ge 2$, so that $M^{r_0}/tM^{r_0} \cong \prod_{n \ge n_0} M_n$ by Lemma 3.2.2(1). To see the surjectivity of $\psi - 1$, given $(x_n)_{n \ge n_0} \in M^{r_0}/tM^{r_0}$, the tuple (y_n) defined by $y_{n_0} = 0$ and $y_n = x_{n-1} + x_{n-2} + \cdots + x_{n_0}$ for $n \ge n_0 + 1$ satisfies $(\psi - 1)(y_n)_{n \ge n_0} = (x_n)_{n \ge n_0}$.

We now discuss the $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$-module structure of $(M^{r_0}/tM^{r_0})^{\psi=1}$. By Lemma 3.2.5 below, it suffices to find a two-term resolution by finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_{n_0})$-modules. Consider the following special elements of $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_{n_0})$: for $n \geq 1$, put $q_{0,\gamma_{n_0}} = \gamma_{n_0} - 1$, $q_{n,\gamma_{n_0}} = (\gamma_{n_0}^{p^n} - 1)/(\gamma_{n_0}^{p^{n-1}} - 1)$, and $\ell_{\gamma_{n_0}} = \prod_{n\geq 0} q_{n,\gamma_{n_0}}$.

By the description of the ψ-operator in Lemma 3.2.2(4), $(M/tM)^{\psi=1} \cong \varprojlim_{n\geq n_0} M_n$ with transition map ψ (which admits a right inverse). In particular, this implies that, in contrast to the (φ,Γ)-cohomology case (Proposition 3.2.3), $(M^{r_0}/tM^{r_0})^{\psi=1}$ does *not* depend on the choice of r_0. By Lemma 3.2.2(2), each M_n is isomorphic to $M_{n_0} \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_{n_0})/q_{n-n_0,\gamma_{n_0}}$ as an $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_{n_0})$-module, and hence $(M/tM)^{\psi=1}$ is isomorphic to $M_{n_0} \otimes_A (\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_{n_0})/\ell_{\gamma_{n_0}})$ as an $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_{n_0})$-module, where Γ_{n_0} acts diagonally on the two factors.

Now we view $M_{n_0} \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_{n_0})/\ell_{\gamma_{n_0}}$ as the cokernel of the natural map

$$M_{n_0} \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_{n_0}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\cdot\ell_{\gamma_{n_0}}} M_{n_0} \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_{n_0}). \tag{3.2.4.1}$$

We will prove that $M_{n_0} \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_{n_0})$ is a finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_{n_0})$-module, which would conclude the proposition. For this, we use an argument similar to that of Lemma 3.1.2. By Lemma 3.2.5 below, it suffices to prove that $M_{n_0} \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_{n_0})$ is finite projective as an $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_m)$-module for some $m \geq n_0$. Since M_{n_0} is finite flat over A, there exists an A-module N_{n_0} (with trivial Γ_{n_0}-action) such that $M_{n_0} \oplus N_{n_0}$ is a free A-module with basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d$. We choose Banach module norms on $|\cdot|_{M_{n_0}}$ and $|\cdot|_{N_{n_0}}$ on M_{n_0} and N_{n_0}, and equip $M_{n_0} \oplus N_{n_0}$ with the sup of these two norms, denoted $|\cdot|_{M_{n_0}\oplus N_{n_0}}$. There exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the operator norm $|\gamma_m - 1|_{M_{n_0}} = \omega^\epsilon < 1$. As in Lemma 3.1.2, we consider the following two maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_m)\mathbf{e}_i &\longrightarrow (M_{n_0} \oplus N_{n_0}) \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_m) \\ \Phi:\ \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(\gamma_m - 1)\mathbf{e}_i &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(\gamma_m - 1)\cdot(\mathbf{e}_i \otimes 1) \\ \Phi':\ \sum_{i=1}^d f_i(\gamma_m - 1)\mathbf{e}_i &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^d \mathbf{e}_i \otimes f_i(\gamma_m - 1). \end{aligned}$$

For each $r > 0$, let $|\cdot|_{L,r}$ denote the r-Gauss norm for the basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d$ on the left hand side, and let $|\cdot|_{R,r}$ denote the tensor product norm on the right hand given by the prescribed norm on $M_{n_0} \oplus N_{n_0}$ and the r-Gauss norm on $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_m)$. It is clear that Φ' is an isometric isomorphism of A-modules (not necessarily respecting the Γ_m-action). The bound on the operator norm of $\gamma_m - 1$ on M_{n_0} implies that for any $r \in (0,\epsilon)$, we have $|\Phi' \circ -\Phi^{-1}(x) - x|_{R,r} < |x|_{L,r}$ for any $x \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_m)\mathbf{e}_i$. This implies that Φ is an isomorphism and hence $M_n \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_m)$ is a finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_m)$-module. This finishes the proof. □

Lemma 3.2.5. *Let S be a finite Galois algebra over R with Galois group G and let N be an S-module. Assume that N, viewed as an R-module, admits a d-term resolution* $0 \to$

$P'^{-d+1} \to \cdots \to P'^0 \to N \to 0$ *by finite projective R-modules. Then N itself admits a d-term resolution by finite projective S-modules.*

Proof. Note that $S \otimes_R S \cong \oplus_{g \in G} S_g$ as S-modules. Tensoring this with the R-resolution of N over S gives a d-term resolution of $N \otimes_R S \cong \oplus_{g \in G} N_g$ by finite projective S-modules, where N_g is the same as N except its S-module structure is twisted by g. By a standard homological algebra argument, each direct summand of $\oplus_{g \in G} N_g$, and in particular N itself, admits such a resolution. □

Remark 3.2.6. With some mild generalization of Lemma 3.2.5, one can show that the $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$-modules P and Q in Proposition 3.2.4 have the same rank.

3.3 Finiteness of $M/(\psi - 1)$

We now make a calculation to control the cokernel of $\psi - 1$. Note that this argument (which makes no use of Γ) is similar to arguments used to control φ-cohomology in the development of slope filtration theory, as in [22, Proposition 2.1.5].

Hypothesis 3.3.1. In this subsection, we assume that $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Proposition 3.3.2. *Let M be a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A$.*

(1) The A-module $M/(\psi - 1)$ is finitely generated.

(2) For all sufficiently large integers n, the map $\psi - 1 : t^{-n}M \to t^{-n}M$ is surjective.

Proof. For a suitable choice of $r_0 \in (0, 1)$, we can realize M as the base extension of a φ-module M^{r_0} over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}$. Put $r = r_0/p^2$, choose generators $\mathbf{e}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}'_n$ of M^{r_0}, and take $\mathbf{e}_i = \varphi(\mathbf{e}'_i) \in M^{pr}$. Let N^{pr} be the free module over $\mathcal{R}_A^{pr}$ on the generators $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ and choose a splitting $N^{pr} \cong M^{pr} \oplus P^{pr}$ of the surjection $\mathrm{proj} : N^{pr} \to M^{pr}$. For $s \in (0, pr]$, let $|\cdot|_s$ denote the s-Gauss norm on N^{pr} for the basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$, and restrict this norm to M^{pr}. Let $||\mathrm{proj}||_s$ denote the operator norm of $\mathrm{proj} : N^{pr} \to M^{pr}$ with respect to the s-Gauss norm.

Choose a matrix F' with entries in $\mathcal{R}_A^{pr}$ such that $\mathbf{e}_j = \sum_i F'_{ij} \mathbf{e}'_i$ and put $F = \varphi(F')$, so that $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_j) = \sum_i F_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i$ and F has entries in $\mathcal{R}_A^r$. Choose also a matrix G with entries in $\mathcal{R}_A^{pr}$ such that $\mathbf{e}'_j = \sum_i G_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i$, so that $\mathbf{e}_j = \varphi(\sum_i G_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i)$. We then have

$$\varphi\left(\sum_j c_j \mathbf{e}_j\right) = \sum_i \left(\sum_j F_{ij} \varphi(c_j)\right) \mathbf{e}_i \qquad (c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathcal{R}_A^r), \tag{3.3.2.1}$$

$$\psi\left(\sum_j c_j \mathbf{e}_j\right) = \sum_i \left(\sum_j G_{ij} \psi(c_j)\right) \mathbf{e}_i \qquad (c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathcal{R}_A^{r/p}). \tag{3.3.2.2}$$

Choose $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Note that for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ sufficiently small and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ sufficiently large (they need not to be integers), one has

$$\omega^{a(p^{-1}-1)r} ||\mathrm{proj}||_r \cdot |G|_r \le \epsilon, \qquad \omega^{b(p-1)r} ||\mathrm{proj}||_r \cdot |F|_r \le \epsilon.$$

Choose some such a, b and define the A-linear map $\Pi : M^r \to M^r$ by the formula

$$\Pi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} c_{ij}\pi^i\mathbf{e}_j\right) = \mathrm{proj}\ \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i\in[a,b]\cap\mathbb{Z}} c_{ij}\pi^i\mathbf{e}_j.$$

Note that Π projects M^r onto a finite A-submodule of M^r and that $|\Pi(\mathbf{v})|_r \leq ||\mathrm{proj}||_r \cdot |\mathbf{v}|_r$ for $\mathbf{v} \in M^r$. Define the map $\lambda : M^r \to M^r$ by the formula

$$\lambda\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} c_{ij}\pi^i\mathbf{e}_j\right) = \mathrm{proj}\ \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i<a} c_{ij}\pi^i\mathbf{e}_j - \mathrm{proj}\ \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i>b} \varphi(c_{ij}\pi^i\mathbf{e}_j). \tag{3.3.2.3}$$

Here we use (3.3.2.1) to write $\varphi(c_{ij}\pi^i\mathbf{e}_j)$ as $\sum_l F_{lj}\varphi(c_{ij}\pi^i)\mathbf{e}_l$; hence the right hand of (3.3.2.3) lies in M^r. From the definition, we have the following formula for a given element $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} c_{ij}\pi^i\mathbf{e}_j$ in M^r:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v} - \Pi(\mathbf{v}) + (\psi - 1)(\lambda(\mathbf{v})) &= \mathrm{proj}\ \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i<a} \psi(c_{ij}\pi^i\mathbf{e}_j) - \mathrm{proj}\ \sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i>b} \varphi(c_{ij}\pi^i\mathbf{e}_j)\\ &= \mathrm{proj}\ \sum_{j,l=1}^{n}\sum_{i<a} G_{lj}\psi(c_{ij}\pi^i)\mathbf{e}_j - \mathrm{proj}\ \sum_{j,l=1}^{n}\sum_{i>b} F_{lj}\varphi(c_{ij}\pi^i)\mathbf{e}_j.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3.2.4}$$

By our choice of a, b, we have

$$|\mathbf{v} - \Pi(\mathbf{v}) + (\psi - 1)(\lambda(\mathbf{v}))|_r \leq \epsilon|\mathbf{v}|_r.$$

Given $\mathbf{v} \in M^r$, put $\mathbf{v}_0 = \mathbf{v}$ and

$$\mathbf{v}_{l+1} = \mathbf{v}_l - \Pi(\mathbf{v}_l) + (\psi - 1)(\lambda(\mathbf{v}_l)). \tag{3.3.2.5}$$

Then $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{v}_l$, provided this sum converges, is an element of M^r satisfying

$$\mathbf{v} - \Pi(\mathbf{w}) + (\psi - 1)(\lambda(\mathbf{w})) = 0.$$

This sum converges for the r-Gauss norm by (3.3.2.5). We will check the convergence for s-Gauss norm when $s < r/p$; this is enough because knowing the convergence for smaller s gives it for larger s. For any $s \in (0, r/p)$, we again choose $a' \leq a$ sufficiently small and $b' \geq b$ sufficiently large so that

$$\omega^{a'(p^{-1}-1)s}||\mathrm{proj}||_s \cdot |G|_s \leq \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \omega^{b'(p-1)s}||\mathrm{proj}||_s \cdot |F|_s \leq \epsilon.$$

We separate the powers of π in the expression (3.3.2.4) defining $\mathbf{v}_l$ into the ranges $[a', a)$, $(b, b']$, and $(-\infty, a') \cup (b', \infty)$. By the same argument, the summation over all powers of π in $(-\infty, a') \cup (b', \infty)$ has s-Gauss norm less than or equal to $\epsilon|\mathbf{v}_l|_s$. The summation over powers of π in $[a', a)$ has s-Gauss norm less than or equal to $\omega^{a(p^{-1}s-r)}|G|_s|\mathbf{v}_l|_r$ (note that we are comparing it with $|\mathbf{v}_l|_r$ as opposed to $|\mathbf{v}_l|_s$). The summation over powers of π in $(b, b']$ has s-Gauss norm less than or equal to $\omega^{b'(sp-r)}|F|_s|\mathbf{v}_l|_r$. In summary, we have

$$|\mathbf{v}_{l+1}|_s \leq \max\{\omega^{b'(sp-r)}|F|_s|\mathbf{v}_l|_r,\ \omega^{a(p^{-1}s-r)}|G|_s|\mathbf{v}_l|_r,\ \epsilon|\mathbf{v}_l|_s\}.$$

From this, it follows that the series defining $\mathbf{w}$ converges also under $|\cdot|_s$; since s was an arbitrary element of $(0, r/p)$, we deduce that $\mathbf{w} \in M^r$.

Given any $\mathbf{v} \in M$, we can find $s \in (0, r]$ such that $\mathbf{v} \in M^s$. Choose a nonnegative integer m for which $p^m s \geq r$; then $\psi^r(\mathbf{v})$ is an element of M^r representing the same class in $M/(\psi - 1)M$ as $\mathbf{v}$. By the previous paragraph, however, this class is represented by an element in the image of Π; we thus deduce (1).

To prove (2), note that replacing M with $t^{-n}M$ has the effect of replacing F with $p^{-n}F$ and G with p^nG. It is thus sufficient to check that for n large, we can find a single value $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\omega^{a(p^{-1}-1)r}p^{-n}||\mathrm{proj}||_r \cdot |G|_r \leq \epsilon, \qquad \omega^{a(p-1)r}p^{n}||\mathrm{proj}||_r \cdot |F|_r \leq \epsilon,$$

as then the previous argument applies with an empty generating set. Namely, such a choice of a exists if and only if

$$p^{-n(p-1)}||\mathrm{proj}||_r^{p+1} \cdot |G|_r^p \cdot |F|_r \leq \epsilon^{p+1},$$

and it is clear that this holds for n large enough. $\square$

4 Finiteness of cohomology

In this section, we give our main results on finiteness of (φ, Γ)-cohomology and Iwasawa cohomology for relative (φ, Γ)-modules. To clarify the presentation, we postpone some key arguments to the next section.

4.1 Formalism of derived categories

We give a short discussion of the derived category of complexes of modules.

Hypothesis 4.1.1. In this subsection, we fix a commutative ring R.

Notation 4.1.2. Let $\mathbf{D}^{[a,b]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$ (resp. $\mathbf{D}^{\flat}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$, $\mathbf{D}^{-}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$) denote the subcategory of the derived category of complexes of R-modules consisting of the complexes of R-modules which are quasi-isomorphic to complexes of finite projective R-modules concentrated in degrees in $[a, b]$ (resp. bounded degrees, degrees bounded above).

Lemma 4.1.3. *If a complex in $\mathbf{D}^{-}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$ has trivial cohomology in degrees strictly greater than b, then it is quasi-isomorphic to a complex of finite projective R-modules $P^\bullet$ with $P^{b+1} = P^{b+2} = \cdots = 0$. If the given complex lies in $\mathbf{D}^{\flat}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$, we may choose $P^\bullet$ such that, in addition, we have $P^{-n} = 0$ for n sufficiently large.*

Proof. The given complex is quasi-isomorphic to a complex $[\cdots \xrightarrow{d^{b'-2}} Q^{b'-1} \xrightarrow{d^{b'-1}} Q^{b'}]$ of finite projective R-modules in degrees bounded above, and also below if the given complex lies in $\mathbf{D}^{\flat}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$. Then the given complex is also quasi-isomorphic to the complex $[\cdots \xrightarrow{d^{b-2}} Q^{b-1} \xrightarrow{d^{b-1}} \mathrm{Ker}(d^b)]$. It suffices to prove that $\mathrm{Ker}\, d^b$ is finite projective. Indeed, the condition implies that $0 \to \mathrm{Ker}\, d^b \xrightarrow{d^b} Q^{b+1} \to \cdots \to Q^{b'} \to 0$ is a long exact sequence, in which all terms except $\mathrm{Ker}\, d^b$ are known to be finite projective. Therefore, $\mathrm{Ker}\, d^b$ is also finite projective. ☐

Lemma 4.1.4. *Let $P^\bullet \to Q^\bullet$ be a morphism of complexes in $\mathbf{D}^{-}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$. Then it is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if $P^\bullet \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_R R/\mathfrak{m} \to Q^\bullet \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_R R/\mathfrak{m}$ is a quasi-isomorphism for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of R.*

Proof. We may assume that both complexes are complexes of finite projective R-modules in degrees bounded above. It suffices to show that the total complex $S^\bullet = \mathrm{Cone}[P^\bullet \to Q^\bullet][-1]$ is acyclic. Suppose not. Let n be the maximal integer such that $H^n(S^\bullet)$ is nontrivial. Hence there exists a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of R such that $H^n(S^\bullet) \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$. Now, the spectral sequence $\mathrm{Tor}^R_j(H^i(S^\bullet), R/\mathfrak{m}) \Rightarrow H^{i-j}(S^\bullet \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ would lead to a contradiction at degree n, which is nonzero according to the spectral sequence and is zero by assumption. ☐

Lemma 4.1.5. *Let $P^\bullet$ be a bounded complex of flat R-modules with flat cohomology groups. Then for any R-algebra S, we have an isomorphism $H^i(P^\bullet) \otimes_R S \cong H^i(P^\bullet \otimes_R S)$.*

Proof. This is straightforward. ☐

Lemma 4.1.6. *If A is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and M is an $\mathcal{R}^{r_0}_A$-module which is finite over A, we have $M \in \mathbf{D}^{\flat}_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^{r_0}_A)$.*

Proof. In this case, M is supported at a finite set of closed points on $\mathrm{Max}(A) \times \mathrm{A}^1(0, r_0]$, so the lemma is obvious. ☐

Definition 4.1.7. For P a finite projective R-module, we denote its rank by $\mathrm{rank}_R\, P$, viewed as a function on the set of irreducible components of $\mathrm{Spec}\, R$ (and when $\mathrm{Spec}\, R$ is irreducible we regard it simply as a nonnegative integer).

For $P^\bullet \in \mathbf{D}^{[a,b]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$ a complex of finite projective R-modules concentrated in degrees in $[a, b]$, we define its *Euler characteristic* by $\chi_R(P^\bullet) = \sum_{i=a}^{b} (-1)^i \,\mathrm{rank}_R\, P^i$; it is invariant under quasi-isomorphisms, and is additive for distinguished triangles.

Definition 4.1.8. There is a duality functor $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, R) : \mathbf{D}^{[a,b]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R) \to \mathbf{D}^{[-b,-a]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$ given by sending a bounded complex $P^\bullet$ of finite projective R-modules to $(\mathrm{Hom}_R(P^{-i}, R))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$. The functor is well-defined and $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, R) \circ \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, R)$ is the identity.

4.2 Iwasawa cohomology of (φ, Γ)-modules

Following the work of Fontaine (see [13]) on computing the Iwasawa cohomology of a Galois representation using (φ, Γ)-modules, the second author pointed out in [33] that the Iwasawa cohomology of general (φ, Γ)-modules has many number-theoretic applications. This motivates a systematic study of the Iwasawa cohomology of a (φ, Γ)-module.

Notation 4.2.1. Let $\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K))$ denote the union of the $\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^{[s,\infty]}_A(\Gamma_K))$ for all $s > 0$. In other words, it is the set of closed points of the quasi-Stein rigid analytic space associated to $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$, viewed as a disjoint union of relative open discs. A closed point of $\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K))$ is the same datum as a pair (z, η), where $z \in \mathrm{Max}(A)$ and η is a character of Γ_K with values in some finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We let $\mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta)}$ be the corresponding maximal ideal and $\kappa_{(z,\eta)}$ the residue field (which is finite over $\mathbb{Q}_p$), so that η is given by the composition $\Gamma_K \to \mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K) \to \mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)/\mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta)} = \kappa_{(z,\eta)}$. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{(z,\eta)}$ denote the corresponding maximal ideal of $\mathcal{R}^\infty_{A/\mathfrak{m}_z}(\Gamma_K)$.

For M a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, let $M_z \otimes \eta$ denote the $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{(z,\eta)}}(\pi_K)$-module given by twisting the action of Γ_K on $M_z \otimes_{\kappa_z} \kappa_{(z,\eta)}$ by η.

When A is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we omit z from the notation by simply writing, for example, $M \otimes \eta$ and $\mathfrak{m}_\eta$.

Lemma 4.2.2. *For M a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, the action of $A[\Gamma_K]$ on M extends by continuity to an action of $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$. More precisely, if M is the base change of a (φ, Γ_K)-module M^{r_0} over $\mathcal{R}^{r_0}_A(\pi_K)$, for any $s \in (0, r_0]$, there exists $g_s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|(\gamma_K - 1)^{g_s}|_{M^{[s,r_0]}} < \omega$ for some fixed Banach norm on $M^{[s,r_0]}$.*

Proof. The second statement follows from the continuity of the Γ_K-action. The first statement is a corollary of the second. □

Definition 4.2.3. Let M be a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$. We write $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M)$ for the complex $[M \xrightarrow{\psi - 1} M]$ concentrated in degrees 1 and 2. Its cohomology groups $H^*_\psi(M)$ are called the *Iwasawa cohomology* of M, viewed as modules over $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$.

The following base change result from Iwasawa cohomology to Galois cohomology follows immediately from the definitions, and will often be used implicitly in the remainder of this paper.

Proposition 4.2.4. *For $\eta \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^\infty(\Gamma_K))$ a continuous character of Γ_K with coefficients in $L = \mathcal{R}^\infty(\Gamma_K)/\mathfrak{m}_\eta$, we have a natural quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)/\mathfrak{m}_\eta \mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\psi,\gamma_K}(M \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L(\eta^{-1})), \tag{4.2.4.1}$$

where $L(\eta^{-1})$ is a one-dimensional L-vector space with the trivial φ-action and the Γ_K-action by η^{-1}.

Notation 4.2.5. The involution $\iota : \Gamma_K \to \Gamma_K$ given by $\iota(\gamma) = \gamma^{-1}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ induces an involution $\iota : \mathcal{R}^?_A(\Gamma_K) \to \mathcal{R}^?_A(\Gamma_K)$, where $? = \emptyset, [s, r]$, or r with $0 < s \leq r$. For an $\mathcal{R}^?_A$-module N, we write N^ι for the $\mathcal{R}^?_A$-module with the same underlying abelian group N but with module structure twisted through ι.

Lemma 4.2.6. *Let M be a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$. The residue pairing $\{-,-\} : M \otimes_A M^* \to A$ introduced in Notation 2.3.12 is perfect and induces a $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$-linear isomorphism $M^* \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{A,\mathrm{cont}}(M, A)^\iota$. Moreover, under the decompositions $M = \varphi(M) \oplus M^{\psi=0}$ and $M^* = \varphi(M^*) \oplus (M^*)^{\psi=0}$, the isomorphism above induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma_K)$-modules*

$$(M^*)^{\psi=0} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma_K)}(M^{\psi=0}, \mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma_K))^\iota. \tag{4.2.6.1}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1.2, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{A,\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K), A) \cong \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K) \xrightarrow{\cdot(1+\pi)} \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\boldsymbol{\omega})$. By tensor-hom adjunction, we have an isomorphism of A-modules

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{A,\mathrm{cont}}(M, A) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)}(M, \mathrm{Hom}_{A,\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K), A)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)}(M, \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\boldsymbol{\omega})) \cong M^*. \end{aligned}$$

Unwrapping this isomorphism gives the Tate residue pairing $\{-,-\}$ of Notation 2.3.12. In particular, this implies that $\{\gamma(x), \gamma(y)\} = \{x, y\}$ for any $x \in M$, $y \in M^*$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$. Hence the $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$-module structures on both sides of the isomorphism differ by the twist ι.

Moreover, we have $\{\varphi(x), \varphi(y)\} = \{x, y\}$, $\{\varphi(x), y\} = \{x, \psi(y)\}$, and $\{\psi(x), y\} = \{x, \varphi(y)\}$ for any $x \in M$ and $y \in M^*$. From this, we see that $M^{\psi=0}$ is the exact orthogonal complement of $\varphi(M^*)$ and hence $(M^*)^{\psi=0} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{A,\mathrm{cont}}(M^{\psi=0}, A)^\iota$. By Theorem 3.1.1, the natural $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$-module structure on both sides of the isomorphism extends to a natural $\mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma_K)$-module structure. Applying the tensor-hom adjunction to this isomorphism gives

$$\begin{aligned} (M^*)^{\psi=0} &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{A,\mathrm{cont}}(M^{\psi=0}, A)^\iota \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma_K)}(M^{\psi=0}, \mathrm{Hom}_{A,\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma_K), A))^\iota \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma_K)}(M^{\psi=0}, \mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma_K))^\iota, \end{aligned}$$

as was desired. □

Corollary 4.2.7. *Let M be a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$. Then there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $(M^{*r_0})^{\psi=0} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\Gamma_K)}((M^{r_0})^{\psi=0}, \mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\Gamma_K))^\iota$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that for sufficiently small $r_0 > 0$, the isomorphism in (4.2.6.1) sends the $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\Gamma_K)$-structure of the left hand side into that of the right hand side. By Theorem 3.1.1 and Remark 2.1.19, after perhaps shrinking r_0, the resulting morphism is an isomorphism. □

Definition 4.2.8. The isomorphism in (4.2.6.1) gives a continuous A-linear homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\psi=0} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)} (M^*)^{\psi=0,\iota} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma_K) &\longrightarrow A \\ \big(x, y, f(\gamma)\big) &\longmapsto \{f(\gamma)x, y\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the natural maps $\varphi - 1 : M^{\psi=1} \to M^{\psi=0}$ and $\varphi - 1 : (M^*)^{\psi=1} \to (M^*)^{\psi=0}$, we obtain the following continuous A-linear homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\psi=1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)} (M^*)^{\psi=1,\iota} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma_K) &\longrightarrow A \\ \big(x, y, f(\gamma)\big) &\longmapsto \{f(\gamma)(\varphi - 1)x, (\varphi - 1)y\} \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.2.9 below, we may replace the third factor in the map above by $\mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma_K)/\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$. By Lemma 2.1.2, we obtain a continuous $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$-linear pairing

$$\{-,-\}_{\mathrm{Iw}} : M^{\psi=1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)} (M^*)^{\psi=1,\iota} \to \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K),$$

called the *Iwasawa pairing*. This gives rise to a natural $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$-linear map $(M^*)^{\psi=1} \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K),\mathrm{cont}}(M^{\psi=1}, \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K))^\iota$.

Lemma 4.2.9. *For any $x \in M^{\psi=1}$, $y \in (M^*)^{\psi=1}$, and $f(\gamma) \in \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$, we have $\{f(\gamma)(\varphi-1)x, (\varphi-1)y\} = 0$.*

Proof. It suffices to check that $\{(\varphi-1)x, (\varphi-1)y\} = 0$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\{(\varphi-1)x, (\varphi-1)y\} &= \{x, y\} - \{\varphi(x), y\} - \{x, \varphi(y)\} + \{\varphi(x), \varphi(y)\} \\ &= \{x, y\} - \{x, \psi(y)\} - \{\psi(x), y\} + \{x, y\} = 0,\end{aligned}$$

because $\psi(x) = x$ and $\psi(y) = y$. □

Proposition 4.2.10. *For any point $(z, \eta) \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K))$, the Iwasawa pairing $\{-,-\}_{\mathrm{Iw}}$ at (z, η) is compatible with the Tate pairing for $M_z \otimes \eta^{-1}$, in the sense that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\{-,-\}_{\mathrm{Iw}}: & M^{\psi=1}/\mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta)} \arrow[d, hook] & \times & (M^*)^{\psi=1}/\mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta^{-1})} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)/\mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta)} \arrow[d, equal] \\
& H^1_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M_z \otimes \eta^{-1}) & \times & H^1_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M_z^* \otimes \eta) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{Tate}"] & \kappa_{(z,\eta)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Proof. We assume that Δ_K is trivial for simplicity, as the proof for the case of nontrivial Δ_K is similar. The vertical arrows are induced by (4.2.4.1). By twisting the action of Γ_K on M, we may assume that η is trivial. Let $x \in M^{\psi=1}$ and $y \in (M^*)^{\psi=1}$. Their images in $H^1_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M_z)$ and $H^1_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M_z^*)$ are $\overline{(0,x)}$ and $\overline{(0,y)}$, respectively. Under the isomorphism $H^1_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M_z) \cong H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M_z)$, $\overline{(0,x)}$ is identified with $\overline{((\gamma_K-1)^{-1}(\varphi-1)x, x)}$, where $(\varphi-1)x \in M^{\psi=0}$ and $(\gamma_K-1)^{-1}(\varphi-1)x$ is the unique inverse of $(\varphi-1)x$ in $M^{\psi=0}$ under the map γ_K-1. Tate duality computes $\{(\gamma_K-1)^{-1}(\varphi-1)x, y\} = \{(\gamma_K-1)^{-1}(\varphi-1)x, (\varphi-1)y\}$ because the first argument is in $M^{\psi=0}$. The proposition follows from this calculation immediately. □

4.3 Iwasawa cohomology over a field

In this subsection, we study properties of Iwasawa cohomology for (φ, Γ)-modules over the standard Robba rings. Most of the results are already included in [33]; we reproduce them here for the convenience of the reader.

Hypothesis 4.3.1. In this subsection, assume that A is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and M is a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$.

Remark 4.3.2. Under this hypothesis, the ring $R = \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\pi_K)$, $\mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma_K)$, or $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$ is a product of Bézout domains. Therefore, any finitely generated or coadmissible, torsion-free R-module is automatically finite free on each connected component of $\operatorname{Spec} R$.

Lemma 4.3.3. *An $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$-module N is finitely generated and coadmissible if and only if it lies in $\mathbf{D}^\flat_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K))$.*

Proof. The backward implication follows from Lemma 4.1.3. We now prove the forward implication. Assume that N is generated by n elements, giving rise to an exact sequence $0 \to \mathrm{Ker} \to \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)^{\oplus n} \to N \to 0$. By Lemma 2.1.6(5), Ker is coadmissible. By Remark 4.3.2, the torsion-free coadmissible module Ker is finite projective over $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$. This gives a finite resolution of N. □

Proposition 4.3.4. *The complex $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M)$ lies in $\mathbf{D}^\flat_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K))$.*

This argument uses some basic properties of slope filtrations for φ-modules, for which see [22, Theorem 1.7.1].

Proof. First, we have $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(\mathrm{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}_p} M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_K]}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma], \mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M))$ and hence it suffices to prove the proposition for $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$. Since $M/(\psi - 1)$ is a finite A-module by Proposition 3.3.2, it lies in $\mathbf{D}^\flat_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))$ by Lemma 4.1.6. Now, we prove $M^{\psi=1} \in \mathbf{D}^\flat_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))$. By dévissage and slope filtrations, one may assume that M is of pure slope. When M is étale, this is well-known (e.g. [16, Théorème I.5.2 and Proposition V.1.18], plus the well-known identity $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{V}(M))^{\varphi=1} = \mathbf{V}(M)^{H_K}$). For general integer slopes, it follows from comparing $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M)$ with $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(t^n M)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and applying Proposition 3.2.4.

When the slope of M is c/d with c, d integers, we fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and do induction on the residue $c \pmod d$ with known case $c = 0$. Assume the statement is known for some $c-1$ and we check it for c. By Propositions 3.2.4 and 3.3.2, we may replace M by $t^{-n}M$ for $n \gg 0$, so that $(t^i M)/(\psi - 1) = 0$ for $i = 0, \pm 1$.

Recall that [27, Lemma 5.2] gives a (φ, Γ)-module E over $\mathcal{R}$ of pure slope $-1/d$ which is a successive extension of $d-1$ copies of $\mathcal{R}$ with a copy of $t\mathcal{R}$ as the subobject. By the inductive hypothesis, $(M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} E)^{\psi=1} \in \mathbf{D}^\flat_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))$, and hence is a finitely generated $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$-module. This module, by the vanishing of cokernels of $\psi - 1$, is a successive extension of $M^{\psi=1}$ and $(tM)^{\psi=1}$ with $d - 1$ copies of $M^{\psi=1}$ as the quotient object. In particular, this implies that $M^{\psi=1}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$-module. Running the same argument with $t^{-1}M$ in place of M shows that $M^{\psi=1}$, which is already known to be finitely generated, is an $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$-submodule of the coadmissible module $(t^{-1}M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} E)^{\psi=1}$. By Lemma 2.1.6(6), $M^{\psi=1}$ is also coadmissible, and hence lies in $\mathbf{D}^\flat_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))$ by Lemma 4.3.3. This finishes the induction and the proof. □

Corollary 4.3.5. *For M a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, the subspace $\mathscr{C} = (\varphi - 1)M^{\psi=1} \subseteq M^{\psi=0}$ is a finite free $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$-module of rank equal to $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] \operatorname{rank} M$. Moreover, the cohomology groups $H^i_\psi(M)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ are of respective generic ranks $0, [K : \mathbb{Q}_p] \operatorname{rank} M, 0$ as $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$-modules.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.3.4, $\mathscr{C}$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$. Sitting inside $M^{\psi=0}$, a finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma_K)$-module, $\mathscr{C}$ is torsion-free and hence finite free over each connected component of $\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$. To compute the rank, we note that $M^{\varphi=1}$ and $M/(\psi-1)$ are both finite over A and hence there exists $\eta \in \operatorname{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K))$ in each connected component of $\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$ such that $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M\otimes\eta) = H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M\otimes\eta) = 0$ and $H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M\otimes\eta) \cong M^{\psi=1}/\mathfrak{m}_{\eta^{-1}} \simeq \mathscr{C}/\mathfrak{m}_{\eta^{-1}}$. By Theorem 2.3.13(2), $\mathscr{C}$ has rank equal to $[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]\operatorname{rank} M$. The generic rank computation in degree 0 is obvious, and in degree 2 follows from the fact that $M/(\psi-1)$ is a finite A-module by Proposition 3.3.2. To see the correct rank in degree 1, one shows that $H^1_\psi(M)$ and $H^1_\psi(tM)$ have the same rank. One then notes that the correct rank is well-known in the étale case (e.g., [16, Théorème I.5.2 and Proposition V.1.18], plus the well-known identity $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{V}(M))^{\varphi=1} = \mathbf{V}(M)^{H_K}$, as above). Finally, one checks that the correct rank is preserved by short exact sequences, by our knowledge of rank zero in degrees $0,2$. Therefore, the same dévissage process as in the proof of the preceding proposition establishes the claim. ☐

Proposition 4.3.6. *Let M be a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$ such that $M/(\psi-1) = M^*/(\psi-1) = 0$.*

(1) The natural maps $\varphi-1 : M^{\psi=1} \to M^{\psi=0}$ and $\varphi-1 : (M^*)^{\psi=1} \to (M^*)^{\psi=0}$ are injective.

(2) The Iwasawa pairing $\{-,-\}_{\mathrm{Iw}}$ is perfect, inducing an isomorphism between two finite free $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$-modules:

$$(M^*)^{\psi=1} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)}\left(M^{\psi=1}, \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)\right)^\iota.$$

(3) We have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{R}_A^{er_0}(\Gamma_K)$-modules $\mathscr{C}\otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)}\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\Gamma_K) \cong (M^{r_0})^{\psi=0}$ with the precise $r_0>0$ for which Corollary 4.2.7 holds. Here $e = \tilde{e}_K e_{\Gamma_K}$.

Proof. (1) Vanishing of the cokernels of $\psi-1$ implies that for any $\eta \in \operatorname{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K))$, $H^2_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M\otimes\eta) = H^2_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M^*\otimes\eta) = 0$. By Tate duality (Theorem 2.3.13(3)), $H^0_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M\otimes\eta) = H^0_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M^*\otimes\eta) = 0$ for any η. Note that $(M^{(*)})^{\varphi=1}$ is finite over A equipped with a Γ_K-action. So the vanishing of H^0 for all twists of M and M^* implies that $M^{\varphi=1}=0$ and $(M^*)^{\varphi=1}=0$, forcing $\varphi-1$ to be injective.

(2) Vanishing of the cokernels of $\psi-1$ implies that the two vertical injections in Proposition 4.2.10 are actually isomorphisms. This means that $M^{\psi=1}$ and $(M^*)^{\psi=1}$ are dual to each other when reduced modulo $\mathfrak{m}_\eta$ for each $\eta\in\operatorname{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K))$. Since both $M^{\psi=1}$ and $(M^*)^{\psi=1}$ are finite free over $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)$ (Corollary 4.3.5), they are in perfect duality with one another.

(3) By (2) and Corollary 4.2.7, we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{C}^*\otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)}\mathcal{R}_A^{er_0}(\Gamma_K) \arrow[r, "\cong"] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_A^{er_0}(\Gamma_K)}\left(\mathscr{C}\otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)}\mathcal{R}_A^{er_0}(\Gamma_K), \mathcal{R}_A^{er_0}(\Gamma_K)\right)^\iota \\
(M^{*r_0})^{\psi=0} \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_A^{er_0}(\Gamma_K)}\left((M^{r_0})^{\psi=0}, \mathcal{R}_A^{er_0}(\Gamma_K)\right)^\iota \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

This implies that the $\mathcal{R}_A^{ero}(\Gamma_K)$-module in the top line is a direct summand of the $\mathcal{R}_A^{ero}(\Gamma_K)$-module in the bottom line. Since they are both free of same rank $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] \operatorname{rank} M$ by Corollary 4.3.5 and Theorem 3.1.1, they must be isomorphic. $\square$

Remark 4.3.7. It will be important in the proof of Proposition 5.2.10 that we have a choice of r_0 in Proposition 4.3.6 that is uniform with respect to points $z \in \operatorname{Max}(A)$.

4.4 Statement of main results

The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 4.4.1. *Let M be a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$. We have $\mathrm{C}_\psi^\bullet(M) \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{perf}}^-(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K))$.*

The proof of this theorem takes up Section 5; see the very end of Subsection 5.3. We first list a few useful corollaries of the theorem, including the compatibility with base change, and the comparison between the Iwasawa cohomology and the (φ, Γ)-cohomology of the cyclotomic deformation.

Keeping in mind Proposition 4.2.4, the following theorem is an immediate consequence of the main result.

Theorem 4.4.2. *Let M be a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$. Then we have $\mathrm{C}_{\varphi,\gamma_K}^\bullet(M) \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{perf}}^-(A)$. In particular, the cohomology groups $H_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}^i(M)$ are finite A-modules.*

The following theorem is essentially [32, Proposition 2.6]. But having proved Theorems 4.4.1 and 4.4.5, we can state it as a definite result, which we reproduce here for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem 4.4.3. *Let M be a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, and let $A \to B$ be a morphism of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-affinoid algebras.*

(1) The canonical morphism

$$\mathrm{C}_\psi^\bullet(M) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}_B^\infty(\Gamma_K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}_\psi^\bullet(M \widehat{\otimes}_A B)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

(2) The canonical morphism $\mathrm{C}_{\varphi,\gamma_K}^\bullet(M) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_A B \to \mathrm{C}_{\varphi,\gamma_K}^\bullet(M \widehat{\otimes}_A B)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

In particular, if B is flat over A, we have

$$H_\psi^i(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}_B^\infty(\Gamma_K) \cong H_\psi^i(M \widehat{\otimes}_A B) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}^i(M) \otimes_A B \cong H_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}^i(M \widehat{\otimes}_A B).$$

Proof. When $B = A/\mathfrak{m}_z$ for some $z \in \operatorname{Max}(A)$, $M \widehat{\otimes}_A B \cong M \otimes_A B$ and $\mathcal{R}_B^\infty(\Gamma_K) \cong \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma_K) \otimes_A B$ as the latter objects are already complete. In this case, both (1) and (2) are tautologies because M is flat over A.

We now consider the general case. In case (2), applying the discussion above to every closed point $y \in \mathrm{Max}(B)$, we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_A B\big) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_B B/\mathfrak{m}_y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_A B/\mathfrak{m}_y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M \otimes_A B/\mathfrak{m}_y)\\ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M \widehat{\otimes}_A B) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_B B/\mathfrak{m}_y\end{aligned}$$

Then (2) follows from the result on detecting quasi-isomorphisms pointwise (Lemma 4.1.4).

In case (1), we know both sides lie in $\mathbf{D}^-_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_B(\Gamma_K))$ by Theorem 4.4.1 and hence all cohomology groups are coadmissible. By Lemma 2.1.6(2), it suffices to prove that, for any $s > 0$, the natural morphism

$$\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^{[s,\infty]}_B(\Gamma_K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M \widehat{\otimes}_A B) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty_B(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^{[s,\infty]}_B(\Gamma_K) \tag{4.4.3.1}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Similar to (1), for each $y \in \mathrm{Max}(B)$, the natural morphism

$$\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^\infty_B(\Gamma_K) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty_B(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^\infty_{B/\mathfrak{m}_y}(\Gamma_K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M \widehat{\otimes}_A B) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty_B(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^\infty_{B/\mathfrak{m}_y}(\Gamma_K)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism, and hence continues to be a quasi-isomorphism after derived tensoring of both sides by $\mathcal{R}^\infty_B(\Gamma_K)/\mathfrak{m}_{(y,\eta)}$ for any $(y,\eta) \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_B(\Gamma_K))$. Applying Lemma 4.1.4 to (4.4.3.1) proves (2). □

Remark 4.4.4. Using Theorem 4.4.3, as we vary M over affinoid subdomains of $\mathrm{Max}(A)$, each cohomology group $H^*_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M)$ yields a coherent sheaf on $\mathrm{Max}(A)$ by Kiehl's theorem. Similarly, each Iwasawa cohomology $H^*_\psi(M)$ yields a coherent sheaf on $\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K))$.

With unconditional base change in hand, we may prove more precise versions of the preceding finiteness results.

Theorem 4.4.5. *Let M be a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$.*

1. *We have $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M) \in \mathbf{D}^{[0,2]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(A)$.*
2. *(Euler characteristic formula) We have $\chi_A\big(\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M)\big) = -[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]\,\mathrm{rank}\,M$.*
3. *(Tate duality) The Tate duality pairing* (2.3.11.1) *induces a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_A(\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M^*), A)[-2]. \tag{4.4.5.1}$$

Proof. (1) By Theorem 4.4.1, we have $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M) \in \mathbf{D}^-_{\mathrm{perf}}(A)$. Since $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M)$ is concentrated in degrees 0, 1 and 2, by Lemma 4.1.3, this implies that $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M)$ is quasi-isomorphic to a complex $[\cdots \xrightarrow{d^0} P^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} P^2]$ of finite projective A-modules, and hence quasi-isomorphic to the complex $[\mathrm{Coker}(d^{-1}) \xrightarrow{d^0} P^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} P^2]$. By Corollary 2.1.7, the complex $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M)$ consists of flat A-modules; this forces $\mathrm{Coker}(d^{-1})$ to be also flat (and hence projective) over A. Hence $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M) \in \mathbf{D}^{[0,2]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(A)$.

(2) To check the Euler characteristic formula, it suffices to check it at one closed point on each connected component. This follows from Theorem 2.3.13(2).

(3) It is clear that (2.3.11.1) induces the natural morphism (4.4.5.1). By Theorem 2.3.13(2), (4.4.5.1) is a quasi-isomorphism when tensored with $A/\mathfrak{m}_x$ for any $x \in \mathrm{Max}(A)$. Our statement then follows from Lemma 4.1.4. $\square$

We may use Theorem 4.4.5 to strengthen Theorem 4.4.1.

Theorem 4.4.6. *Let M be a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$.*

(1) We have $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M) \in \mathbf{D}^{[0,2]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K))$.

(2) The $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$-module $M^{\psi=1}$ is finitely presented.

(3) (Euler characteristic formula) We have $\chi_{\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)}\big(\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M)\big) = -[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]\,\mathrm{rank}\, M$. Moreover, as $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$-modules $H^0_\psi(M)$ and $H^2_\psi(M)$ are torsion and $H^1_\psi(M)$ has generic rank $[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]\,\mathrm{rank}\, M$.

Proof. (1) Since $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M)$ is concentrated in degrees 1 and 2, Lemma 4.1.3 implies that it is quasi-isomorphic to a complex $[\cdots \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} P^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} P^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} P^2]$ of finite projective $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$-modules, and hence to $[Q \to P^1 \to P^2]$ with $Q = \mathrm{Coker}\, d^{-1}$. To prove the first statement, we need to show that Q is a projective $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$-module (note that $\mathrm{Coker}\, d^0$ may not be projective in general and hence $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M) \notin \mathbf{D}^{[1,2]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K))$). By Lemma 2.1.6(5), Q is a coadmissible $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$-module. By Lemma 2.1.8, it suffices to prove that Q gives a vector bundle over $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$, or equivalently $Q \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^{[s,\infty]}_A(\Gamma_K)$ is a finite flat $\mathcal{R}^{[s,\infty]}_A(\Gamma_K)$-module for all $s > 0$. For this, we may assume that A is geometrically connected.

For any $\eta \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^\infty(\Gamma_K))$, we have $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^\infty(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^\infty(\Gamma_K)/\mathfrak{m}_\eta \cong \mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\psi,\gamma_K}(M \otimes \eta^{-1})$ by Proposition 4.2.4. This forces $Q \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^\infty(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^\infty(\Gamma_K)/\mathfrak{m}_\eta$ to be finite projective over $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)/\mathfrak{m}_\eta \cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \kappa_\eta$ by Theorem 4.4.5(1). Moreover, by Theorem 4.4.5(2) and the flatness of P^1 and P^2 over $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$, we know that $\eta \mapsto \mathrm{rank}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \kappa_\eta}(Q/\mathfrak{m}_\eta)$ defines a locally constant function on $\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^\infty(\Gamma_K))$ as η varies. By Lemma 2.1.10(2), $Q \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^{[s,\infty]}_A(\Gamma_K)$ is a finite flat $\mathcal{R}^{[s,\infty]}_A(\Gamma_K)$-module for any $s > 0$. (1) follows.

(2) As $M^{\psi=1}$ is a cohomology group of the complex $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M) \in \mathbf{D}^{[0,2]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K))$, it is coadmissible by Lemma 2.1.6. By Proposition 3.3.2, $M/(\psi-1)$ is finite over A. By Lemma 2.1.20, there exists $r > 0$ such that $M/(\psi-1) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^r_A(\Gamma_K) = 0$. By part (1) above, this means that $M^{\psi=1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^r_A(\Gamma_K)$ is the only nonzero cohomology group of a complex in $\mathbf{D}^{[0,2]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^r_A(\Gamma_K))$. In particular, it is finitely presented as an $\mathcal{R}^r_A(\Gamma_K)$-module. By Proposition 2.1.15(2), $M^{\psi=1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^r_A(\Gamma_K)$ is uniformly finitely presented over $\mathcal{R}^r_A(\Gamma_K)$, and hence $M^{\psi=1}$ is uniformly finitely presented over $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$. By Proposition 2.1.15(2) again, we deduce that $M^{\psi=1}$ is a finitely presented $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$-module.

(3) Since the Euler characteristic can be tested at a closed point on each connected component, the first claim follows from Theorem 2.3.13(2). For the second claim, one may base change to any sufficiently general closed point to assume that A is a finite field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, in which case the claim is treated by Corollary 4.3.5. $\square$

We now explain the relation between the Iwasawa cohomology of M and the (φ,Γ)-cohomology of the cyclotomic deformation of M, following [33].

Definition 4.4.7. For $n \geq 1$, let $\Lambda_n = \mathcal{R}^{[1/p^n,\infty]}(\Gamma_K)$ and let $X_n = \mathrm{Max}(\Lambda_n)$. We view $X = \cup_{n\geq 1} X_n$ as a quasi-Stein rigid analytic space, which is a disjoint union of open discs. For $n \geq 1$, consider the rank one (φ,Γ_K)-module $\mathbf{Dfm}_n = \Lambda_n \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{R}(\pi_K)\mathbf{e} = \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda_n}(\pi_K)\mathbf{e}$, where $\varphi(1\otimes \mathbf{e}) = 1 \otimes \mathbf{e}$ and $\gamma(1\otimes\mathbf{e}) = \gamma^{-1}\otimes\mathbf{e}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$. We put $\mathbf{Dfm} = \varprojlim_n \mathbf{Dfm}_n$; this is a (φ,Γ_K)-module over the relative Robba ring over X. For a closed point $\eta \in \mathrm{Max}(\Lambda_n)$, we have $\mathbf{Dfm}/\mathfrak{m}_\eta \cong \mathbf{Dfm}_n/\mathfrak{m}_\eta \cong \mathcal{R}(\pi_K)(\eta^{-1})$, where $\mathcal{R}(\pi_K)(\eta^{-1})$ is the (φ,Γ_K)-module associated to η.

For M a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, we define the *cyclotomic deformation of M* to be $\mathbf{Dfm}(M) = M\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}(\pi_K)}\mathbf{Dfm}$; it is a (φ,Γ_K)-module over the relative Robba ring over $\mathrm{Max}(A)\times X$.

Theorem 4.4.8. *Let M be a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$. Then we have a natural quasi-isomorphism of complexes of $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$-modules*

$$\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(\mathbf{Dfm}(M)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M), \tag{4.4.8.1}$$

where Γ_K acts on the left through the $\mathcal{R}_{\Lambda_n}(\pi_K)$-structures on the respective $\mathbf{Dfm}_n$, and on the right via the (φ,Γ_K)-actions on M. Consequently, we have a natural Iwasawa duality quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)}\big(\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M), \mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)\big)^\iota[-2]. \tag{4.4.8.2}$$

Proof. For simplicity, we assume that Δ_K is trivial; the other case may be treated similarly. The natural map $M\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}(\pi_K)}\mathbf{Dfm}_n \to M\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}(\pi_K)}\mathbf{Dfm}_n/(\gamma\otimes\mathrm{id}-\mathrm{id}) \cong M$ induces the natural morphism

$$\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\psi,\gamma_K}(M\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}(\pi_K)}\mathbf{Dfm}_n) \to \mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M)\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty(\Gamma_K)}\mathcal{R}_{[e_{\Gamma_K}/p^n,\infty]}(\Gamma_K). \tag{4.4.8.3}$$

The (φ,Γ_K)-complex $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_{\varphi,\gamma_K}(M\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}(\pi_K)}\mathbf{Dfm}_n)$ lies in $\mathbf{D}^{[0,2]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(A\widehat{\otimes}\Lambda_n) = \mathbf{D}^{[0,2]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^{[1/p^n,\infty]}_A(\Gamma))$, but we view it as a complex in $\mathbf{D}^{[0,2]}_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^{[e_{\Gamma_K}/p^n,\infty]}_A(\Gamma_K))$ instead. It is clear that (4.4.8.3) is a quasi-isomorphism if we tensor both sides with $\mathcal{R}^{[e_{\Gamma_K}/p^n,\infty]}_A(\Gamma_K)/\mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta)}$ for any $(z,\eta) \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^{[e_{\Gamma_K}/p^n,\infty]}_A(\Gamma_K))$, and hence it is a quasi-isomorphism by Lemma 4.1.4. Taking inverse limit as $n\to\infty$ proves (4.4.8.1)

The second statement follows from combining the first statement with Theorem 4.4.5(3). □

Remark 4.4.9. It would be interesting to know if one can define the Iwasawa duality morphism (4.4.8.2) directly on the level of complexes without comparing it to the cyclotomic deformation.

Corollary 4.4.10. *Let V be a finite projective A-module equipped with a continuous A-linear action of G_K. If we use $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(G_K, V)$ to denote the inverse limit $[\varprojlim_{n\to\infty} H^i(G_{K(\mu_{p^n})}, T)] \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (where $T \subseteq V$ is the unit ball for a Galois-invariant Banach module norm) of the cohomology groups under the corestriction maps for any i, we have a functorial isomorphism $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(G_K, V)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(\Gamma_K)}\mathcal{R}^\infty(\Gamma_K) \cong H^i_\psi(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V))$ of $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$-modules for any i compatible with base change.*

One can give a direct proof of this corollary without referring to our main theorem, but it would require some setup on the construction of the functor $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}$.

Proof. By Shapiro's lemma, $H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(G_K, V) \cong H^i(G_K, V\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\tilde{\Lambda})$, where $\tilde{\Lambda} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![\Gamma]\!]$ with the Galois action factoring through Γ and via ι. The corollary follows from a sequence of isomorphisms

$$H^i_{\mathrm{Iw}}(G_K, V) \otimes_{\Lambda(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^\infty(\Gamma_K) \xrightarrow{\text{[32, Theorem 1.6]}} H^i(G_K, V\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \varprojlim_n \Lambda_n)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Theorem 2.3.5}} H^i_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}(\pi_K)}\mathbf{Dfm}) \xrightarrow{\text{Theorem 4.4.8}} H^i_\psi(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)).$$

□

5 Proof of the main theorem

We now complete the arguments of the previous section by proving finiteness of Iwasawa cohomology (Theorem 4.4.1). The central argument is to use the duality pairing to play the Iwasawa H^1 groups of a given (φ, Γ)-module and its Cartier dual against each other, forcing them both to be finite. This argument only works if both Iwasawa H^2 groups vanish; the remainder of the argument is a dévissage to reduce to this case, in the spirit of some arguments from [27] but not quite identical to any of them.

5.1 Preliminary reductions

We make some preliminary reductions for the proof of Theorem 4.4.1.

Lemma 5.1.1. *If Theorem 4.4.1 is true for $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ (and any (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A$), then it is true for any finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.*

Proof. If M is a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, then we have

$$\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(\mathrm{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}_p} M) = \mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi\big(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_K]}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma], M)\big) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_K]}(\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma], \mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M)).$$

Hence Theorem 4.4.1 for $\mathrm{Ind}_K^{\mathbb{Q}_p} M$ implies that for M. □

Hypothesis 5.1.2. For the rest of this section, we assume that $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$. We hence write $\mathcal{R}_A$ for $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, $\mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma)$ for $\mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma_K)$, and $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma)$ for $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)$.

Lemma 5.1.3. *If I is an ideal of A, then a complex of $\mathcal{R}^\infty_{A/I}(\Gamma)$-modules in $\mathbf{D}^-_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_{A/I}(\Gamma))$, when viewed as a complex of $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma)$-modules, lies in $\mathbf{D}^-_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma))$.*

Proof. Any resolution of A/I: $\cdots \to A^{\oplus n_1} \to A^{\oplus n_0} \to A/I \to 0$ by finite free A-modules is strict and hence induces an exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma)^{\oplus n_1} \to \mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma)^{\oplus n_0} \to \mathcal{R}^\infty_{A/I}(\Gamma) \to 0.$$

The lemma follows from this. ☐

Lemma 5.1.4. *Let M be a (φ,Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A$ and let B be a* finite *A-algebra. Then we have a natural quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma_K)} \mathcal{R}^\infty_B(\Gamma_K) \;\longrightarrow\; \mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M \otimes_A B) \tag{5.1.4.1}$$

In particular, if Theorem 4.4.1 holds for M, then it holds for $M \otimes_A B \cong M \widehat{\otimes}_A B$.

Proof. Straightforward. ☐

Lemma 5.1.5. *Let A_{red} denote the reduced quotient of A. Let M be a (φ,Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A$. If Theorem 4.4.1 holds for $M \otimes_A A_{\mathrm{red}}$ then it holds for M.*

Proof. By dévissage, we may write A as a finite successive extension of A-modules, each of which is isomorphic to A/I_i for some *radical* ideal I_i of A. Then $M_i = M \otimes_A A/I_i$ is a (φ,Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_{A/I_i}$. By Lemma 5.1.4 (noting that A/I_i is finite over A_{red}), Theorem 4.4.1 for $M \otimes_A A_{\mathrm{red}}$ implies Theorem 4.4.1 for each M_i. Therefore, each $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M_i)$ belongs to $\mathbf{D}^-_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_{A/I_i}(\Gamma))$ and thus belongs to $\mathbf{D}^-_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma))$ by Lemma 5.1.3. Now, $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M)$, as a successive extension of complexes $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M_i)$, must also lie in $\mathbf{D}^-_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma))$. ☐

Lemma 5.1.6. *For M a (φ,Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A$ and any integer n, Theorem 4.4.1 holds for M if and only if it holds for t^nM.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.2.4 by induction on n. ☐

5.2 Main theorem in a special case

Using the Iwasawa duality pairing we established in Definition 4.2.8 and its compatibility with the Tate local duality as discussed in Proposition 4.2.10, one may deduce the finite projectivity of $M^{\psi=1}$ over $\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma)$ in the special case when $M/(\psi-1) = M^*/(\psi-1) = 0$. This is one of the key steps in proving Theorem 4.4.1. We will reduce the proof of the theorem to this special case in the next subsection.

We remind the reader that Hypothesis 5.1.2 is still in force.

Hypothesis 5.2.1. Throughout this subsection, we assume that A is a *reduced* $\mathbb{Q}_p$-affinoid algebra. We also take M to be a (φ,Γ)-module of constant rank d over $\mathcal{R}_A$ such that $M/(\psi-1) = M^*/(\psi-1) = 0$.

Lemma 5.2.2. *For any* $(z,\eta) \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))$*, we have* $M^{\psi=1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)} \kappa_{(z,\eta)} \cong H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M_z \otimes \eta^{-1}))$*, and the same holds for* M^* *in place of* M*.*

Proof. Since $M/(\psi-1) = 0$, the spectral sequence $E_2^{j,-i} = \mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)}\left(H^j_\psi(M), \kappa_{(z,\eta)}\right) \Rightarrow H^{j-i}_{\psi,\Gamma}(M_z \otimes \eta^{-1})$ stabilizes at E_2, giving the isomorphism in the lemma. The same argument holds with M replaced by M^*. ☐

Lemma 5.2.3. *For any* $(z,\eta) \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))$*, we have* $H^i_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M_z \otimes \eta) = H^i_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M_z^* \otimes \eta^{-1}) = 0$ *for* $i = 0, 2$*. Hence* $\dim_{\kappa_{(z,\eta)}} H^1(M_z \otimes \eta) = \dim_{\kappa_{(z,\eta)}} H^1(M_z^* \otimes \eta^{-1}) = d$*.*

Proof. For $i = 2$, it follows from the fact that $H^2_{\psi,\Gamma}(M_z^* \otimes \eta) = M/(\psi-1, \mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta^{-1})})$ and $H^2_{\psi,\Gamma}(M_z^* \otimes \eta^{-1}) = M^*/(\psi-1, \mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta)})$. The result for $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma}$ follows from Tate duality over $\kappa_{(z,\eta)}$ (Theorem 2.3.13(3)). The dimension of $H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}$ is computed using the Euler characteristic formula (Theorem 2.3.13(2)). ☐

Lemma 5.2.4. *For any* $z \in \mathrm{Max}(A)$*, we have* $M_z/(\psi-1) = M_z^*/(\psi-1) = 0$*. Hence* $M_z^{\psi=1}$ *and* $(M_z^*)^{\psi=1,\iota}$ *are free* $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\Gamma)$*-modules of rank* d *and are perfect dual to each other.*

Proof. The first statement is obvious, while the second one follows from Proposition 4.3.6(2). ☐

Lemma 5.2.5. *We have injective homomorphisms*

$$M^{\psi=1} \hookrightarrow \prod_{(z,\eta)\in\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))} H^1_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M_z \otimes \eta) \quad \textit{and} \quad (M^*)^{\psi=1} \hookrightarrow \prod_{(z,\eta)\in\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))} H^1_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M_z^* \otimes \eta^{-1}).$$

Proof. We indicate the proof of the first injection, and the second can be proved in the same way. We first observe that $\mathcal{R}_A \hookrightarrow \prod_{z\in\mathrm{Max}(A)} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}$. It follows that $M \hookrightarrow \prod_{z\in\mathrm{Max}(A)} M_z$. As $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$-submodules, we have $M^{\psi=1} \hookrightarrow \prod_{z\in\mathrm{Max}(A)} M_z^{\psi=1}$. By Corollary 5.2.4, each $M_z^{\psi=1}$ is a finite free module over $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}^\infty(\Gamma)$. Since $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}^\infty(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \prod_{\eta\in\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}^\infty(\Gamma))} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}^\infty(\Gamma)/\mathfrak{m}_\eta$, we can continue the above inclusion for $M^{\psi=1}$ to get

$$M^{\psi=1} \hookrightarrow \prod_{(z,\eta)\in\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))} \left(M_z^{\psi=1}/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{(z,\eta^{-1})}\right) \cong \prod_{(z,\eta)\in\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))} H^1_{\psi,\Gamma_K}(M_z \otimes \eta).$$

☐

Proposition 5.2.6. *Fix* $(z,\eta) \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))$*. Suppose that* S *is a finite subset of* $M^{\psi=1}$ *generating* $M^{\psi=1}/\mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta^{-1})} \cong H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M_z \otimes \eta)$*. Then there exists* $f \in \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$ *which is nonzero modulo* $\mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta^{-1})}$*, such that every element of* $fM^{\psi=1}$ *is equal to an* $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$*-linear combination of* S*.*

Proof. We may choose $S = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$ so that it maps to a basis $S_{(z,\eta)}$ of $H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M_z \otimes \eta)$. By Theorem 2.3.13(3), $H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M_z^* \otimes \eta^{-1})$ may be identified with the dual space of $H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M_z \otimes \eta)$; let $S^*_{(z,\eta^{-1})}$ denote the dual basis to $S_{(z,\eta)}$. We may thus lift $S^*_{(z,\eta^{-1})}$ to a finite subset $S^* = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d\}$ of $(M^*)^{\psi=1}$ by Lemma 5.2.2.

The $n \times n$ matrix F over $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$ defined by $F_{ij} = \{\alpha_i, \beta_j\}_{\mathrm{Iw}}$ reduces to the identity modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta)}$, so $f = \det(F)$ is not zero modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta)}$. Then the adjugate matrix G of F satisfies $FG = GF = f\mathrm{Id}_n$, and f has the desired property: given $\delta \in M^{\psi=1}$, the element

$$f\delta - \sum_{i,j} G_{ji}\{\delta, \beta_j\}_{\mathrm{Iw}}\alpha_i$$

pairs to zero with each β_j, and so vanishes in $H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M_{z'} \otimes \eta')$ for any $(z', \eta') \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))$ thanks to the duality isomorphism (from Theorem 2.3.13(3)). Hence it must be zero by Lemma 5.2.5. The proposition follows. □

Notation 5.2.7. We set $N^s = M^{\psi=1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,\infty]}(\Gamma)$ and $N^{s*} = (M^*)^{\psi=1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,\infty]}(\Gamma)$. Note that we simply took the algebraic tensor product, which means that neither space carries any topology *a priori*. This partly reflects the difficulties we are trying to work around.

Corollary 5.2.8. *For any $s > 0$, the $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,\infty]}(\Gamma)$-modules N^s and N^{s*} are finite and flat.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,\infty]}(\Gamma)$ is the ring of functions on an affinoid space, we need only finitely many f in Proposition 5.2.6 to generate the unit ideal of the ring and hence N^s is generated by the corresponding lifts of local bases. The flatness follows from the dimension calculation in Lemma 5.2.3. □

Remark 5.2.9. Since our goal is to prove the finiteness theorem of Iwasawa cohomology, we will have to make some additional efforts to tackle the finite generation properties. If one is only interested in the finiteness of (φ, Γ)-cohomology, Corollary 5.2.8 together with the dévissage argument in the next subsection is enough to deduce Theorem 4.4.5.

Proposition 5.2.10. *The modules N^s form a uniformly finitely presented vector bundle over $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$. Moreover, if we use N to denote the module of global sections of the vector bundle (N^s), then N is a finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$-module.*

Proof. Let $r_0 > 0$ be the number provided by Corollary 4.2.7. For $0 < s \leq r_0$, consider the natural map

$$\varphi - 1 : N^s \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,\infty]}(\Gamma)} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r_0]}(\Gamma) \cong M^{\psi=1} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)} \mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r_0]}(\Gamma) \to (M^{[s,r_0]})^{\psi=0}$$

of two finite flat $\mathcal{R}_A^{[s,r_0]}(\Gamma)$-modules. By Proposition 4.3.6(3), it is an isomorphism modulo any $\mathfrak{m}_z$ for $z \in \mathrm{Max}(A)$. Therefore, it has to be an isomorphism itself. Since $(M^{[s,r_0]})^{\psi=0}$ as $s \to 0^+$ form a uniformly finitely presented vector bundle over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r_0}(\Gamma)$, we see that N^s also form a uniformly finitely presented vector bundle over $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$. The last statement now follows from Proposition 2.1.15(3). □

Lemma 5.2.11. *The natural map $M^{\psi=1} \to N$ is injective.*

Proof. Proposition 5.2.10 implies that N is a finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$-module. Since $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \prod_{(z,\eta)\in\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))} \kappa_{(z,\eta)}$ is injective, the module N embeds into the product

$$\prod_{(z,\eta)\in\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))} N/\mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta)} \cong \prod_{(z,\eta)\in\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))} M^{\psi=1}/\mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta)} \cong \prod_{(z,\eta)\in\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))} H^1_{\psi,\Gamma}(M_z \otimes \eta)$$

By Lemma 5.2.5, both $M^{\psi=1}$ and N embed into the same module. Hence the natural map $M^{\psi=1} \to N$ is injective. $\square$

We remind the reader that Hypothesis 5.2.1 is in force.

Theorem 5.2.12. *Let M be a (φ,Γ)-module of constant rank d over $\mathcal{R}_A$. Then $M^{\psi=1}$ is a finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$-module.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that the natural map $M^{\psi=1} \to N$ in Lemma 5.2.11 is in fact an isomorphism. This follows from combining Proposition 5.2.10 and Lemma 5.2.11 with Lemma 2.1.17, where the continuity assumptions required in the second lemma are verified by Lemma 4.2.2. $\square$

5.3 Dévissage

To complete the proof of the finiteness of Iwasawa cohomology of (φ,Γ)-modules, we must perform some dévissage in the style of [27] in order to arrive at a case in which we can control $M/(\psi-1)$ and $M^*/(\psi-1)$.

Hypothesis 5.3.1. We continue to assume that $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and A is a *reduced* $\mathbb{Q}_p$-affinoid algebra in this subsection, unless otherwise specified.

Notation 5.3.2. For $\delta : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to L^\times$ a continuous character with L a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we define a (φ,Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_L$ of rank one: $\mathcal{R}(\delta) = \mathcal{R}_L \cdot \mathbf{e}$ with $\varphi(\mathbf{e}) = \delta(p)\mathbf{e}$ and $\gamma(\mathbf{e}) = \delta(\chi(\gamma))\mathbf{e}$. We define $w(\delta) = \log(\delta(a))/\log(a)$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, called the *weight* of δ. We write x for the natural embedding $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$. We have $\mathcal{R}(x) = t\mathcal{R}$ and it has weight 1 (from the action of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$) and slope -1 (from the action of p; note the sign convention). Note that $\mathcal{R}(x^k\delta) \cong t^k\mathcal{R}(\delta)$.

We start with several facts about rank one (φ,Γ)-modules over $\mathcal{R}$. The first is an easy application of local class field theory.

Lemma 5.3.3. *Any rank one (φ,Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_L$ is of the form $\mathcal{R}(\delta)$ for some continuous character $\delta : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to L^\times$.*

Remark 5.3.4. In Section 6.1, we reprise and extend the preceding notation, and prove the corresponding generalization of Lemma 5.3.3, replacing L by a rigid analytic space over L and $\mathbb{Q}_p$ by a finite extension K.

Lemma 5.3.5. *Let $\delta : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to L^\times$ be a continuous character and let $\mathcal{R}(\delta)$ be the associated (φ,Γ)-module.*

(1) We have $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\delta = x^i$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. For $i \leq 0$, we have $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(x^i)) = Lt^{-i}\mathbf{e}$.

(2) Any (φ, Γ)-submodule of $\mathcal{R}(\delta)$ is of the form $t^i\mathcal{R}(\delta)$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

(3) If $\delta(p) \notin p^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta)) = H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta)) = 0$.

(4) If $w(\delta) \notin \mathbb{Z}$, the natural morphism $H^1(x^k\delta) \to H^1(x^{k'}\delta)$ is an isomorphism for any $k \geq k'$.

Proof. (1) is essentially class field theory [15, Proposition 3.1]. (2) is [15, Proposition 2.1]. From this, we have for any continuous character $\delta' : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to L^\times$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta'), \mathcal{R}(\delta)) \cong H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta\delta'^{-1}))$ is nonzero if and only if $\delta' = \delta x^i$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. (3) follows from (2) and the Tate duality (Theorem 2.3.13(3)). (4) is [15, Theorem 2.22]. □

Lemma 5.3.6. *If N is a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A$ such that $N/(\psi - 1) = 0$, then for any $z \in \mathrm{Max}(A)$, the map $H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(N) \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}_z \to H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(N_z)$ is bijective.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3.4, it suffices to prove it for the (ψ, Γ)-cohomology. Consider the spectral sequence $\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(H^j_{\psi,\Gamma}(N), A/\mathfrak{m}_z) \Rightarrow H^{j-i}_{\psi,\Gamma}(N_z)$ (this case of base change being known because $A \to A/\mathfrak{m}_z$ is finite). Since $N/(\psi - 1) = 0$, we have $H^2_{\psi,\Gamma}(N) = 0$. The lemma follows from an inspection of this spectral sequence. □

Lemma 5.3.7. *Let M be a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A$ such that $M^*/(\psi-1) = 0$ and $M/(\psi-1) \neq 0$. Then there exists a short exact sequence $0 \to M \to N \to P \to 0$ of (φ, Γ)-modules over $\mathcal{R}_A$ with the following properties.*

(a) The (φ, Γ)-module P is of rank one and $P/(\psi - 1) = P^*/(\psi - 1) = 0$.

(b) The connecting homomorphism $P^{\psi=1} \to M/(\psi - 1)$ is nonzero.

In particular, $N/(\psi - 1)$ is a quotient of $M/(\psi - 1)$ with nontrivial kernel.

Proof. We will take $P = \mathcal{R}_A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}(\delta)$ for some continuous character $\delta : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ which we will specify momentarily. Since $M/(\psi - 1)$ is nonzero and is finite over A by Proposition 3.3.2(1), we may find $(z, \eta) \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma))$ such that $H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M_z \otimes \eta) \neq 0$. By Theorem 2.3.13(3), we have $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M^*_z \otimes \eta^{-1}) \neq 0$. We can thus find a nonzero morphism of (φ, Γ)-modules $\beta : \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{(z,\eta)}} \to M^*_z \otimes \eta^{-1}$. Dualizing this morphism gives $\beta^* : M_z \otimes \eta \to \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{(z,\eta)}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$.

By Lemma 5.3.5(2), the image of β^* is $t^r\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{(z,\eta)}}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ for some $r \geq 0$. Let E_z denote the kernel of β^*. In other words, we have an exact sequence $0 \to E_z \to M_z \otimes \eta \to t^r\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{(z,\eta)}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \to 0$.

We choose δ so that $\delta(p) \notin p^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $w(\delta \otimes \eta) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 3.3.2, we may replace δ by $x^n\delta$ for n sufficiently large so that $H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta^{-1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} E_z \otimes \eta^{-1}) = 0$ and $(M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}(\delta^{-1}))/(\psi - 1) =$

0. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta^{-1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} M_z) \arrow[r] & H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta^{-1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} t^r \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{(z,\eta)}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \otimes \eta^{-1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta^{-1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} E_z \otimes \eta^{-1}) \ \cong \ 0 \\
 & H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta^{-1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{(z,\eta)}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \otimes \eta^{-1}). &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.3.7.1}$$

The top row is an exact sequence and the vertical arrow is an isomorphism by Lemma 5.3.5(4). By the Euler characteristic formula (Theorem 2.3.13(2)), $H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta^{-1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} t^r \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{(z,\eta)}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \otimes \eta^{-1}) \neq 0$. We choose an element $\alpha \in H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta^{-1}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} M_z)$ with nontrivial image in $H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta^{-1}) \otimes t^r \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{(z,\eta)}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \otimes \eta^{-1})$ (and hence in $H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{(z,\eta)}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \otimes \eta^{-1})$. By Lemma 5.3.6, we may lift this to an element of $H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}(\delta^{-1}))$, giving rise to an extension $0 \to M \to N \to P = \mathcal{R}_A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}(\delta) \to 0$.

We show that this extension satisfies conditions (a) and (b). For any $(z', \eta') \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma))$, $\mathcal{R}(\delta)/\mathfrak{m}_{(z',\eta')} \cong \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{z'}}(\delta) \otimes \eta'^{-1}$ has zero H^2 by our choice of $\delta(p)$ and Lemma 5.3.5(3). Hence $\mathcal{R}_A(\delta)/(\psi - 1) = 0$ by its finiteness in Proposition 3.3.2. The same argument proves $\mathcal{R}_A(\delta)^*/(\psi - 1) = 0$.

To see (b), it suffices to prove that for our particular choice $(z, \eta) \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma))$,

$$P^{\psi=1}/\mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta^{-1})} \cong H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta) \otimes \eta) \to H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M_z \otimes \eta) = M/(\psi - 1, \mathfrak{m}_{(z,\eta^{-1})})$$

is a nontrivial map. The Cartier dual of this map is $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M^*_z \otimes \eta^{-1}) \to H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta^{-1})(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \otimes \eta^{-1})$ given by cup product with $\alpha \in H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}(\delta^{-1}) \otimes M_z)$. Under this map, the image of the chosen class $\beta \in H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M^*_z \otimes \eta^{-1})$ is exactly the image of α under the map given in (5.3.7.1); it is nontrivial by construction. Therefore the map $P^{\psi=1} \to M/(\psi - 1)$ is nontrivial. □

We now can finish the proof of Theorem 4.4.1. For this, we return to the setting of its statement.

Proof of Theorem 4.4.1. First, by Lemmas 5.1.1, 5.1.5, and 5.1.6, we may assume that $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, A is reduced, and $M^*/(\psi-1) = 0$ (by Proposition 3.3.2). We then apply Lemma 5.3.7 and the noetherian induction to get a (φ, Γ)-module N over $\mathcal{R}_A$ which is a successive extension of M by finitely many rank one (φ, Γ)-modules P_i such that $P_i/(\psi - 1) = P^*_i/(\psi - 1) = 0$, and $N/(\psi - 1) = N^*/(\psi - 1) = 0$.

By Theorem 5.2.12, $N^{\psi=1}$ and $P_i^{\psi=1}$ are all finite projective $\mathcal{R}_A(\Gamma)$-modules. This implies that $\mathrm{C}^\bullet_\psi(M) \in \mathbf{D}^-_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_A(\Gamma))$, finishing the proof of the main theorem. □

6 Triangulation

We conclude by giving an application of our finiteness results for cohomology of (φ, Γ)-modules to the study of global triangulations of (φ, Γ)-modules. Our main result in this area (Corollary 6.2.9) shows that the existence of triangulations at a small (Zariski dense) set of points implies the existence of a global triangulation over a much larger (Zariski open) subset. More precisely, we prove that the global triangulation exists after making a suitable blowup. This has direct applications to eigenvarieties; we get an especially precise result for the Coleman-Mazur eigencurve, and relate the error term in the global triangulation with the action of the Theta operator considered by Coleman [14]. Although our language and methods are different, this improves Kisin's result [26] on interpolating crystalline periods (needing no "Y-smallness" condition). Variants of our result have been obtained independently by Liu [28] using a strengthening of Kisin's original methods and Hellmann [24] by studying the moduli stack of Breuil-Kisin modules.

Hypothesis 6.0.1. Throughout this section, let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree d, with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ and residue field k_K of cardinality p^f. Let K_0 denote the fraction field of the ring of Witt vectors $W(k_K)$.

The Artin map gives an isomorphism between the multiplicative group $K^\times$ and the maximal abelian quotient of the Weil group of K; we normalize it so that the image of a uniformizer of K is a *geometric* Frobenius. We hereafter identify the two groups.

We also let L denote a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ (not necessarily contained in our chosen $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$) such that the set Σ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra embeddings $K \hookrightarrow L$ has the maximal cardinality d. In the decomposition $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} L$, we write e_σ for the idempotent projecting onto the σ-factor, which satisfies $e_\sigma(x \otimes 1) = \sigma(x)$ for $x \in K$. Note that L may be replaced by a finite extension at any time without altering the hypothesis or Σ.

Notation 6.0.2. For M a finite projective module over a ring R, an R-submodule N of M is called *saturated* if M/N is a (finite) projective R-module. In particular, this condition implies that N itself is a finite projective R-module.

For M a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$, a (φ, Γ_K)-submodule N of M is called *saturated* if it is saturated as an $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$-submodule. In this case, M/N is a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$. When $A = L$, the submodule N is saturated in M if and only if there is no $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)$-submodule of M strictly containing N with the same rank.

6.1 Rank one (φ, Γ_K)-modules

The notion of a trianguline representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, one for which the associated (φ, Γ)-module is a successive extension of rank 1 (φ, Γ)-modules, was introduced by Colmez [15]. This notion was later generalized by Nakamura [30] to representations of G_K for K a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, using Berger's language of $\mathbb{B}$-pairs. In this subsection, we redevelop the theory using (φ, Γ_K)-modules. Although the two languages are equivalent, the approach using (φ, Γ_K)-modules is better suited for arithmetic families.

We develop some tools to detect trianguline objects, especially a criterion for when a rank one submodule of a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)$ is saturated (Lemma 6.1.19). Then we show how to compute Sen weights in families, which will be useful for obtaining detailed information in certain applications of our main results later on.

Some results in this section are valid for families over a general rigid analytic space. Thus, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 6.1.1. For X a rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $r > 0$, we define $\mathcal{R}^r_X(\pi_K)$ to be the sheaf of analytic functions on $X \times \mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^r_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\pi_K))$. Let $\mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)$ be the direct limit over $r > 0$ of the $\mathcal{R}^r_X(\pi_K)$. A (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}^{(r)}_X(\pi_K)$ is a compatible family of (φ, Γ_K)-modules over $\mathcal{R}^{(r)}_A(\pi_K)$ for each affinoid $\mathrm{Max}(A)$ of X. Note, in particular, that a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)$ might not arise as the base change from a single $\mathcal{R}^r_X(\pi_K)$, as the required r may be unbounded as one ranges over the affinoids of X.

We begin by recalling a standard construction of $(\varphi, \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$-modules over $\mathcal{R}_X$.

Notation 6.1.2. Let X be a rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. For $\delta : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^\times$ a continuous character, we define $\mathcal{R}_X(\delta)$ to be the free rank one (φ, Γ)-module $\mathcal{R}_X \cdot \mathbf{e}$ with $\varphi(\mathbf{e}) = \delta(p)\mathbf{e}$ and $\gamma(\mathbf{e}) = \delta(\chi(\gamma))\mathbf{e}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

The above notion generalizes from $\mathbb{Q}_p$ to K, making use of the following variant of Hilbert's Theorem 90.

Lemma 6.1.3. *Let A be a K_0-algebra, and let $a \in A^\times$. Up to isomorphism, there exists a unique free rank one $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$-module $D_{f,a}$ equipped with a $\varphi \otimes 1$-semilinear operator φ satisfying $\varphi^f = 1 \otimes a$. One has $D_{f,ab} \simeq D_{f,a} \otimes_{K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A} D_{f,b}$ for all $a, b \in A^\times$.*

Proof. For existence, let $D_{f,a}$ be the free A-module on the basis $e_0, \dots, e_{f-1}$, extended to a $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$-module via the rule $(x \otimes 1)e_i = \varphi^i(x)e_i$. One checks directly that $D_{f,a}$ is free of rank one over $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$, and that the rule $\varphi : D_{f,a} \to D_{f,a}$ defined by $\sum_{i=0}^{f-1} a_i e_i = \sum_{i=0}^{f-2} a_{i+1} e_i + a a_0 e_{f-1}$ is $\varphi \otimes 1$-linear with $\varphi^f = 1 \otimes a$.

For uniqueness, one reduces easily to the case $a = 1$, and must show that any candidate $D_{f,1}$ is isomorphic as $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$-module with φ-action to $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ itself equipped with $\varphi \otimes 1$. Fix a basis e of $D_{f,1}$ and write $\varphi(e) = be$, where necessarily $b \in (K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)^\times$ and $b \cdot (\varphi \otimes 1)(b) \cdots (\varphi \otimes 1)^{f-1}(b) = 1$. We must find $c \in (K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)^\times$ such that $(\varphi \otimes 1)(c)/c = b$; given such c, the desired isomorphism sends $e \in D_{f,1}$ to $c \in K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$. Writing, under the identification $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A = \prod_{i=0}^{f-1} A$, the element b as the tuple $(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})$, one easily checks that each b_i is a unit in A, and that the element c identified to the tuple $(1, b_0, b_0 b_1, \dots, b_0 b_1 \cdots b_{n-2})$ has the desired properties.

The identity $D_{f,ab} \simeq D_{f,a} \otimes_{K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A} D_{f,b}$ is straightforward to see. □

Construction 6.1.4. (Compare with Nakamura's construction in the language of $\mathbb{B}$-pairs, in [30, §1.4].) To a continuous character $\delta : K^\times \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^\times$ we associate a (φ, Γ_K)-module of rank 1 over $\mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)$, denoted $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta)$, as follows. First, we may work locally, and assume that $X = \mathrm{Max}(A)$ is affinoid. We may write $\delta = \delta_1 \delta_2$ where δ_1 extends to a character $\tilde{\delta}_1$ on G_K^{ab} and δ_2 is trivial on $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ (for example, by choosing a uniformizer ϖ_K and letting $\delta_1|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} = \delta|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}$, $\delta_1(\varpi_K) = 1$, $\delta_2|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} = 1$, and $\delta_2(\varpi_K) = \delta(\varpi_K)$). We let $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta_1) = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\tilde{\delta}_1)$, and we let $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta_2) = D_{f,\delta_2(\text{uniformizer})} \otimes_{(K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)} \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$ (in the notation of the preceding lemma) with the evident induced φ-action, and trivial Γ_K-action on the first factor. Then, we put $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta) = \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)} \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta_2)$. Using the tensor-functoriality of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}$ in Theorem 2.2.15 and $D_{f,a}$ in Lemma 6.1.3, this definition is easily checked to be independent of the decomposition $\delta = \delta_1\delta_2$ and also tensor-functorial.

For a (φ, Γ_K)-module M over $\mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)$, we put $M(\delta) = M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)} \mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)(\delta)$.

One can show that Construction 6.1.4 is in a certain sense exhaustive. We verify this first over an artinian local ring. (Compare the following lemma with the corresponding claim in the language of $\mathbb{B}$-pairs, in [31, Proposition 2.15].)

Lemma 6.1.5. *Let A be an artinian L-algebra and let M be a (φ, Γ_K)-module of rank 1 over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$. Then there exists a unique continuous character $\delta : K^\times \to A^\times$ such that $\mathcal{L} = H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M(\delta^{-1}))$ is free of rank 1 over A and the natural map $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta) \otimes_A \mathcal{L} \to M$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. First assume that A is a field, hence a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. If we view the (φ, Γ_K)-module M as a φ-module over $\mathcal{R}_A$ of rank $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, it has pure slope s. When it is étale, this is essentially local class field theory. In general, we may enlarge the ground field A so that it contains an element α of valuation s. Let $\delta : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to A^\times$ be the character trivial on $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ which sends p to α. Then it is clear that $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A} \mathcal{R}_A(\delta^{-1})$ is étale and hence is of the form $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta^*)$ for some character $\delta^* : K^\times \to A^\times$. Then M is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta^* \cdot \delta \circ N_{K/\mathbb{Q}_p})$. To see uniqueness, if $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta) \simeq \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta')$ then $\mathcal{R}_A \simeq \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta'\delta^{-1})$, and local class field theory forces $\delta'\delta^{-1} = 1$.

It remains to treat the case where A is an arbitrary artinian local L-algebra. Let I be the maximal ideal of A; we induct on the nilpotency index e of I. If $e = 1$, then A is a field and the claim is treated in the preceding paragraph. Otherwise, using the induction hypothesis, we may assume that $M \otimes_A A/I^{e-1}$ is trivial, so that the options for M are classified by $H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K) \otimes_A I^{e-1})$. We may also assume that the composition $K^\times \xrightarrow{\delta} A^\times \to (A/I^{e-1})^\times$ is trivial, so that the options for δ are classified by $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, I^{e-1})$. The construction $\delta \mapsto \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta)$ defines a map $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, I^{e-1}) \to H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K) \otimes_A I^{e-1})$ which coincides with natural bijection from Proposition 2.3.5; this completes the induction. □

To handle more general base spaces, we need a certain moduli space of continuous characters.

Lemma 6.1.6. *Let G be a commutative p-adic Lie group that modulo some compact open subgroup becomes free abelian on finitely many generators. There exist a rigid analytic space $X^{\mathrm{an}}(G)$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and a continuous character $\delta_G : G \to \Gamma(X^{\mathrm{an}}(G), \mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}(G)})^\times$ with the following property: for any rigid analytic space X, every continuous character $\delta : G \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^\times$ arises by pullback from a unique morphism $X \to X^{\mathrm{an}}(G)$ of rigid analytic spaces. Moreover, $X^{\mathrm{an}}(G)$ is a quasi-Stein space.*

Proof. Since this lemma is well-known, we just give a sketch here. If G can be written as a product of groups satisfying the hypotheses of the lemma, then we may treat each factor separately, and assemble the product of the respective results. Thus, since G is (noncanonically) isomorphic to $F \times \mathbb{Z}_p^r \times \mathbb{Z}^s$, where F is a finite abelian group, it suffices to treat the cases of F, $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and $\mathbb{Z}$. Clearly one has $X^{\mathrm{an}}(F) = \mathrm{Max}(\mathbb{Q}_p[F])$ and $X^{\mathrm{an}}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{G}_m^{\mathrm{an}}$.

One takes $X^{\mathrm{an}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ to be the generic fiber of the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic formal scheme $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p[\![\mathbb{Z}_p]\!])$, which via the Iwasawa isomorphism is the open unit disk in the variable $[\gamma]-1$, where $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a generator and the brackets denote a grouplike element in the completed group algebra. In other words, as one can readily verify, for any affinoid algebra A and any element $f \in A$ such that $f-1$ is topologically nilpotent, there exists a unique continuous character $\mathbb{Z}_p \to A^\times$ sending γ to f, and conversely every such character arises in this fashion. $\square$

Example 6.1.7. For $G = \Gamma_K$, the space $X^{\mathrm{an}}(G)$ provided by Lemma 6.1.6 is the cyclotomic deformation space $\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^\infty_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma_K))$ (see Notation 4.2.1).

Example 6.1.8. Take $G = K^\times$ in Lemma 6.1.6, then put $X^{\mathrm{an}}_L = X^{\mathrm{an}}(G) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$ and $\delta_L = \delta_G \otimes 1$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $X^{\mathrm{an}}_{L,n}$ be the subspace of X^{an}_L corresponding to those characters whose evaluation at a uniformizer of K has p-adic valuation in the range $[n/2,(n+1)/2]$; the spaces $X^{\mathrm{an}}_{L,n}$ then form an admissible cover of X^{an}_L.

Lemma 6.1.9. *Set notation as in Example 6.1.8. Let A be an L-affinoid algebra and put $X = \mathrm{Max}(A)$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and put $X_n = X \times_L X^{\mathrm{an}}_{L,n}$. Let M be a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)$, and let $M(\delta_L)$ be the external product of M and δ_L as a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_{X_n}(\pi_K)$.*

(1) The support of $H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M(\delta_L)/tM(\delta_L))$ is contained in some affinoid subdomain of X_n.

(2) The support of $H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M(\delta_L))$ is contained in some affinoid subdomain of X_n.

Proof. As in Construction 6.1.4, choose a uniformizer ϖ_K of K, and for any continuous character $\delta : K^\times \to (L')^\times$ for L' a finite extension of L, factor $\delta = \delta_1\delta_2$ with $\delta_1(\varpi_K) = 1$ and $\delta_2|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} = 1$. For $i = \emptyset, 1, 2$, let $\tilde{\delta}_i$ be the semilinear Γ-representation of rank 1 over $L' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K(\mu_{p^\infty})$ obtained by forming $\mathcal{R}_{L'}(\pi_K)(\delta_i)$ and then reducing modulo t.

As in the proof of Proposition 3.2.3, the assertion of (1) reduces to the following claim: given an A-valued Γ-representation W, we can choose a positive integer m of Γ, a topological generator γ_m of Γ_m, and a quantity $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ such that for any A-algebra L' finite over L and any continuous character $\delta : K^\times \to (L')^\times$ for which $|\delta_2(\varpi_K)| \in [p^{-(n+1)/2}, p^{-n/2}]$ and $|\delta_1(1+\varpi_K)-1| > \epsilon$, $\gamma_m - 1$ is bijective on $W \otimes \tilde{\delta}$. To achieve this, observe that the condition $|\delta_1(1+\varpi_K)-1| > \epsilon$ implies that the operator norm of $(\gamma_m-1)^{-1}$ on $\tilde{\delta}_1$ is bounded away from 0. Then choose m large enough to make the operator norm $||\gamma_m - 1||_{W\otimes\tilde{\delta}_2}$ suitably small uniformly in δ_2.

Given (1), (2) follows once we check that $H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(t^{-n}M(\delta_L)) = 0$ for n sufficiently large. This follows from Proposition 3.3.2(2). $\square$

We next show that Construction 6.1.4 is exhaustive in general. In the case $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, this provides an affirmative answer to a question of Bellaïche [2, §3, Question 1].

Theorem 6.1.10. *Let X be a rigid L-analytic space and let M be a (φ,Γ_K)-module of rank 1 over $\mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)$. Then there exist a continuous character $\delta : K^\times \to \Gamma(X,\mathcal{O}_X)^\times$ and an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on X, the pair of which is unique (the latter up to isomorphism), such that $M \simeq \mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)(\delta) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{L}$. Moreover, one can take $\mathcal{L} = H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M(\delta^{-1}))$, in which case the isomorphism is canonical.*

Proof. The uniqueness assertion follows from Lemma 6.1.5. Given existence for a certain X, it is clear that we may take $\mathcal{L} = H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M(\delta^{-1}))$. By uniqueness and the canonicality of the morphism when we take $\mathcal{L} = H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M(\delta^{-1}))$, it it sufficient to check existence locally on X.

Define $X^{\mathrm{an}}_L = X^{\mathrm{an}}(K^\times) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$ and $\delta_L = \delta_{K^\times} \otimes 1$, where $X^{\mathrm{an}}(K^\times)$ and $\delta_{K^\times}$ are as in Lemma 6.1.6. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $X^{\mathrm{an}}_{L,n}$ be the subspace of X_L corresponding to those characters whose evaluation at a uniformizer of K has p-adic valuation in the range $[n/2, (n+1)/2]$; the spaces $X^{\mathrm{an}}_{L,n}$ then form an admissible cover of X^{an}_L.

For $U \to X$ a morphism of rigid spaces, put $U_n = U \times_L X^{\mathrm{an}}_{L,n}$, form the (φ, Γ_K)-module $M(\delta_L)_{U_n}$ over $\mathcal{R}_{U_n}(\pi_K)$, and put $N_{U,n} = H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M(\delta_L)^*_{U_n})$. By Theorem 4.4.2 and Theorem 4.4.3, $N_{U,n}$ is a coherent sheaf over U_n; its support $Z_{U,n}$ is therefore a closed analytic subspace of U_n. By Theorem 4.4.3 again, if $U \to X$ is a closed immersion, $N_{U,n}$ is the pullback of $N_{X,n}$ along $U_n \to X_n$, and so $Z_{U,n} = Z_{X,n} \times_{X_n} U_n = Z_{X,n} \times_X U$.

Suppose now that $U = \mathrm{Max}(A)$ for A an artinian local ring and that $U \to X$ is a closed immersion. By Theorem 4.4.5, $N_{U,n}$ is dual to $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M(\delta_L)_{U_n})$; by Lemma 6.1.5, the map $Z_{X,n} \times_{X_n} U_n = Z_{U,n} \to U_n \to U$ is an open immersion. Moreover, for some N depending on U, $Z_{U,n}$ is empty for $n > N$ and equal to U for $n \leq N$.

Suppose now that $U \to X$ is an affinoid subdomain embedding. In this case, $Z_{U,n} \to U$ is an open immersion by the previous paragraph plus the fact that open immersions can be detected at the level of completed local rings [8, Proposition 7.3.3/5]. By Lemma 6.1.9, $Z_{U,n}$ is contained in an affinoid subdomain of X_n, inside of which it is a closed analytic subspace. Consequently, $Z_{U,n}$ is itself an affinoid space, so the map $Z_{U,n} \to U$ is an affinoid subdomain embedding by [8, Corollary 8.2.1/4]. In addition, by Proposition 3.3.2, there exists N_0 such that $Z_{U,n}$ is empty for $n \geq N_0$; by the same argument applied to M^* plus the previous paragraph, there exists N_1 such that $\cup_{n \geq N_1} Z_{U,n}$ surjects onto U.

Continue to assume that $U \to X$ is an affinoid subdomain embedding. Then the covering of U by the affinoid subdomains $Z_{U,n}$ for $n \in [N_0, N_1]$ is admissible, so it gives rise to a covering of the Berkovich space associated to U. For each Berkovich point x of U, if we choose the largest n for which $x \in Z_{U,n}$, we can then find a rational neighborhood of x in $Z_{U,n}$ which is disjoint from $Z_{U,n+2}$ (since the latter is a closed subspace). By the compactness of a Berkovich affinoid space, we obtain a finite and hence admissible covering of U by affinoid subdomains such that for each V in the cover, there exists an index n for which $Z_{V,n} \to V$ is an isomorphism and $Z_{V,n+2}$ is empty. We thus obtain an admissible cover of X each of whose components has the same property.

Since we may work locally on X, we may now assume that there exists an index n for which $Z_{X,n} \to X$ is an isomorphism and $Z_{x,n+2}$ is empty. We thus obtain a map $X \to Z_{X,n}$ and then by projection a map $X \to X^{\mathrm{an}}_L$. Define δ by pulling back δ_L along this map; we claim that this choice of δ has the desired effect. By Theorem 4.4.3 again, it is enough to check that for any closed immersion $\mathrm{Max}(A) \to X$ with A an artinian local ring, $H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M(\delta)^*_A)$ is free of rank 1 over A; this follows once more from Lemma 6.1.5. □

Corollary 6.1.11. *For $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, Conjecture 2.2.13 holds for modules of rank* 1, *hence also for all trianguline modules (see Definition 6.1.13).*

Remark 6.1.12. In the case $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ of Theorem 6.1.10, the use of Lemma 6.1.9 in the proof is not necessary: one can get the same result by combining Proposition 3.3.2(2) with Theorem 4.4.8.

Using Cosntruction 6.1.4, we define the notion of a trianguline (φ, Γ_K)-module.

Definition 6.1.13. Let $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d : K^\times \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^\times$ be continuous characters. A (φ, Γ_K)-module M of rank d over $\mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)$ is *trianguline with ordered parameters* $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d$ if, after perhaps enlarging L, there exists an increasing filtration $(\mathrm{fil}_i M)_{i=0,\dots,d}$ of M given by (φ, Γ_K)-submodules such that each $\mathrm{gr}_i M \simeq \mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)(\delta_i)$. Such a filtration is called a *triangulation (with ordered parameters* $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d$*)* of M.

In the case $X = \mathrm{Max}(L)$, we say that M is *strictly trianguline with ordered parameters* $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d$ if the triangulation is the unique one with these ordered parameters. When M is already known to be trianguline, this is equivalent to $H^0_{\varphi, \Gamma_K}((M/\mathrm{fil}_i M)(\delta_{i+1}^{-1}))$ being one-dimensional for all i, or alternatively $H^0_{\varphi, \Gamma_K}((\mathrm{fil}_i M)^\vee(\delta_i))$ being one-dimensional for any i.

We continue with some tools adapted to the case $A = L$, for use in treating the behavior of families at points of $\mathrm{Max}(A)$.

Definition 6.1.14. Let M be a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)$ that is potentially semistable. Thus $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(M)$ is a filtered $(\varphi, N, \mathrm{Gal}(K'/K))$-module equipped with a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear action of L commuting with $(\varphi, N, \mathrm{Gal}(K'/K))$ and preserving the filtration; here K'/K is a finite Galois extension inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ over which M becomes semistable, and we write K'_0 for its maximal absolutely unramified subfield. It is well-known that $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(M)$ is a free $K'_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$-module, so that $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) = (K' \otimes_{K'_0} D)^{G_K}$ is a free $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$-module. We decompose $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} e_\sigma \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(M)$ as a filtered $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$-module, and for $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we define the *σ-Hodge-Tate weights* of M to be the jumps in the filtration on $e_\sigma \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(M)$, counted with multiplicity given by the L-dimension of the respective graded pieces.

Example 6.1.15. Choose a uniformizer ϖ_K of K.

(1) The unique homomorphism $\mathrm{LT}_{\varpi_K} : K^\times \to K^\times$ that is the identity on $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ and satisfies $\mathrm{LT}_{\varpi_K}(\varpi_K) = 1$ extends to G_K^{ab}, whereupon it describes the action on the torsion in the Lubin-Tate group over $\mathcal{O}_K$ associated to ϖ_K. The structure of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathrm{LT}_{\varpi_K})$ is well-known. As a $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$-module with $\varphi \otimes 1$-linear operator, it is isomorphic to $D_{f, \varpi_K^{-1}}$ in the notation of Lemma 6.1.3, because the p^f-power Frobenius of the special fiber of the Lubin-Tate group is by construction equal to formal multiplication by ϖ_K. Choosing an embedding $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$ and setting $\mathrm{LT}_{\varpi_K, \sigma_0} = \sigma_0 \circ \mathrm{LT}_{\varpi_K}$, the σ-Hodge-Tate weight of $\mathrm{LT}_{\varpi_K, \sigma_0}$ is -1 if $\sigma = \sigma_0$ and 0 if $\sigma \neq \sigma_0$; this is because the height and dimension of the Lubin-Tate group are d and 1, and the formal multiplication acts on its tangent space via the structure map.

(2) Fix an embedding $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$. Use x_{σ_0} to denote the character $K^\times \to L^\times$ given by this embedding, and $\delta_{\sigma_0} : K^\times \to L^\times$ to denote the character given by $\delta_{\sigma_0}|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} = 1$ and $\delta_{\sigma_0}(\varpi_K) = \sigma_0(\varpi_K)$. One has $x_{\sigma_0} = \mathrm{LT}_{\varpi_K, \sigma_0} \cdot \delta_{\sigma_0}$, so that

$$\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(x_{\sigma_0}) \simeq \mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(\mathrm{LT}_{\varpi_K, \sigma_0}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)} \mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(\delta_{\sigma_0}).$$

This allows us to compute, under Berger's dictionary [5, Théorème A], that $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(x_{\sigma_0}))$ is isomorphic to $D_{f,1}$, and that its σ-Hodge-Tate weight is -1 if $\sigma = \sigma_0$ and 0 if $\sigma \neq \sigma_0$. In particular, $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(x_{\sigma_0})$ is a (φ, Γ_K)-submodule of the trivial one $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$.

(3) More generally, let $\delta : K^\times \to L^\times$ be a continuous character such that $\delta|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} = \prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma} x_\sigma^{k_\sigma}|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}$ for some integers k_σ. Then $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(\delta))$ is isomorphic to $D_{f,\alpha}$ with $\alpha = \delta(\varpi_K) \cdot \prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma} \sigma(\varpi_K)^{-k_\sigma}$, and its σ-Hodge-Tate weight is $-k_\sigma$ for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

Notation 6.1.16. By Example 6.1.15(2), for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, the module $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(x_\sigma)$ is a submodule of $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)$. Since $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)$ is a product of direct limits of Bézout domains, there exists an element $t_\sigma \in \mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)$ generating the submodule $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(x_\sigma)$. The element t_σ is not uniquely determined, but the ideal it generates is.

We remark that $\prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma} t_\sigma = tu$ for some unit $u \in \mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)^\times$; this follows from the fact that $\prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma} x_\sigma = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}_p}$ as characters of $K^\times$. Also, the t_σ are strongly coprime, in that $(t_\sigma) + (t_\tau) = \mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)$ for $\sigma \neq \tau$, which one sees by applying Berger's dictionary to $(t_\sigma) + (t_\tau)$ and considering its possible Hodge-Tate weights. In particular, one has

$$\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)/t \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\Sigma} \mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)/t_\sigma. \tag{6.1.16.1}$$

We now compute the cohomology of rank one (φ, Γ_K)-modules.

Proposition 6.1.17. *Let $\delta : K^\times \to L^\times$ be a continuous character.*

1. *The cohomology $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(\delta))$ is trivial unless $\delta = \prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma} x_\sigma^{k_\sigma}$ with all $k_\sigma \leq 0$.*
2. *The cohomology $H^2_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(\delta))$ is trivial unless $\delta = |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}| \cdot \prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma} x_\sigma^{k_\sigma}$ with all $k_\sigma \geq 1$.*
3. *the cohomology $H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(\delta))$ has dimension equal to $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ unless either the zeroth or second cohomology does not vanish, in which case the dimension is equal to $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$.*

Proof. This follows from Nakamura's computation [30, §2.3], together with the comparison of cohomology. For the convenience of the reader, we include a proof using the language of (φ, Γ_K)-modules.

By the Euler characteristic formula (Theorem 2.3.13(2)), the last statement follows from the first two. By Tate duality (Theorem 2.3.13(3)), the second statement follows from the first one. It then suffices to prove (1).

Suppose that $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(\delta))$ is nontrivial for some continuous character $\delta : K^\times \to L^\times$. Then we have a nonzero morphism $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K) \to \mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(\delta)$ of (φ, Γ_K)-modules; this is equivalent to the existence of a morphism $j : \mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(\delta^{-1}) \to \mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)$ of (φ, Γ_K)-modules. Since $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)$ is a finite direct product of integral domains and φ acts transitively on these domains, this morphism j must be injective, realizing $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(\delta^{-1})$ as a subobject of $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)$.

As a subobject of a crystalline object is crystalline, $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(\delta^{-1})$ corresponds to a nonzero subobject of the trivial filtered φ-module of rank one. In particular, it must be isomorphic to

$K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$ as a φ-module, and each σ-Hodge-Tate weight $-k_\sigma$ for $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is nonpositive. Note that this subobject is exactly the filtered φ-module associated to the character $\prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma} x_\sigma^{k_\sigma}$ in the computation in Example 6.1.15(3). It follows that $\delta = \prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma} x_\sigma^{-k_\sigma}$. ☐

Corollary 6.1.18. *Let $\delta : K^\times \to L^\times$ be a continuous character. Then a nonzero (φ, Γ_K)-submodule of $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(\delta)$ over $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)$ is of the form $\left(\prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma} t_\sigma^{k_\sigma}\right) \mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(\delta)$ for some $k_\sigma \geq 0$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 6.1.17(1). ☐

Lemma 6.1.19. *Let M be a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)$, and let λ be a nonzero element of $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)[\varphi,\Gamma_K]}(\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K), M)$. Then $\lambda(\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K))$ is saturated in M if any only if the image of λ in $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M/t_\sigma)$ is nonzero for every $\sigma \in \Sigma$.*

Proof. For each embedding $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we have an exact sequence $0 \to H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M(x_\sigma)) \to H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M) \to H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M/t_\sigma)$. The image of λ in the last term is zero if and only if it is the image of some $\lambda' \in H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M(x_\sigma))$. This implies that if λ dies in $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M/t_\sigma)$, then $\lambda(\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K))$ embeds into $t_\sigma M$ and hence $t_\sigma^{-1}\lambda(\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K))$ embeds into M, rendering the submodule $\lambda(\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K))$ not saturated.

Conversely, if $\lambda(\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)) \hookrightarrow M$ is not saturated, then dualizing this inclusion gives a nonsurjective morphism $M^\vee \to \mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)$ of (φ, Γ_K)-modules. By Corollary 6.1.18, the image of this map lies in $t_\sigma \mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Dualizing back this map and multiplying both the source and the target by t_σ gives a homomorphism $\lambda(\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)) \to t_\sigma M$. This proves that λ lies in the image of $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(t_\sigma M)$. ☐

We now discuss weights in families. Let A henceforth denote an L-affinoid algebra.

Definition 6.1.20. Let M be a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)$ of rank d. By Lemma 3.2.2, $(M/t)^{\varphi=1}$ is of the form

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{Sen},n}(M) \otimes_{K(\mu_{p^n})\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A} (K(\mu_{p^\infty}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)$$

for a sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{Sen},n}(M)$ is a locally free module of rank d over $K(\mu_{p^n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$. Consider the *Sen operator* $\Theta_{\mathrm{Sen}} = \frac{\log(\gamma)}{\log(\chi(\gamma))}$ on M for $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$ sufficiently close to 1. It induces a $K(\mu_{p^n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$-*linear* action on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{Sen},n}(M)$. The characteristic polynomial of this linear action is monic with coefficients in $K(\mu_{p^\infty}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ and is independent of n in the obvious sense; we call it the *Sen polynomial* of M.

When the rank of M is one, the Sen operator acts by multiplication by an element of $K(\mu_{p^n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$, which is the unique root of the Sen polynomial. We call this the *Sen weight* of M.

We introduce the following notion in order to compute the Sen weight of $M = \mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta)$.

Definition 6.1.21. A continuous character $\delta : K^\times \to A^\times$ is automatically locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$-analytic [11, Proposition 8.3] (but not locally K-analytic in general), so we may look at the action of the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-Lie algebra of $K^\times$. More precisely, the *weight* of δ is the image $\mathrm{wt}(\delta)$ under $\prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma} A \cong K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ of the family of elements $(\mathrm{wt}_\sigma(\delta))_{\sigma\in\Sigma}$ such that

$$\lim_{\substack{a\to 0\\ a\in\mathcal{O}_K}} \frac{|\delta(1+a) - 1 - \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma} \mathrm{wt}_\sigma(\delta)\sigma(a)|_A}{|a|_K} = 0$$

(for any Banach algebra norm $|\cdot|_A$ defining the topology on A). The existence of such a set of elements follows from the fact that δ is locally $\mathbb{Q}_p$-analytic, and the uniqueness is obvious.

Lemma 6.1.22. *Let $\delta : K^\times \to L^\times$ be a continuous character such that $\delta|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times} = \prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma} x_\sigma^{k_\sigma}|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}$ for some integers k_σ. Then $\mathrm{wt}_\sigma(\delta) = k_\sigma$ for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, and the Sen weight of $\mathcal{R}_L(\pi_K)(\delta)$ is the image of $\mathrm{wt}(\delta)$ under $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L \hookrightarrow K(\mu_{p^\infty}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$.*

Proof. The first statement is clear from the definition of weights. The second statement follows from the calculation of filtered φ-modules in Example 6.1.15(3). ☐

Interpolating the lemma above gives the following stronger statement.

Lemma 6.1.23. *Let $\delta : K^\times \to A^\times$ be a continuous character. Then the Sen weight of $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta)$ is the image of $\mathrm{wt}(\delta)$ under $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A \hookrightarrow K(\mu_{p^\infty}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$.*

Proof. We let $X_L^{\mathrm{an}} = X^{\mathrm{an}}(K^\times) \times_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$ and $\delta_L = \delta_{K^\times} \otimes 1$ be as in Example 6.1.8, and we let S be the set of points of X_L^{an} corresponding to characters treated by Lemma 6.1.22. One may admissibly cover X_L^{an} by an increasing system of affinoid opens $\{U_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that each $S \cap U_i$ is Zariski dense in U_i. (In the proof of Lemma 6.1.22, cover each copy of $\mathbb{G}_m^{\mathrm{an}}$ by increasing system of closed annuli, and each copy of of the open unit disk by an increasing system of closed disks.) For each i, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the weight and Sen weight of $\delta_L|_{U_i}$ are elements of $K(\mu_{p^n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_{U_i})$. Since these two elements agree at all points of $S \cap U_i$, they must be equal.

Now let $f : \mathrm{Max}(A) \to X_L^{\mathrm{an}}$ be the unique morphism such that $\delta = f^*\delta_L$. For sufficiently large i, the map f factors through U_i. Pulling back along f the identity of the weight and Sen weight for $\delta_L|_{U_i}$, we deduce it for δ. ☐

Corollary 6.1.24. *Let $\delta : K^\times \to A^\times$ be a continuous character. Then for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, the operator Θ_{Sen} acts on $\left(\mathcal{R}_A(\pi_K)(\delta)/t_\sigma\right)^{\varphi=1}$ by multiplication by $\mathrm{wt}_\sigma(\delta)$.*

Proof. This is just a restatement Lemma 6.1.23, broken up according to the decomposition

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{Sen},n}(M) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\Sigma} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{Sen},n}(M) \otimes_{K\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A, \sigma\otimes 1} A \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\Sigma} (M/t_\sigma)^{\varphi=1}$$

for n sufficiently large. ☐

6.2 Global triangulation

In this subsection, we show that densely pointwise trianguline (φ, Γ)-modules admit triangulations on Zariski-dense open subsets.

Definition 6.2.1. A subset Z of closed points of X is called *Zariski dense* if there exists an admissible affinoid cover $\{\mathrm{Max}(A_i)\}_{i\in I}$ of X such that $Z \cap \mathrm{Max}(A_i)$ is Zariski dense in $\mathrm{Max}(A_i)$ for each i. Note that this does not imply that Z is Zariski dense in all affinoid subdomains of X.

A (φ, Γ_K)-module M over $\mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)$ is called *densely pointwise strictly trianguline* if there exist continuous characters $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d : K^\times \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^\times$ and a Zariski dense subset $X_{\mathrm{alg}} \subseteq X$ such that for each $z \in X_{\mathrm{alg}}$, M_z is strictly trianguline with ordered parameters $\delta_{1,z}, \dots, \delta_{d,z}$.

Corollary 6.2.2. *Let M be a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)$. Then $H^*_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M)$ and $H^*_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M/t_\sigma)$ for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$ are coherent sheaves over X. Moreover, locally on X they are the cohomology of complexes of locally free sheaves concentrated in degrees $[0, 2]$ and $[-1, 2]$, respectively.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.4.5. □

Before moving on to the main theorem, we briefly discuss a flattening technique using Fitting ideals. (This is inspired by the work of Raynaud and Gruson [34].)

Definition 6.2.3. Given a finitely generated module M over a noetherian ring R, write it as the cokernel of an R-linear map $\phi : R^m \to R^n$; let $\Phi \in \mathrm{M}_{m\times n}(R)$ be the matrix of ϕ. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the *rth Fitting ideal* of M is defined to be the ideal $\mathrm{Fitt}_r(M)$ of R generated by the $(n-r)$-minors of Φ. This is independent of the choice of the presentation [19, Corollary-Definition 20.4]. Moreover, the construction of Fitting ideals commutes with base change [19, Corollary 20.5].

Lemma 6.2.4. *Let R be a noetherian ring and let M be a finitely generated module given as the cokernel of an R-linear homomorphism $\phi : R^m \to R^n$.*

(1) If $M \cong N \oplus F$ is a direct sum of R-modules with F locally free of rank d, then $\mathrm{Fitt}_{r+d}(M) \cong \mathrm{Fitt}_r(N)$.

(2) For any $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathrm{Fitt}_r(M)$ *is a nil-ideal if and only if the rank of M at each generic point of* $\mathrm{Spec}\, R$ *is at least $r + 1$.*

(3) Assume that there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathrm{Fitt}_{r-1}(M) = (0)$ *and* $\mathrm{Fitt}_r(M)$ *is generated by a non-zero divisor $a \in R$. Then the image of ϕ is flat over R of rank $n - r$. In particular, M has generic rank r and it has projective-dimension ≤ 1.*

Proof. (1) We may work Zariski locally on $\mathrm{Spec}\, R$, reducing to the case where F is free. In this case, the claim is straightforward from the definitions.

(2) The ideal $\mathrm{Fitt}_r(M)$ consists of nilpotent elements if and only if $\mathrm{Fitt}_r(M)$ is contained in all minimal primes $\mathfrak{p}$, if and only if, for each minimal prime $\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathrm{Fitt}_r(M \otimes_R \mathrm{Frac}(R/\mathfrak{p})) = (0)$. This reduces us to the case where R is a field, in which case the claim follows from elementary linear algebra.

(3) This is a special case of [34, Chapter 4, Lemma 1]. Let $\Phi = (\phi_{ij})_{1\le i\le n, 1\le j\le m}$ denote the matrix of ϕ for the standard bases $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m$ for R^m and $\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_n$ for R^n. Zariski locally on $\operatorname{Spec} R$, and after suitable permutation of the bases, and perhaps multiplying a by a unit in R, we may assume that $\det(\phi_{ij})_{1\le i,j\le n-r} = a$. Write Φ as a block matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, where A is an $(n-r)\times(n-r)$-matrix with determinant a. Let $\operatorname{Adj}(A)$ denote the adjugate matrix of A.

We claim that we have the following identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ C \end{pmatrix} \operatorname{Adj}(A)B = a \begin{pmatrix} B \\ D \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6.2.4.1}$$

It suffices to check that $C\operatorname{Adj}(A)B = aD$, and for this it suffices to replace C by a single one of its rows, B by a single one of its columns, and D by the corresponding one of its entries (which is just an element of R). In this case, it is a simple linear algebra exercise to check that $a(aD - C\operatorname{Adj}(A)B) = a\cdot\det\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{smallmatrix}\right)$; the right hand side vanishes because it is an $(n-r+1)$-minor of Φ. Since a is not a zero-divisor, we have $aD = C\operatorname{Adj}(A)B$.

Note that each entry of $\operatorname{Adj}(A)B$ is an $(n-r)$-minor of Φ, and hence divisible by a. Since a is not a zero-divisor, there is a unique matrix E with coefficients in R such that $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A \\ C \end{smallmatrix}\right)E = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} B \\ D \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. This means that $\phi(\mathbf{e}_1), \dots, \phi(\mathbf{e}_{n-r})$ generate the image of ϕ. Since $\det A$ is not a zero-divisor, the image of ϕ is freely generated by $\phi(\mathbf{e}_1), \dots, \phi(\mathbf{e}_{n-r})$. The lemma is proved. □

Corollary 6.2.5. *Let X be a reduced and irreducible rigid L-analytic space.*

(1) Let $\phi : C \to D$ be a homomorphism of locally free coherent sheaves on X. Let r denote the generic rank of the cokernel of ϕ. Let $f : Y \to X$ be the blowup of X along the rth Fitting ideal of the cokernel of ϕ. Then the homomorphism $f^*\phi : f^*C \to f^*D$ has flat image. The formation of (Y, f) commutes with dominant base change in X. Moreover, for any morphism $g : Z \to Y$, the composite $f \circ g : Z \to X$ has the same property: $(f\circ g)^*\phi$ has flat image.

(2) Let $(C^\bullet, d^\bullet)$ be a bounded above complex of locally free coherent sheaves on X. Construct the sequence of morphisms

$$\cdots \to Y^i \xrightarrow{f_i} Y^{i+1} \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} \cdots \to X$$

as follows. Let $Y^i = X$ for $i \gg 0$. Given $f_i, f_{i+1}, \dots$, put

$$g_i = \cdots \circ f_{i+1} \circ f_i : Y^i \longrightarrow X$$

and apply (1) to $g_i^* d^{i-1} : g_i^* C^{i-1} \to g_i^* C^i$ to obtain $f_{i-1} : Y^{i-1} \to Y^i$. Then the (Y_i, f_i) depend only on the quasi-isomorphism class of $(C^\bullet, d^\bullet)$, and their formation commutes with dominant base change in X.

Proof. Since an irreducible rigid analytic space is the admissible union of irreducible affinoids, we may assume that X is an affinoid throughout. Then the first claim of (1) is clear from Lemma 6.2.4. The second claim follows because the formations of Fitting ideals and blowups commute with base change; that the generic rank r does not change follows from the dominance hypothesis. The third claim is obvious.

For (2), we prove that for each i the construction of f_{i-1} depends only on the quasi-isomorphism class of $(C^\bullet, d^\bullet)$. We have the short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^i(g_i^*C^\bullet) \to \mathrm{Coker}(g_i^*C^{i-1} \to g_i^*C^i) \to \mathrm{Im}(g_i^*C^i \to g_i^*C^{i+1}) \to 0,$$

in which the last term is a flat R-module by the construction of g_i, hence it is projective of rank s. In particular, the short exact sequence splits. Let r be the generic rank of $H^i(g_i^*C^\bullet)$, so that $\mathrm{Coker}(g_i^*C^{i-1} \to g_i^*C^i)$ has generic rank $r+s$. Then f_{i-1} is none other than the blowup of the ideal

$$\mathrm{Fitt}_{r+s}(\mathrm{Coker}(g_i^*C^{i-1} \to g_i^*C^i)) = \mathrm{Fitt}_r(H^i(g_i^*C^\bullet))$$

on Y^i. As the complex $(C^\bullet, d^\bullet)$ consists of locally free coherent sheaves, it is clear that the above ideal depends only on its quasi-isomorphism class. The commutation with base change follows from the corresponding property in (1). ☐

Lemma 6.2.6. *Let R be a noetherian ring. Let Q be the cokernel of an injective homomorphism $\phi : M \to N$ of finitely generated flat R-modules of rank m and n, respectively. Let Z be the closed subscheme of* $\mathrm{Spec}\, R$ *defined by the $(n-m)$th Fitting ideal of Q. Then for any $z \in \mathrm{Spec}\, R$, one has $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(Q, \kappa_z) \neq 0$ if and only if $z \in Z$.*

Proof. Tensoring ϕ with κ_z gives $0 \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(Q,\kappa_z) \to M_z \xrightarrow{\phi_z} N_z \to Q_z \to 0$. So $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(Q,\kappa_z) \neq 0$ if and only if ϕ_z is not injective, if and only if the rank of ϕ_z is not m, if and only if the $(n-m)$th Fitting ideal vanishes at z, if and only if $z \in Z$. ☐

Remark 6.2.7. In the following theorem and its corollary, we assume that X is a reduced and irreducible rigid analytic space over L. The assumption on the reducedness of X is essential because we invoke arguments at the residue fields, which do not see non-reduced structure.

The assumption on irreducibility of X is very mild. It is only used in Corollary 6.2.5 to ensure that the cokernel of each d^i has the same dimension at the generic point of each irreducible component (which is an empty condition if X is irreducible). Without the irreducibility condition, it might be possible to verify this condition by hand in special cases. For many arithmetic applications, it suffices to replace the space in question by the disjoint union of its irreducible connected components in the sense of [17], and hence reduce to our situation by treating each component separately. Note that by [17, Lemma 2.2.3], any affinoid subdomain of X is Zariski dense in X.

Theorem 6.2.8. *Let X be a reduced and irreducible rigid L-analytic space. Let M be a (φ,Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)$ of rank d and let $\delta : K^\times \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^\times$ be a continuous character. Suppose that there exists a Zariski dense subset X_{alg} of closed points of X such that for every $z \in X_{\mathrm{alg}}$, $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M_z^\vee(\delta_z))$ is one dimensional, and the image of $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)$ under any basis of this space is saturated in $M_z^\vee(\delta_z)$. Then there exist canonical data of* *(a) a proper birational morphism $f : X' \to X$ of reduced rigid analytic spaces,*

(b) a unique (up to $\mathcal{O}_{X'}^{\times}$) homomorphism $\lambda : f^*M \to \mathcal{R}_{X'}(\pi_K)(\delta) \otimes_{X'} \mathcal{L}$ of (φ, Γ_K)-modules over $\mathcal{R}_{X'}(\pi_K)$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle over X' with trivial (φ, Γ_K)-actions,

such that the following conditions are satisfied.

(1) The set Z of closed points $z \in X'$ failing to have the following property is Zariski closed and disjoint from $f^{-1}(X_{\mathrm{alg}})$ (hence its complement is Zariski open and dense): the induced homomorphism $\lambda_z : M_z \to \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta_z)$ is surjective and the corresponding element spans $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M_z^\vee(\delta_z))$ (hence the latter is one-dimensional).

(2) Locally on X', the cokernel of λ is killed by some power of t, and is supported over Z in the sense that for any analytic function g vanishes along Z, some power of g kills the cokernel of λ too.

(3) The kernel of λ is a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_{X'}(\pi_K)$ of rank $d - 1$.

Moreover, when X is a smooth rigid analytic curve, we can take $X' = X$.

Proof. By Corollary 6.2.2, the (φ, Γ_K)-cohomology of $M^\vee(\delta)$ (resp. $M^\vee(\delta)/t_\sigma$ for each embedding $\sigma \in \Sigma$) is locally on X the cohomology of a complex of locally free sheaves concentrated in degree $[0, 2]$ (resp. $[-1, 2]$). By Corollary 6.2.5(2), locally on X there exists a proper birational morphism $f_0 : X'_0 \to X$ such that $N_0 = f_0^*(M^\vee(\delta))$ satsifies the conditions

(i) $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0)$ is flat and $H^i_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0)$ has Tor-dimension less than or equal to 1 for each $i = 1, 2$, and

(ii) for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$, $H^i_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0/t_\sigma)$ has Tor-dimension less than or equal to 1 for any i.

By Theorem 4.4.3(2) and the invariance under base change in Lemma 6.2.5(2), the locally constructed morphisms glue to a proper birational morphism $f_0 : X'_0 \to X$ locally satisfying the same conditions. We may and will always take X'_0 to be reduced. We remark here that in case when X is a smooth rigid analytic curve, there is no nonisomorphism proper birational morphism into X, so we have $X'_0 = X$ (and, later in the proof, $X' = X$) in this case.

For a closed point $z \in X'_0$, the base change spectral sequence $E_2^{i,j} = \mathrm{Tor}_{-i}^{X'_0}(H^j_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0), \kappa_z) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_{0,z})$ gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0) \otimes \kappa_z \to H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_{0,z}) \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^{X'_0}(H^1_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0), \kappa_z) \to 0. \qquad (6.2.8.1)$$

The condition (i) allows us to invoke Lemma 6.2.6, so that the set Z'_0 of $z \in X'_0$ for which the last term of (6.2.8.1) does not vanish is Zariski closed, and $X'_0 - Z'_0$ is open and dense. Thus for any point z in the Zariski dense subset $f_0^{-1}(X_{\mathrm{alg}}) \backslash Z'_0$ of X'_0, $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0) \otimes \kappa_z \cong H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_{0,z})$ is one-dimensional. Therefore, in view of condition (i), $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0)$ is a locally free sheaf over X'_0 of rank one. Let $\mathcal{L}_0$ denote the dual line bundle of $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0)$. Dualizing the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{R}_{X'_0}(\pi_K) \otimes_{X'_0} \mathcal{L}_0^\vee \to N_0$ gives a homomorphism $\lambda_0 : f_0^* M \to \mathcal{R}_{X'_0}(\pi_K)(\delta) \otimes_{X'_0} \mathcal{L}_0$ of (φ, Γ_K)-modules.

The uniqueness of λ_0 follows from the construction, and that $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0)$ is a line bundle. We next verify properties (1)–(2) for X'_0. Afterwards we will construct morphism $f : X' \to X'_0 \to X$ by blowing up in X'_0, which will preserve the properies (1)–(2) shown over X'_0, and check (3) in this setting.

(1) Consider the locus of Z_0 consisting of closed points $z \in X'_0$ that fail the condition that $\lambda_z : M_z \to \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta_z)$ is surjective and the corresponding element spans $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M_z^\vee(\delta_z))$. For each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we have a natural map $\chi_\sigma : H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0) \to H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0/t_\sigma)$. By the discussion above, (6.2.8.1) implies that $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_{0,z})$ is one-dimensional if and only if $z \notin Z'_0$. In this case, Lemma 6.1.19 implies that $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K) \to M_z^\vee(\delta_z)$ is saturated, or equivalently $M_z \to \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta_z)$ is surjective, if and only if for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the map

$$H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M_z^\vee(\delta_z)) \cong H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0) \otimes_{X'_0} \kappa_z \to H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0/t_\sigma) \otimes_{X'_0} \kappa_z \hookrightarrow H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(M_z^\vee(\delta_z)/t_\sigma)$$

is nontrivial. Here, the injectivity of the last homomorphism above follows from the base change spectral sequence $E_2^{i,j} = \mathrm{Tor}_{-i}^{X'}(H^j_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0/t_\sigma), \kappa_z) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_{0,z}/t_\sigma)$. It follows that Z_0 is the union of Z'_0 and the subspaces where the respective χ_σ vanish, hence is Zariski closed. Finally, $f_0^* X_{\mathrm{alg}}$ is disjoint from Z_0 because our hypotheses on each point of X_{alg} are simply a restatement of the negation of the condition on membership in Z.

(2) Let Q_0 denote the cokernel of λ_0. By the discussion in (1), $Q_{0,z} = 0$ if $z \notin Z_0$. By Lemma 2.2.11, this implies that Q_0 is supported on Z_0, in the sense that, locally on X'_0, for any analytic function g vanishing along Z_0, some power of g kills Q_0.

By (6.2.8.1), $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_0) \otimes \kappa_z$ injects into $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma_K}(N_{0,z})$ and hence λ_z is nontrivial. Thus, the image of λ_z is a (φ, Γ_K)-submodule of $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta_z)$. By Corollary 6.1.18, $Q_{0,z}$ is killed by some power of t.

We claim that, locally on X'_0, Q_0 itself is killed by some power of t. So assume that X'_0 is affinoid. We first observe that λ_0 is the base change of a homomorphism $\lambda_0^r : f_0^* M^r \to \mathcal{R}^r_{X'_0}(\pi_K)(\delta) \otimes_{X'_0} \mathcal{L}_0$ for some $r \in (0, C(\pi_K)]$. Let Q_0^r denote the cokernel of λ_0^r. We first note that $Q_0^{[r/p,r]}$ is killed by some power of t, say t^n. Indeed, $Q_0^{[r/p,r]}$ is supported on the zero locus of t in $\mathrm{Max}(\mathcal{R}^{[r/p,r]}_{X'_0}(\pi_K))$, which is an affinoid rigid analytic space, finite over X_0. This implies that $Q_0^{[r/p^m, r/p^{m-1}]} = (\varphi^m)^* Q_0^{[r/p,r]}$ is also killed by t^n for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence Q_0^r itself is killed by t^n, proving that Q_0 is killed by t^n.

To obtain the morphism $f : X' \to X$, affinoid-locally in X'_0 we apply Corollary 6.2.5(1) to any finite presentation of $Q_0^{[r/p,r]}$ (which is a finite module by the preceding paragraph), and we glue these local constructions globally over X'_0 using the invariance under dominant base change provided by the corollary. Write $\mathcal{L}$, λ, Q, Q^r, and $Q^{[r,s]}$ to denote the respective pullbacks along $X' \to X'_0$ of $\mathcal{L}_0$, λ_0, Q_0, Q_0^r, and $Q_0^{[r,s]}$. By our construction of X' the module $Q^{[r/p,r]}$ has Tor-dimension at most 1; pulling back by φ shows that $Q^{[r/p^m, r/p^{m-1}]}$ also has Tor-dimension at most 1 for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$; gluing shows that Q^r has Tor-dimension at most 1.

(3) Let P denote the kernel of λ. For any closed point $z \in X'$, the Tor spectral sequence computing the cohomology of the complex $\left[M \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathcal{R}_{X'}(\pi_K)(\delta) \otimes_{X'} \mathcal{L}\right] \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{X'} \kappa_z$ gives rise to

the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Tor}_2^{X'}(Q, \kappa_z) \to P_z \to \mathrm{Ker}\left(\lambda_z : M_z \to \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta_z)\right) \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^{X'}(Q, \kappa_z) \to 0.$$

By our construction of X' the first term vanishes, and (2) implies that the last term in this sequence is killed by a power of t. In particular, this implies that P_z has generic rank $d-1$ over $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)$. But it is also torsion-free (as a submodule of M_z) and is equipped with natural actions of φ and Γ_K. Hence P_z is a (φ, Γ_K)-module of rank $d-1$ over $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)$. Thus, P is finite projective of rank $d-1$ over $\mathcal{R}_{X'}(\pi_K)$ by Corollary 2.1.9. □

Corollary 6.2.9. *Let X be a reduced and irreducible rigid analytic space over L. Let M be a densely pointwise strictly trianguline (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)$ of rank d, with respect to the ordered parameters $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d$ and the Zariski dense subset X_{alg}. Then there exist canonical data of*

- *(a) a proper birational morphism $f : X' \to X$ of reduced rigid analytic spaces,*
- *(b) a unique increasing filtration $(\mathrm{fil}_i(f^*M))_{i=1,\dots,d}$ on the pullback (φ, Γ_K)-module f^*M over $\mathcal{R}_{X'}(\pi_K)$ via (φ, Γ_K)-stable coherent $\mathcal{R}_{X'}(\pi_K)$-submodules,*

such that the following conditions are satisfied.

- *(1) The set Z of closed points $z \in X'$ at which $(\mathrm{fil}_\bullet(f^*M))_z$ fails to be a strictly trianguline filtration on M_z with ordered parameters $\delta_{1,z}, \dots, \delta_{d,z}$ is Zariski closed in X' and disjoint from $f^{-1}(X_{\mathrm{alg}})$ (hence the complement of Z is Zariski open and dense).*
- *(2) Each $\mathrm{gr}_i(f^*M)$ embeds (φ, Γ_K)-equivariantly into $\mathcal{R}_{X'}(\pi_K)(\delta_i) \otimes_{X'} \mathcal{L}_i$ for some line bundle $\mathcal{L}_i$ over X, and the cokernel of the embedding is, locally on X', killed by some power of t and supported on Z.*
- *(2') The first graded piece $\mathrm{gr}_1(f^*M)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_{X'}(\pi_K)(\delta_1) \otimes_{X'} \mathcal{L}_1$.*

Moreover, when X is a smooth rigid analytic curve, we can take X' equal to X.

Proof. The existence of data satisfying all properties (1) and (2) follows from Theorem 6.2.8 by induction, noting for (1) the equivalent description of a strict triangulation in Definition 6.1.13.

We now prove (2'). For this, we go into the proof of Theorem 6.2.8. The claim is local on X', so we replace X' by a member of an admissible affinoid covering such that we have a natural injective homomorphism $\lambda^r : \mathrm{gr}_1(f^*M^r) \to \mathcal{R}^r_{X'}(\pi_K)(\delta_1)$ of (φ, Γ_K)-modules over $\mathcal{R}^r_{X'}(\pi_K)$ for some $r \in (0, C(\pi_K)]$. Let $\lambda^{[s,r]}$ denote its base change to $\mathcal{R}^{[s,r]}_{X'}$ for any $s \in (0, r]$ and let $Q^{[s,r]}$ denote the cokernel of the latter. Since $Q^{[s,r]}$ is killed by some power of t, is a finite $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-module, and is hence its presentation as the cokernel of $\lambda^{[s,r]}$ can be refined to the cokernel of an injective morphism of finite flat $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-modules. Tensoring $\lambda^{[s,r]}$ with κ_z for $z \in X'$ gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^{X'}(Q^{[s,r]}, \kappa_z) \to \mathrm{gr}_1(f^*M^{[s,r]})_z \xrightarrow{\lambda_z} \mathcal{R}^r_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta_{1,z}) \to Q^{[s,r]}_z \to 0.$$

Since λ_z is a homomorphism of finitely generated, torsion-free modules over a finite product of Bézout domains and is nontrivial generically, it must be injective, so that $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{X'}(Q^{[s,r]}, \kappa_z) = 0$. But since $Q^{[s,r]}$ is the cokernel of an injective homomorphism of finite flat $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$-modules, this implies that $Q_z^{[s,r]}$ has locally constant dimension on $z \in X'$ and therefore is flat over X'. However, this is only possible if $Q^{[s,r]}$ is zero, because we know that Q_z and hence $Q_z^{[s,r]}$ is trivial at $z \notin Z$. □

Remark 6.2.10. Although the proper birational morphism $X' \to X$ appearing in Theorem 6.2.8 is canonically constructed, and its formation commutes with dominant, flat base change, it is not clear to us if there is a *universal* such X' satisfying the conditions of Corollary 6.2.9.

The "bad locus" Z is necessary in the theorem; we will see this in the example of the Coleman-Mazur eigencurve (Proposition 6.3.5).

Remark 6.2.11. If M is the base change of a (φ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_X^{r_0}$, then the filtrations obtained in Corollary 6.2.9 can be taken to be base-changed from a filtration over $\mathcal{R}_{X'}^{r_0}$, at least locally on X'. This is because we may start by working with $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{X'}^{r_0}} \mathcal{R}_{X'}$ and invoking Lemma 2.2.9.

Despite the fact that the global triangulation only behaves well away from the bad locus Z, we can show that actually at each closed point $z \in X$, the (φ, Γ_K)-module is trianguline (with slightly different parameters). We thank Matthew Emerton for suggesting this application.

Theorem 6.2.12. *Let X be a rigid analytic space over L. Let M be a densely pointwise strictly trianguline (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_X(\pi_K)$ of rank d, with ordered parameters $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d$ and the Zariski dense subset X_{alg}. Then for every $z \in X$, the specialization M_z is trianguline with parameters $\delta'_{1,z}, \dots, \delta'_{d,z}$, where $\delta'_{i,z} = \delta_{i,z} \prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma} x_\sigma^{n_{i,z,\sigma}}$ for some $n_{i,z,\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Fix $z \in X$. After replacing X by the reduced subspace of an irreducible component of a small affinoid neighborhood of z, we may assume that X is reduced and irreducible. Applying Corollary 6.2.9 to M gives a proper birational morphism $f : X' \to X$ such that f^*M admits a filtration of (φ, Γ_K)-modules over $\mathcal{R}_{X'}(\pi_K)$ as stated therein. For any point $z' \in f^{-1}(z)$ one has $(f^*M)_{z'} \cong M_z \otimes_{\kappa_z} \kappa_{z'}$, so it suffices to replace (X, M, z) by (X', f^*M, z') in what follows.

Since the cokernel of the inclusion $\mathrm{gr}_i(M) \to \mathcal{R}_X(\delta_{i+1})$ is killed by some power of t, the cohomology groups of the complex

$$[0 \to \mathrm{fil}_{i-1}(M)_z \to \mathrm{fil}_i(M)_z \to \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta_i) \to 0]$$

are killed by some power of t. The claim now follows from Corollary 6.1.18. □

Example 6.2.13. We work out the argument of Theorem 6.2.12 in detail for $\mathrm{fil}_2(M)$ when X is a smooth (reduced and irreducible) rigid analytic curve. This method can be extended to any $\mathrm{fil}_i(M)$, although the argument is more complicated. In this case, Corollary 6.2.9 implies that we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{R}_X(\delta_1) \otimes_X \mathcal{L}_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathrm{fil}_2(M) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{R}_X(\delta_2) \otimes_X \mathcal{L}_2 \to Q \to 0, \tag{6.2.13.1}$$

where the cokernel Q is killed by some power of t and is supported on a subset $Z \subset X$ disjoint from X_{alg} meeting each affinoid at finitely many points. After shrinking X, we assume Q is supported at a unique point z of Z.

Tensoring (6.2.13.1) with κ_z, we obtain

$$\mathrm{fil}_2(M)_z \xrightarrow{\mu_z} \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta_{2,z}) \to Q_z \to 0, \text{ and} \tag{6.2.13.2}$$

$$0 \to \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta_{1,z}) \xrightarrow{\lambda_z} \mathrm{Ker}\big(\mu_z : \mathrm{fil}_2(M)_z \to \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta_{2,z})\big) \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^X(Q, \kappa_z) \to 0. \tag{6.2.13.3}$$

Since the kernel of μ_z is torsion-free and has generic rank one, it is a (φ, Γ_K)-module over $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)$. Since it also contains $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta_{1,z})$ as a subobject, Corollary 6.1.18 implies that it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta'_{1,z})$, where $\delta'_{1,z} = \delta_{1,z} \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} x_\sigma^{-k_{z,\sigma}}$ for some $k_{z,\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In particular, this implies that $\mathrm{Tor}_1^X(Q, \kappa_z)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta'_{1,z})/(\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_\sigma^{k_{z,\sigma}})$. After shrinking X, we may assume that it is affinoid and its global sections are a PID, which shows that Q_z must also be isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta'_{1,z})/(\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_\sigma^{k_{z,\sigma}})$, and the gradeds of Q for the $\mathfrak{m}_z$-adic filtration (where $\mathfrak{m}_z$ is the maximal ideal at z) must similarly have the form

$$\mathfrak{m}_z^n Q / \mathfrak{m}_z^{n+1} Q \cong \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta'_{1,z}) / \big(\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_\sigma^{k_{z,\sigma,n}} \big)$$

where for each fixed $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the $k_{z,\sigma,n}$ form a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative integers that eventually vanish.

In view of (6.2.13.2), Q_z is also isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta_{2,z})/\big(\prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} t_{z,\sigma}^{k_{z,\sigma}}\big)$. In particular, for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $k_{z,\sigma} > 0$, we have a (φ, Γ)-equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta'_{1,z})/(t_{z,\sigma}) \cong \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\pi_K)(\delta_{2,z})/(t_{z,\sigma}).$$

Note that these two objects are not genuine (φ, Γ_K)-modules, so in particular, the isomorphism does not provide us with any information about the φ-action. Still, it gives an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{Sen},m}(\delta'_{1,z}) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \kappa_{z,\sigma}} \kappa_z \cong \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{Sen},m}(\delta_{2,z}) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \kappa_{z,\sigma}} \kappa_z,$$

for some m sufficiently large. Looking at the action of Θ_{Sen} on both sides of the isomorphism, Corollary 6.1.24 implies that

$$\mathrm{wt}_\sigma(\delta_{2,z}) = \mathrm{wt}_\sigma(\delta'_{1,z}) = \mathrm{wt}_\sigma(\delta_{1,z}) - k_{z,\sigma}.$$

In conclusion, for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$, either $k_{z,\sigma}$ is zero or it is strictly positive and equal to $\mathrm{wt}_\sigma(\delta_{1,z}) - \mathrm{wt}_\sigma(\delta_{2,z})$.

6.3 Triangulation over eigenvarieties

We apply the triangulation result Corollary 6.2.9 (resp. Theorem 6.2.12) to the case of eigenvarieties and obtain global (resp. pointwise) triangulation immediately. For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case when $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, where $\Sigma = \{\mathrm{id}\}$, the id-Hodge-Tate weight is just the usual Hodge-Tate weight, and we can take $t_{\mathrm{id}} = t$.

Definition 6.3.1. Let V be a p-adic representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ over a finite extension L of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and let $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to L^\times$ be potentially semistable characters. We write $F_i = \delta_i(p)$, and let $\kappa_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the Hodge-Tate weight of δ_i, so that $(\delta_i \cdot x^{\kappa_i})|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$ has finite order, thus giving a character $\psi_i : G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^n)^\times \to L^\times$ for some n. We say that V is *refined trianguline* with ordered parameters $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to L^\times$ if

(a) V becomes semistable over some $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$,

(b) V has Hodge-Tate weights $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d$ and *distinct* Frobenius eigenvalues $p^{\kappa_1}F_1, \dots, p^{\kappa_d}F_d$,

(c) the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V)$ stabilizes each $p^{\kappa_i}F_i$-eigenspace and, on this line, is via ψ_i, and

(d) the complete flag on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V)$ whose ith subobject is spanned by the eigenspaces for $p^{\kappa_1}F_1, \dots, p^{\kappa_i}F_i$ is in general position with respect to the Hodge filtration, with weights $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_i$, and each step is stable under the monodromy operator.

Lemma 6.3.2. *If V is refined trianguline with ordered parameters $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d$, then $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ is strictly trianguline with ordered parameters $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d$.*

Proof. By Berger's correspondence between filtered $(\varphi, N, G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$-modules and potentially semistable (φ, Γ)-modules described in [5, Théorème A], the complete flag described in Definition 6.3.1(d) gives a triangulation of $M = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ with ordered parameters $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d$. Note that $M/\mathrm{fil}_i M(\delta_{i+1}^{-1})$ corresponds via Berger's correspondence to a filtered $(\varphi, N, G_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$-module with a unique φ-eigenvalue equal to 1. This shows that $H^0_{\varphi,\Gamma}(M/\mathrm{fil}_i M(\delta_{i+1}^{-1}))$ is at most one-dimensional, so that $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$ is strictly trianguline. □

Example 6.3.3. Let X be a rigid analytic space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, let V be a family of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-representations over X, take $M = \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V)$, and assume given continuous characters $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)^\times$ and a Zariski-dense subset $X_{\mathrm{alg}} \subset X$ satisfying the following condition:

- For each $z \in X_{\mathrm{alg}}$ the fiber V_z is refined trianguline with parameters $\delta_{1,z}, \dots, \delta_{d,z}$.

Putting $F_i = \delta_i(p)$ and $\kappa_i = -\mathrm{wt}(\delta_i)$, so that $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_d, F_1, \dots, F_d \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, such data are almost the same as those employed by Bellaïche [2, 3.2.1] (or Bellaïche-Chenevier [3, Chapter 4], where a family of pseudocharacters is treated), except these authors have a stronger denseness condition, and for simplicity they require the V_z to be crystalline. By Lemma 6.3.2, the fiber V_z for any $z \in X_{\mathrm{alg}}$ is strictly trianguline with ordered parameters $\delta_{1,z}, \dots, \delta_{d,z}$. Then Corollary 6.2.9 (if X is integral) and Theorem 6.2.12 apply to this situation.

Example 6.3.4. We conclude this subsection by specializing to the case where

- X is any disjoint union of irreducible subspaces of the Coleman-Mazur eigencurve and V its family of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-representations,
- X_{alg} is the set of classical points of weight $k \geq 2$ not in the image of the θ^{k-1}-map,
- $\delta_1|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$ is trivial and $\delta_2|_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$ is the inverse of the "weight-nebentypus character" map,
- κ_1 is the constant function 0 and κ_2 measures the weight minus one, and
- F_1 is the U_p-map and F_2 is the inverse of the U_p-map,

and we obtain the following more precise result.

Proposition 6.3.5. *With the preceding notations, there exists a triangulation over the entire desingularization X' of X. For any point $z \in X'$, the global triangulation restricts to a triangulation of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z)$ if and only if the overconvergent modular form f_z corresponding to z is not in the image of the θ^{k-1}-map for some integer $k \geq 2$. If z corresponds to an overconvergent modular form of integer weight $k \geq 2$ in the image of the θ^{k-1}-map, the triangulation extends to a submodule whose fiber at z has index t^{k-1} in its saturation.*

Proof. The existence of global triangulation follows immediately from Corollary 6.2.9. We discuss the rest of the statement case by case.

If $z \in X'$ corresponds to an overconvergent modular form with a non-integer weight or with weight less than or equal to 1, then $\mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{id}}(\delta_{1,z}) - \mathrm{wt}_{\mathrm{id}}(\delta_{2,z})$ is not a positive integer. By the calculation of Example 6.2.13, the global triangulation is saturated at the point z.

Now suppose that $z \in X'$ corresponds to an overconvergent modular form f of weight $k \geq 2$ that is in the image of θ^{k-1}, i.e. there exists an overconvergent modular form f' of weight $2-k$ such that $(q\frac{d}{dq})^{k-1}(f'(q)) = f(q)$. If necessary, add to X a region of the eigencurve so that there exists $z' \in X'$ corresponding to f'. Let V_z (resp. $V_{z'}$) be the representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ attached to f (resp. f'); by looking at the eigenvalues of Hecke operators away from p, we know that $V_z = V_{z'} \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{cycl}}^{1-k}$. It is also clear that $\delta_{1,z'} = \delta_{1,z}|x|^{k-1}$ and $\delta_{2,z'} = \delta_{2,z}x^{2k-2}|x|^{k-1}$. Applying the computation in Example 6.2.13, we have two short exact sequences

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z) \to \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\delta_{2,z}) \to \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_z}(\delta_{2,z})/t^{k_z} \to 0, \text{ and} \tag{6.3.5.1}$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_{z'}) \to \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{z'}}(\delta_{2,z'}) \to \mathcal{R}_{\kappa_{z'}}(\delta_{2,z'})/t^{k_{z'}} \to 0. \tag{6.3.5.2}$$

Here k_z and $k_{z'}$ are nonnegative integers. By the conclusion of Example 6.2.13, $k_{z'}$ is either zero or $\mathrm{wt}(\delta_{1,z'}) - \mathrm{wt}(\delta_{2,z'})$; however, the latter is equal to $1-k < 0$, yielding $k_{z'} = 0$. Hence $k_{z'} = 0$. Same argument shows that $k_z = 0$ or $k-1$. We claim that $k_z = k-1$, which would finish the proof of this proposition. Suppose not, i.e. $k_z = 0$. Let κ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ containing both κ_z and $\kappa_{z'}$. The exact sequence (6.3.5.1) becomes

$$0 \to \mathcal{R}_\kappa(\delta_{1,z}) \xrightarrow{\lambda_z} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z) \xrightarrow{\mu_z} \mathcal{R}_\kappa(\delta_{2,z}) \to 0. \tag{6.3.5.3}$$

The exact sequence (6.3.5.2) becomes, upon twisting by $\chi_{\mathrm{cycl}}^{1-k}$,

$$0 \to t^{1-k}\mathcal{R}_\kappa(\delta_{1,z}) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{z'}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z) \xrightarrow{\mu_{z'}} t^{k-1}\mathcal{R}_\kappa(\delta_{2,z}) \to 0. \tag{6.3.5.4}$$

Note that μ_z in (6.3.5.3) does not factor through $\mu_{z'}$ in (6.3.5.4). Thus, the composition $t^{1-k}\mathcal{R}_\kappa(\delta_{1,z}) \xrightarrow{\lambda_{z'}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_z) \xrightarrow{\mu_z} \mathcal{R}_\kappa(\delta_{2,z})$ is nonzero. By comparing weights, we conclude that $x^{1-k}\delta_{1,z} = \delta_{2,z}$. This implies that $\alpha_z^2 = p^{k-1}$, where α_z is the U_p-eigenvalue of f. This is impossible because, writing α'_z for the U_p-eigenvalue of f', one has $\alpha_z = p^{k-1}\alpha'_z$ and so $\mathrm{ord}_p\alpha_z \geq k-1$.

If $z \in X'$ corresponds to an overconvergent modular form with integer weight $k \geq 2$ that is not in the image of θ^{k-1}, [10, Proposition 5.4.3] implies that z corresponds to a classical modular form f_z of weight $k-1$. In this case, the valuation of α_z is exactly $k-1$. The exact sequence (6.3.5.4) is still valid here and computing the slopes of its constituents shows that they are *étale* (φ, Γ)-modules. Moreover, the classicality of f_z implies that V_z is potentially semistable, but then Hodge-Tate weight considerations show that the extension must be split. Now [10, Théorème 1.1.3] applies. □

References

[1] A. Grothendieck, *Eléments de géométrie algébrique, III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, I. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. **11**, 1961, 167 pp.

[2] J. Bellaïche, Ranks of Selmer groups in an analytic family, preprint, `arXiv:0906.1275`; to appear in *Trans. A.M.S.*

[3] J. Bellaïche and G. Chenevier, Families of Galois representations and Selmer groups. *Astérisque* **324** (2009).

[4] L. Berger, An introduction to the theory of p-adic representations, *Geometric aspects of Dwork theory*, Vol. I, 255-292, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004.

[5] L. Berger, Équations différentielles p-adiques et (φ, N)-modules filtrés, *Astérisque* **319** (2008), 13–38.

[6] L. Berger, C. Breuil, and P.Colmez (eds.), Représentations p-adiques de groupes p-adiques I: Répresentations galoisiennes et (φ, Γ)-modules. *Astérisque* **319** (2008).

[7] L. Berger and P. Colmez, Familles de représentations de de Rham et monodromie p-adique. [6], 303–337.

[8] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, and R. Remmert, *Non-Archimedean analysis: A systematic approach to rigid analytic geometry.* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **261**.

[9] N. Bourbaki, *Espaces Vectoriels Topologiques*, reprint of the 1981 original, Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[10] C. Breuil and M. Emerton, Représentations p-adiques ordinares de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ et compatibilité local-global. *Astérisque* **331** (2010), 235–295.

[11] K. Buzzard, Eigenvarieties, in *L-functions and Galois representations*, 59–120, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, **320**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[12] G. Chenevier, Sur la densité des représentations cristallines du groupe de Galois absolu de $\mathbb{Q}_p$, preprint, `arXiv:1012.2852`.

[13] F. Cherbonnier and P. Colmez, Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations p-adiques d'un corps local, *J. A.M.S.* **12** (1999) 241–268.

[14] R. Coleman, Classical and overconvergent modular forms, *Invent. Math.* **124** (1996), no. 1–3, 215–241.

[15] P. Colmez, Représentations triangulines de dimension 2. [6], 213–258.

[16] P. Colmez, Représentations de $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ et (φ, Γ)-modules, *Astérisque* **330** (2010), 281–509.

[17] B. Conrad, Irreducible components of rigid spaces, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **49** (1999), no. 2, 473–541.

[18] R. Crew, Finiteness theorems for the cohomology of an overconvergent isocrystal on a curve, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **31** (1998), no. 6, 717–763.

[19] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **150**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[20] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, No. **52**. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. xvi+496 pp.

[21] K.S. Kedlaya, Finiteness of rigid cohomology with coefficients, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **134** (2006), 15–97.

[22] K.S. Kedlaya, Slope filtrations for relative Frobenius. [6], 259–301.

[23] K.S. Kedlaya and R. Liu, On families of (φ, Γ)-modules. *Algebra and Number Theory* **4** (2010), no. 7, 943–967.

[24] E. Hellmann, Families of trianguline representations and finite slope spaces, preprint, `arXiv:1202.4408v1`.

[25] L. Herr, Sur la cohomologie galoisienne des corps p-adiques, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **126** (1998), no. 4, 563–600.

[26] M. Kisin, Overconvergent modular forms and the Fontaine–Mazur conjecture. *Invent. Math.* **153** (2003), no. 2, 373–454.

[27] R. Liu, Cohomology and duality for (φ, Γ)-modules over the Robba ring, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2008**, no. 3.

[28] R. Liu, Triangulation of refined families, `arXiv:1202.2188v2`.

[29] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory.* Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. Second edition. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, **8**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. xiv+320 pp.

[30] K. Nakamura, Classification of two-dimensional split trianguline representations of p-adic fields, *Compos. Math.* **145** (2009), no. 4, 865–914.

[31] K. Nakamura, Deformations of trianguline $\mathbb{B}$-pairs and Zariski density of two dimensional crystalline representations. Preprint. `arXiv:1006.4891v1`.

[32] J. Pottharst, Analytic families of finite-slope Selmer groups. Submitted. `http://math.bu.edu/people/potthars/writings`.

[33] J. Pottharst, Cyclotomic Iwasawa theory of motives. Preprint. `http://math.bu.edu/people/potthars/writings`.

[34] M. Raynaud, Flat modules in algebraic geometry. *Compositio Math.* **24** (1972), 11-31.

[35] P. Schneider, *Nonarchimedean Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002

[36] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum, Algebras of p-adic distributions and admissible representation. *Invent. Math.* **153** (2003), no. 1, 145–196.